Unofficial Comment Form

Project 2020-06 Verification of Models and Data for Generators

Inverter-based Resource-related Definition

**Do not** use this form for submitting comments. Use the [Standards Balloting and Commenting System (SBS)](https://sbs.nerc.net/) to submit comments on draft three of the **Inverter-based Resource (IBR)-related Glossary Term** by **8 p.m. Eastern, Monday, August 12, 2024.  
m. Eastern, Thursday, August 20, 2015**

Additional information is available on the [project page](https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Pages/Project-2020_06-Verifications-of-Models-and-Data-for-Generators.aspx). If you have questions, contact Standards Developer, [Josh Blume](mailto:Josh.Blume@nerc.net) (via email).

## Background

## The NERC IBR Performance Task Force (IRPTF) performed a comprehensive review of all NERC Reliability Standards to identify any potential gaps and/or improvements. The IRPTF discovered several issues as part of this effort and documented its findings and recommendations in the IRPTF Review of NERC Reliability Standards White Paper, which was approved in March 2020 by the Operating Committee and the Planning Committee (now part of the Reliability and Security Technical Committee (RSTC)). Among the findings noted in the white paper, the IRPTF identified issues with MOD-026-1 and MOD-027-1 that should be addressed by a project. The RSTC endorsed the standard authorization request (SAR) June 10, 2020.

## The Standards Committee accepted two revised SARs at its July 21, 2021 meeting. The scope of the project includes the potential to add, modify, or retire Glossary Terms for NERC Reliability Standards. The term IBR originally gained industry approval during the initial ballot, but due to a term within the definition that was not accepted, the IBR definition would be unenforceable. The Project 2020-06 drafting team (DT) proposes a new term as part of this formal comment and additional ballot period.

Please provide your responses to the questions listed below, along with any detailed comments.

**Questions**

1. Do you support the definition for IBR as proposed, or with non-substantive changes? If you do not support the definition as proposed, please explain the changes that, if made, would result in your support.

Yes

No

Comments:

1. Provide any additional comments for the DT to consider, if desired.

Comments: