A. Introduction

1. **Title:**   Cyber Security — Recovery Plans for BES Cyber Systems

2. **Number:**   CIP-009-7

3. **Purpose:**   To recover reliability functions performed by BES Cyber Systems (BCS) by specifying recovery plan requirements in support of the continued stability, operability, and reliability of the Bulk Electric System (BES).

4. **Applicability:**

   4.1. **Functional Entities:** For the purpose of the requirements contained herein, the following list of functional entities will be collectively referred to as "Responsible Entities." For requirements in this standard where a specific functional entity or subset of functional entities are the applicable entity or entities, the functional entity or entities are specified explicitly.

      4.1.1 **Balancing Authority**

      4.1.2 **Distribution Provider** that owns one or more of the following Facilities, systems, and equipment for the protection or restoration of the BES:

         4.1.2.1 Each underfrequency Load shedding (UFLS) or undervoltage Load shedding (UVLS) system that:

            4.1.2.1.1 is part of a Load shedding program that is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard; and

            4.1.2.1.2 performs automatic Load shedding under a common control system owned by the Responsible Entity, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more.

         4.1.2.2 Each Remedial Action Scheme (RAS) where the RAS is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.

         4.1.2.3 Each Protection System (excluding UFLS and UVLS) that applies to Transmission where the Protection System is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.

         4.1.2.4 Each Cranking Path and group of Elements meeting the initial switching requirements from a Blackstart Resource up to and including the first interconnection point of the starting station service of the next generation unit(s) to be started.

      4.1.3 **Generator Operator**

      4.1.4 **Generator Owner**

    4.1.5   **Reliability Coordinator**

    4.1.6   **Transmission Operator**

    4.1.7   **Transmission Owner**

4.2. **Facilities:** For the purpose of the requirements contained herein, the following Facilities, systems, and equipment owned by each Responsible Entity in 4.1 above are those to which these requirements are applicable. For requirements in this standard where a specific type of Facilities, system, or equipment or subset of Facilities, systems, and equipment are applicable, these are specified explicitly.

    4.2.1   **Distribution Provider**: One or more of the following Facilities, systems and equipment owned by the Distribution Provider for the protection or restoration of the BES:

        4.2.1.1   Each UFLS or UVLS System that:

            4.2.1.1.1   is part of a Load shedding program that is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard; and

            4.2.1.1.2   performs automatic Load shedding under a common control system owned by the Responsible Entity, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more.

        4.2.1.2   Each RAS where the RAS is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.

        4.2.1.3   Each Protection System (excluding UFLS and UVLS) that applies to Transmission where the Protection System is subject to one or more requirements in a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard.

        4.2.1.4   Each Cranking Path and group of Elements meeting the initial switching requirements from a Blackstart Resource up to and including the first interconnection point of the starting station service of the next generation unit(s) to be started.

    4.2.2   **Responsible Entities listed in 4.1 other than Distribution Providers**: All BES Facilities.

    4.2.3   **Exemptions:** The following are exempt from Standard CIP-009-7:

        4.2.3.1   Cyber Systems at Facilities regulated by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission.

        4.2.3.2   Cyber Systems associated with communication networks and data communication links between discrete Electronic Security Perimeters (ESP).

4.2.3.3 Cyber Systems, associated with communication networks and data communication links, between the Cyber Systems providing confidentiality and integrity of an ESP that extends to one or more geographic locations.

4.2.3.4 The systems, structures, and components that are regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission under a cyber security plan pursuant to 10 C.F.R. Section 73.54.

4.2.3.5 For Distribution Providers, the systems and equipment that are not included in section 4.2.1 above.

4.2.3.6 Responsible Entities that identify that they have no BES Cyber Systems categorized as high impact or medium impact according to the CIP-002-5.1 identification and categorization processes.

4.3. **"Applicable Systems":** Each table has an "Applicable Systems" column to define the scope of systems to which a specific requirement part applies.

5. **Effective Dates:** See "Project 2016-02 Modifications to CIP Standards Implementation Plan".

B. Requirements and Measures

R1. Each Responsible Entity shall have one or more documented recovery plan(s) that collectively include each of the applicable Requirement Parts in *CIP-009-7 Table R1 – Recovery Plan Specifications*. *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long Term Planning]*.

