Comment Report

Project Name: 2020-06 Verifications of Models and Data for Generators | Draft 3 of IBR Definitions

Comment Period Start Date: 7/12/2024
Comment Period End Date: 8/12/2024

Associated Ballots: 2020-06 Verifications of Models and Data for Generators IBR-related Definitions | Implementation Plan AB 3 OT

2020-06 Verifications of Models and Data for Generators Inverter-Based Resource (IBR) AB 3 DEF

There were 52 sets of responses, including comments from approximately 147 different people from approximately 100 companies representing 10 of the Industry Segments as shown in the table on the following pages.

Questions

1. Do you support the definition for IBR as proposed, or with non-substantive changes? If you do not support the definition as proposed,
please explain the changes that, if made, would result in your support.

2. Provide any additional comments for the DT to consider, if desired.

Organization Name	Name	Segment(s)	Region	Group Name	Group Member Name	Group Member Organization	Group Member Segment(s)	Group Member Region
	Anna Martinson	1,2,3,4,5,6	MRO	MRO Group	Shonda McCain	Omaha Public Power District (OPPD)	1,3,5,6	MRO
					Michael Brytowski	Great River Energy	1,3,5,6	MRO
					Jamison Cawley	Nebraska Public Power District	1,3,5	MRO
					Jay Sethi	Manitoba Hydro (MH)	1,3,5,6	MRO
					Husam Al- Hadidi	Manitoba Hydro (System Preformance)	1,3,5,6	MRO
					Kimberly Bentley	Western Area Power Adminstration	1,6	MRO
					Jaimin Patal	Saskatchewan Power Coporation (SPC)	1	MRO
					George Brown	Pattern Operators LP	5	MRO
					Larry Heckert	Alliant Energy (ALTE)	4	MRO
					Terry Harbour	MidAmerican Energy Company (MEC)	1,3	MRO
					Dane Rogers	Oklahoma Gas and Electric (OG&E)	1,3,5,6	MRO
					Seth Shoemaker	Muscatine Power & Water	1,3,5,6	MRO
					Michael Ayotte	ITC Holdings	1	MRO
			Andrew Coffelt	Board of Public Utilities- Kansas (BPU)	1,3,5,6	MRO		

					Peter Brown	Invenergy	5,6	MRO
					Angela Wheat	Southwestern Power Administration	1	MRO
					Bobbi Welch	Midcontinent ISO, Inc.	2	MRO
Dominion - Dominion	Barbara Marion	5,6		Dominion	Victoria Crider	Dominion	3	NA - Not Applicable
Resources, Inc.					Barbara Marion	Dominion	5	NA - Not Applicable
					Sean Bodkin	Dominion	6	NA - Not Applicable
					Steven Belle	Dominion	1	NA - Not Applicable
Southwest Power Pool,	Charles Yeung	2	MRO,NPCC,RF,SERC,SPP RE,Texas RE,WECC	SRC 2024	Charles Yeung	SPP	2	MRO
Inc. (RTO)					Ali Miremadi	CAISO	1	WECC
					Bobbi Welch	Midcontinent ISO, Inc.	2	MRO
					Greg Campoli	NYISO	1	NPCC
					Matt Goldberg	ISO New England	2	NPCC
ACES Power Marketing	Jodirah Green	1,3,4,5,6	MRO,NPCC,RF,SERC,Texas RE,WECC	ACES Collaborators	Bob Soloman	Hoosier Energy Electric Cooperative	1	RF
				Kris Carper	Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	1	WECC	
				Jason Procuniar	Buckeye Power, Inc.	4	RF	
					Jolly Hayden	East Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc.	NA - Not Applicable	Texas RE
					Scott Brame	North Carolina Electric Membership Corporation	3,4,5	SERC
					Nick Fogleman	Prairie Power, Inc.	1,3	SERC
FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy	Mark Garza	4		FE Voter	Julie Severino	FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy	1	RF

Corporation	Corporation				Corporation			
					Aaron Ghodooshim	FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Corporation	3	RF
					Robert Loy	FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Solutions	5	RF
					Mark Garza	FirstEnergy- FirstEnergy	1,3,4,5,6	RF
					Stacey Sheehan	FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy Corporation	6	RF
Michael Johnson			WECC	PG&E All Segments	Marco Rios	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	1	WECC
					Sandra Ellis	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	3	WECC
				Tyler Brun	Pacific Gas and Electric Company	5	WECC	
Detroit	Detroit Elhusseini Edison	3,5	DTE Energy	Mohamad Elhusseini	DTE Energy	5	RF	
Edison Company					Patricia Ireland	DTE Energy	4	RF
					Marvin Johnson	DTE Energy - Detroit Edison Company	3	RF
Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc.	Company - Hunter Southern Company	1 ' ' '		Southern Company	Matt Carden	Southern Company - Southern Company Services, Inc.	1	SERC
					Joel Dembowski	Southern Company - Alabama Power Company	3	SERC
					Ron Carlsen	Southern Company - Southern Company Generation	6	SERC
				Leslie Burke	Southern Company - Southern	5	SERC	