M1. Evidence must include the documented recovery plan(s) that collectively include the applicable Requirement Parts in *CIP-009-7 Table R1 – Recovery Plan Specifications*.

CIP-009-7 Table R1 – Recovery Plan Specifications			
Part	**Applicable Systems**	**Requirements**	**Measures**
1.1	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. Electronic Access Control and Monitoring Systems (EACMS); and 2. Physical Access Control Systems (PACS) Medium impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS	Conditions for activation of the recovery plan(s).	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, one or more plans that include language identifying conditions for activation of the recovery plan(s).
1.2	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS	Roles and responsibilities of responders.	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to, one or more recovery plans that include language identifying the roles and responsibilities of responders.
1.3	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS	One or more processes for the backup and storage of information required to recover Applicable System functionality.	An example of evidence may include, but is not limited to, documentation of specific processes for the backup and storage of information required to recover Applicable System functionality.
1.4	High impact BCS and their associated:	One or more processes to verify the	Examples of evidence may include, but are

	CIP-009-7 Table R1 – Recovery Plan Specifications		
Part	**Applicable Systems**	**Requirements**	**Measures**
	1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium impact BCS at Control Centers and their associated: 1. EACMS; and PACS	successful completion of the backup processes in Part 1.3 and to address any backup failures.	not limited to, logs, workflow or other documentation confirming that the backup process completed successfully and backup failures, if any, were addressed.
1.5	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS SCI supporting an Applicable System in this part	One or more processes to preserve data, per system capability, for determining the cause of a Cyber Security Incident that triggers activation of the recovery plan(s). Data preservation should not impede or restrict recovery.	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to, procedures to preserve data, such as preserving a corrupted drive or making a data mirror of the system before proceeding with recovery.

R2. Each Responsible Entity shall implement its documented recovery plan(s) to collectively include each of the applicable Requirement Parts in *CIP-009-7 Table R2 – Recovery Plan Implementation and Testing.* [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning and Real-time Operations.]

M2. Evidence must include, but is not limited to, documentation that collectively demonstrates implementation of each of the applicable Requirement Parts in *CIP-009-7 Table R2 – Recovery Plan Implementation and Testing.*

CIP-009-7 Table R2 – Recovery Plan Implementation and Testing			
Part	**Applicable Systems**	**Requirements**	**Measures**
2.1	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium impact BCS at Control Centers and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS	Test each of the recovery plans referenced in Requirement R1 at least once every 15 calendar months: • By recovering from an actual incident; • With a paper drill or tabletop exercise; or • With an operational exercise.	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to, dated evidence of a test (by recovering from an actual incident, with a paper drill or tabletop exercise, or with an operational exercise) of the recovery plan at least once every 15 calendar months. For the paper drill or full operational exercise, evidence may include meeting notices, minutes, or other records of exercise findings.
2.2	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium impact BCS at Control Centers and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS	Test a representative sample of information used to recover Applicable System functionality at least once every 15 calendar months to ensure that the information is useable and is compatible with current configurations. An actual recovery that incorporates the information used to recover Applicable System functionality substitutes for this test.	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to, operational logs or test results with criteria for testing the usability (e.g., sample tape load, browsing tape contents) and compatibility with current system configurations (e.g., manual, or automated comparison checkpoints between backup media contents and current configuration).
2.3	High impact BCS	Test each of the recovery plans referenced in Requirement R1 at least once every 36 calendar months through an operational exercise of the recovery plans in an environment representative of the production environment.	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to, dated documentation of: • An operational exercise at least once every 36 calendar months between exercises, that demonstrates recovery in a representative environment; or

CIP-009-7 Table R2 – Recovery Plan Implementation and Testing			
Part	Applicable Systems	Requirements	Measures
		An actual recovery response may substitute for an operational exercise.	• An actual recovery response that occurred within the 36 calendar month timeframe that exercised the recovery plans.