						Company Generation		
Black Hills Corporation	Rachel Schuldt	Schuldt	Black Hills Corporation -	Micah Runner	Black Hills Corporation	1	WECC	
			All Segments	Josh Combs	Black Hills Corporation	3	WECC	
					Rachel Schuldt	Black Hills Corporation	6	WECC
					Carly Miller	Black Hills Corporation	5	WECC
			Sheila Suurmeier	Black Hills Corporation	5	WECC		
Northeast Power Coordinating Council	r Iinating	NPCC RSC	Gerry Dunbar	Northeast Power Coordinating Council	10	NPCC		
					Deidre Altobell	Con Edison	1	NPCC
				Michele Tondalo	United Illuminating Co.	1	NPCC	
					Stephanie Ullah- Mazzuca	Orange and Rockland	1	NPCC
					Michael Ridolfino	Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp.	1	NPCC
					Randy Buswell	Vermont Electric Power Company	1	NPCC
					James Grant	NYISO	2	NPCC
				Dermot Smyth	Con Ed - Consolidated Edison Co. of New York	1	NPCC	
					David Burke	Orange and Rockland	3	NPCC
			Peter Yost	Con Ed - Consolidated Edison Co. of New York	3	NPCC		
			Salvatore Spagnolo	New York Power Authority	1	NPCC		
					Sean Bodkin	Dominion - Dominion	6	NPCC

	Resources, Inc.		
David Kwan	Ontario Power Generation	4	NPCC
Silvia Mitchell	NextEra Energy - Florida Power and Light Co.	1	NPCC
Sean Cavote	PSEG	4	NPCC
Jason Chandler	Con Edison	5	NPCC
Tracy MacNicoll	Utility Services	5	NPCC
Shivaz Chopra	New York Power Authority	6	NPCC
Vijay Puran	New York State Department of Public Service	6	NPCC
David Kiguel	Independent	7	NPCC
Joel Charlebois	AESI	7	NPCC
Joshua London	Eversource Energy	1	NPCC
Jeffrey Streifling	NB Power Corporation	1,4,10	NPCC
Joel Charlebois	AESI	7	NPCC
John Hastings	National Grid	1	NPCC
Erin Wilson	NB Power	1	NPCC
James Grant	NYISO	2	NPCC
Michael Couchesne	ISO-NE	2	NPCC
Kurtis Chong	IESO	2	NPCC
Michele Pagano	Con Edison	4	NPCC
Bendong Sun	Bruce Power	4	NPCC
Carvers Powers	Utility Services	5	NPCC
Wes Yeomans	NYSRC	7	NPCC

Dominion - Sean Dominion Bodkin			Dominion	Victoria Crider	Dominion Energy	3	NA - Not Applicable	
Resources, Inc.					Sean Bodkin	Dominion Energy	6	NA - Not Applicable
					Steven Belle	Dominion Energy	1	NA - Not Applicable
					Barbara Marion	Dominion Energy	5	NA - Not Applicable
Western Electricity	icity Rueckert	Steve Rueckert	WECC	10	WECC			
Coordinating Council					Curtis Crews	WECC	10	WECC
Tim Kelley	Tim Kelley Tim Kelley	m Kelley WECC	WECC	SMUD and BANC	Nicole Looney	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	3	WECC
					Charles Norton	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	6	WECC
					Wei Shao	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	1	WECC
					Foung Mua	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	4	WECC
					Nicole Goi	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	5	WECC
					Kevin Smith	Balancing Authority of Northern California	1	WECC