R3. Each Responsible Entity shall maintain each of its recovery plan(s) in accordance with each of the applicable Requirement Parts in *CIP-009-7 Table R3 – Recovery Plan Review, Update and Communication. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Assessment].*

M3. Acceptable evidence includes, but is not limited to, each of the Applicable Requirement parts in *CIP-009-7 Table R3 – Recovery Plan Review, Update and Communication.*

CIP-009-7 Table R3 – Recovery Plan Review, Update and Communication			
Part	**Applicable Systems**	**Requirements**	**Measures**
3.1	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium impact BCS at Control Centers and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS	No later than 90 calendar days after completion of a recovery plan test or actual recovery: 3.1.1. Document any lessons learned associated with a recovery plan test or actual recovery or document the absence of any lessons learned; 3.1.2. Update the recovery plan based on any documented lessons learned associated with the plan; and 3.1.3. Notify each person or group with a defined role in the recovery plan of the updates to the recovery plan based on any documented lessons learned.	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to, all of the following: 1. Dated documentation of identified deficiencies or lessons learned for each recovery plan test or actual incident recovery or dated documentation stating there were no lessons learned; 2. Dated and revised recovery plan showing any changes based on the lessons learned; and 3. Evidence of plan update distribution including, but not limited to: • Emails; • USPS or other mail service; • Electronic distribution system; or • Training sign-in sheets.
3.2	High impact BCS and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS Medium impact BCS at Control Centers and their associated: 1. EACMS; and 2. PACS	No later than 60 calendar days after a change to the roles or responsibilities, responders, or technology that the Responsible Entity determines would impact the ability to execute the recovery plan: 3.2.1. Update the recovery plan; and 3.2.2. Notify each person or group with a	Examples of evidence may include, but are not limited to, all of the following: 1. Dated and revised recovery plan with changes to the roles or responsibilities, responders, or technology; and 2. Evidence of plan update distribution including, but not limited to:

CIP-009-7 Table R3 – Recovery Plan Review, Update and Communication			
Part	**Applicable Systems**	**Requirements**	**Measures**
		defined role in the recovery plan of the updates.	- Emails; - USPS or other mail service; - Electronic distribution system; or - Training sign-in sheets.

C. Compliance

1. **Compliance Monitoring Process:**

    1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority:
    As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, "Compliance Enforcement Authority" (CEA) means NERC or the Regional Entity in their respective roles of monitoring and enforcing compliance with the NERC Reliability Standards.

    1.2. Evidence Retention:
    The following evidence retention periods identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the CEA may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full time period since the last audit.

    The Responsible Entity shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its CEA to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation:

    - Each Responsible Entity shall retain evidence of each requirement in this standard for three calendar years.

    - If a Responsible Entity is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until mitigation is complete and approved or for the time specified above, whichever is longer.

    - The CEA shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.

    1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes:

    - Compliance Audits

    - Self-Certifications

    - Spot Checking

    - Compliance Investigations

    - Self-Reporting

    - Complaints

    1.4. Additional Compliance Information: None

Violation Severity Levels

R #	Violation Severity Levels (CIP-009-7)			
	Lower VSL	**Moderate VSL**	**High VSL**	**Severe VSL**
R1	N/A	The Responsible Entity's plan(s) did not address one of the requirements included in Parts 1.2 through 1.5.	The Responsible Entity plan(s) did not address two of the requirements included in Parts 1.2 through 1.5.	The Responsible Entity did not create recovery plan(s) for Applicable Systems. OR The Responsible Entity plan(s) did not address the conditions for activation in Part 1.1. OR The Responsible Entity plan(s) did not address three or more of the requirements in Parts 1.2 through 1.5.
R2	The Responsible Entity did not test the recovery plan(s) according to Part 2.1 within 15 calendar months, not exceeding 16 calendar months between tests of the plan(s). (Part 2.1) OR The Responsible Entity did not test a representative sample of the information used in the recovery of Applicable System functionality according to Part 2.2 within 15 calendar months, not exceeding 16	The Responsible Entity did not test the recovery plan(s) within 16 calendar months, not exceeding 17 calendar months between tests of the plan. (Part 2.1) OR The Responsible Entity did not test a representative sample of the information used in the recovery of Applicable System functionality according to Part 2.2 within 16 calendar months, not exceeding 17 calendar months between tests. (Part 2.2)	The Responsible Entity did not test the recovery plan(s) according to Part 2.1 within 17 calendar months, not exceeding 18 calendar months between tests of the plan. (Part 2.1) OR The Responsible Entity did not test a representative sample of the information used in the recovery of Applicable System functionality according to Part 2.2 within 17 calendar months, not exceeding 18	The Responsible Entity did not test the recovery plan(s) according to Part 2.1 within 18 calendar months between tests of the plan. (Part 2.1) OR The Responsible Entity did not test a representative sample of the information used in the recovery of Applicable System functionality according to Part 2.2 within 18 calendar months between tests. (Part 2.2) OR The Responsible Entity did not test the recovery plan(s)

R #	Violation Severity Levels (CIP-009-7)			
	Lower VSL	**Moderate VSL**	**High VSL**	**Severe VSL**
	calendar months between tests. (Part 2.2) OR The Responsible Entity did not test the recovery plan according to Part 2.3 within 36 calendar months, not exceeding 37 calendar months between tests. (Part 2.3)	OR The Responsible Entity did not test the recovery plan according to Part 2.3 within 37 calendar months, not exceeding 38 calendar months between tests. (Part 2.3)	calendar months between tests. (Part 2.2) OR The Responsible Entity did not test the recovery plan according to Part 2.3 within 38 calendar months, not exceeding 39 calendar months between tests. (Part 2.3)	according to Part 2.3 within 39 calendar months between tests of the plan(s). (Part 2.3)
R3	The Responsible Entity did not notify each person or group with a defined role in the recovery plan(s) of updates within 90 and less than 120 calendar days of the update being completed. (Part 3.1.3)	The Responsible Entity did not update the recovery plan(s) based on any documented lessons learned within 90 and less than 120 calendar days of each recovery plan test or actual recovery. (Part 3.1.2) OR The Responsible Entity did not notify each person or group with a defined role in the recovery plan(s) of updates within 120 calendar days of the update being completed. (Part 3.1.3) OR The Responsible Entity did not update the recovery plan(s) or notified each person or group with a defined role within 60 and less than 90 calendar days	The Responsible Entity neither documented lessons learned nor documented the absence of any lessons learned within 90 and less than 120 calendar days of each recovery plan test or actual recovery. (Part 3.1.1) OR The Responsible Entity did not update the recovery plan(s) based on any documented lessons learned within 120 calendar days of each recovery plan test or actual recovery. (Part 3.1.2) OR The Responsible Entity did not update the recovery plan(s) or notified each person or group with a defined role within 90 calendar days of any of the	The Responsible Entity neither documented lessons learned nor documented the absence of any lessons learned within 120 calendar days of each recovery plan test or actual recovery. (Part 3.1.1)

R #	Violation Severity Levels (CIP-009-7)			
	Lower VSL	**Moderate VSL**	**High VSL**	**Severe VSL**
		of any of the following changes that the responsible entity determines would impact the ability to execute the plan: • Roles or responsibilities, or • Responders, or • Technology changes. (Part 3.2)	following changes that the responsible entity determines would impact the ability to execute the plan: • Roles or responsibilities, or • Responders, or • Technology changes. (Part (3.2)	

D. Regional Variances

None.

E. Interpretations

None.

F. Associated Documents

• Implementation Plan for Project 2016-02

• CIP-009-7 Technical Rationale

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
1	1/16/06	R3.2 — Change "Control Center" to "control center."	3/24/06
2	9/30/09	Modifications to clarify the requirements and to bring the compliance elements into conformance with the latest guidelines for developing compliance elements of standards. Removal of reasonable business judgment. Replaced the RRO with the RE as a responsible entity. Rewording of Effective Date. Changed compliance monitor to Compliance Enforcement Authority.	
3	12/16/09	Updated Version Number from -2 to -3 In Requirement 1.6, deleted the sentence pertaining to removing component or system from service in order to perform testing, in response to FERC order issued September 30, 2009.	
3	12/16/09	Approved by the NERC Board of Trustees.	
3	3/31/10	Approved by FERC.	
4	1/24/11	Approved by the NERC Board of Trustees.	
5	11/26/12	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees.	Modified to coordinate with other CIP standards and to revise format to use RBS Template.
5	11/22/13	FERC Order issued approving CIP-009-5.	
6	11/13/14	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees.	Addressed FERC directives from Order No. 791
6	1/21/16	FERC Order issued approving CIP-009-6. Docket No. RM15-14-000	
7	TBD	Virtualization Modifications	
7	5/9/2024	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees.	