1. Do you support the definition for IBR as proposed, or with non-substantive changes? If you do not support the definition as proposed, please explain the changes that, if made, would result in your support.						
Sean Steffensen - IDACORP - Idaho Power Company - 1						
Answer	No					
Document Name						
Comment						
	s a definition of an IBR Unit is still needed and would be a helpful addition. It also seems like keeping the last section of ve useful as this detail was excluded from the new proposed definition.					
Likes 0						
Dislikes 0						
Response						
Mark Garza - FirstEnergy - Fi	rstEnergy Corporation - 4, Group Name FE Voter					
Answer	No					
Document Name						
Comment						
interface(s) such as an inverter common point of interconnection. Type 4 wind, battery energy stodevices.	R): A plant/facility consisting of individual devices that are capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic or converter, and that are operated together through a common facility-level controller as a single resource at a on to the electric system. Examples include, but are not limited to, plants/facilities with solar photovoltaic (PV), Type 3 and orage system (BESS), VSC-HVDC systems used to connect off-shore renewable resources to the BPS, and fuel cell ts the DT provide a definition for Type 3 and Type 4 wind devices to ensure intent and applicability of compliance toward					
Likes 0						
Dislikes 0						
Response						
Anna Todd - Southern Indian	a Gas and Electric Co 3,5,6 - RF					
Answer	No					

Document Name	
Comment	
	cover Reactive Power if we are moving towards all renewable generation in the future. Due to this, Southern nds adding "Reactive Power" to the definition.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Devin Shines - PPL - Louisville Gas and	Electric Co 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
plant. Suggesting the more generic 2. An IBR may consist of only one inversified individual devices. 3. The phrase "to the electric system" 4. The wording "at a common point of it should be noted that the NERC IE recommended to use IEEE Std 280 various NERC contexts, "facility-lev devices). 5. The wording of the last sentence im with synchronous generators as particular the more generators as particular the more generators as particular the more generators.	should be moved to the immediate context of exporting power through the power electronic interface. interconnection" risks confusion at locations where multiple IBRs share a point of interconnection. Here also 3R definition parallels the IEEE Std 2800-2022 definition of "IBR Plant" rather than "IBR". In any case, it is 0-2022 wording: "operated by a common facility-level controller" (however, due to the use of "facility" in el" should be removed; it is also unnecessary as "common" already requires that the controller operates all aplies a plant with a BESS is an IBR. Again (see point 1), this risks confusion for IBRs that are co-located at of a hybrid plant. Only the IBR components should be defined as IBRs. more device(s) capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic interface to the electric system apples include, but are not limited to, solar photovoltaic (PV), Type 3 and Type 4 wind, battery energy storage
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Andy Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - S	ERC,RF
Answer	No
Document Name	

Duke Energy suggests the following modifications:						
Inverter-Based Resource (IBR): A plant/facility consisting of individual devices that are capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic interface(s) such as an inverter or converter, and that are operated together "through a common facility-level control system" "STRIKE" at a common point of interconnection to the electric system.						
prevents confusion of plant/facility since sor	vagueness of the phrase single resource at a point of interconnect. Using the "facility-level control system" me locations may have a feeder bus with multiple GO's connecting to the feeder that feed to a single point of would clarify that each plant/facility is responsible for their own PRC-028 thru -030 requirements, among					
Likes 0						
Dislikes 0						
Response						
Brian Van Gheem - Radian Generation - I	NA - Not Applicable - NA - Not Applicable					
Answer	No					
Document Name						
Comment						
 We believe the proposed definition should align with the Category 2 Generator Owner language recently added to the NERC Rules of Procedure. Instead of referencing "operated" and "point of interconnection to the electric system," the definition of a Category 2 Generator Owner uses "delivering capacity" and "point of connection." We propose the following definition in its place, "Plant/facility consisting of indiv devices that are capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic interface(s), such as an inverter or converter, delivering such capacity to a common point of connection at a voltage greater than or equal to 60 kV" We believe such a definition could be applied to Category 1 Generator Owners with IBR Facilities as well. We propose a minor, non-content modification to the definition. We recommend adding a comma after the word "interface(s)" to separate the word from the prepositional phrase. 						
Likes 0						
Dislikes 0						
Response						
Jennifer Weber - Tennessee Valley Author	ority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC					
Answer	No					
Document Name						
Comment						
The entire definition could be consolidated slightly for ease of reading and understanding.						

Comment

Example:	
	ility comprising of individual devices capable of exporting Real Power through power electronics e.g.
	rate collectively at a single connection point to the electric system. Examples include but are not limited to, attery energy storage system (BESS), and fuel cell devices.
solal priotovoltaic (FV), Type 3 & 4 Willia, ba	attery energy storage system (DESS), and ruer cell devices.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
	Laura Somak, Salt River Project, 3, 6, 5, 1; Mathew Weber, Salt River Project, 3, 6, 5, 1; Thomas mothy Singh, Salt River Project, 3, 6, 5, 1; - Israel Perez
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
	ng of individual devices that are capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic interface(s) include, but are not limited to, plants/facilities with solar photovoltaic (PV), Type 3 and Type 4 wind, battery ell devices.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Scott Thompson - PNM Resources - Pub	lic Service Company of New Mexico - 1,3,5 - WECC
Answer	No
Document Name	
Comment	
PNM agrees with the comment of EEI:	
interface(s) such as an inverter or converted common point of interconnection to the electrons are the common point of interconnection to the electrons are the common point of interconnection to the electrons are the common point of interconnection to the electrons are the common point of interconnection to the electrons are the common point of the electrons are	acility consisting of individual devices that are capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic r, and that are operated together through a common facility-level controller as a single resource at a ctric system. Examples include, but are not limited to, plants/facilities with solar photovoltaic (PV), Type 3 and (BESS), VSC-HVDC systems used to connect off-shore renewable resources to the BPS , and fuel cel
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
t-	

Response					
LaTroy Brumfield - LaTroy Brumfield On	Behalf of: Amy Wilke, American Transmission Company, LLC, 1; - LaTroy Brumfield				
Answer	No				
Document Name					
Comment					
	alone HVDC facilities are not included in the definition. If the phrases, "plant/facility" are intended to do that, theoretically be called a facility. Adding the phrase, "from a primary energy source or energy storage is more clear				
The suggested definition could read like the	example below:				
energy source or energy storage system thr	nverter-Based Resource (IBR): A plant/facility consisting of individual devices that are capable of exporting Real Power (active power) from a primary energy source or energy storage system through a power electronic interface(s) such as an inverter or converter, and that are operated together as a single resource at a common point of interconnection to the electric system.				
Examples include, but are not limited to, pla systems (BESS), and fuel cell devices.	nts/facilities with solar photovoltaic (PV), Type 3 and Type 4 wind, inverter-interfaced battery energy storage				
(
Likes 0					
Dislikes 0					
Response					
Rachel Coyne - Texas Reliability Entity, I					
Answer	Yes				
Document Name					
Comment					

Texas RE recommends the drafting team of defined term, using lower-case facility could	onsider using the terms generator or generator plant instead of the term "plant/facility". Since Facility is a I cause confusion.
Texas RE inquires as to whether the term "t	curbines" should be added after the phrase "Type 3 and 4 wind."
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Rachel Schuldt - Black Hills Corporation	- 6, Group Name Black Hills Corporation - All Segments
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
follows: Inverter-Based Resource (IBR): A plant/fainterface(s) such as an inverter or converter common point of interconnection to the electrons and the such as a su	n of the proposed IBR definition from the EEI that would provide improved clarity. That definition is as acility consisting of individual devices that are capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic r, and that are operated together through a common facility-level controller as a single resource at a stric system. Examples include, but are not limited to, plants/facilities with solar photovoltaic (PV), Type 3 and (BESS), VSC-HVDC systems used to connect off-shore renewable resources, and fuel cell devices.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Srikanth Chennupati - Entergy - Entergy	Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
No comments	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

Cain Braveheart - Bonneville Power Administration - 1,3,5,6 - WECC		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
As BPA understands, power electronic interfaces are flexible. BPA believes adding "devices <i>capable</i> of exporting real power through a power electronic nterface" would now include a broad spectrum of equipment that can produce electric power.		
PA recommends revising the following language:		
from:		
consisting of individual devices that are o	capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic interface(s)"	
to:		
consisting of individual devices that expo	rt Real Power through a power electronic interface(s)"	
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Tim Kelley - Tim Kelley On Behalf of: Charles Norton, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 3, 6, 4, 1, 5; Foung Mua, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 3, 6, 4, 1, 5; Kevin Smith, Balancing Authority of Northern California, 1; Nicole Looney, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 3, 6, 4, 1, 5; Ryder Couch, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 3, 6, 4, 1, 5; Wei Shao, Sacramento Municipal Utility District, 3, 6, 4, 1, 5; - Tim Kelley, Group Name SMUD and BANC		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
SMUD and BANC support this definition for IBR but strongly feel that a definition for "IBR Unit" is needed to help drafting teams in future NERC Order 901 Work Plan Projects.		
The drafting team should consider adding the word "turbines" after "wind" and defining what Type 3 and Type 3 wind turbines are. Adding the word "turbines" is a non-substantive change and could be made in the final ballot.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Mohamad Elhusseini - DTE Energy - Deti	roit Edison Company - 3,5, Group Name DTE Energy	
Answer	Yes	

Document Name	
Comment	
I have reviewed the proposed definition of	IBR and support the proposed definition.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Hayden Maples - Hayden Maples On Beh - Evergy - 1,3,5,6 - MRO	nalf of: Jeremy Harris, Evergy, 3, 5, 1, 6; Kevin Frick, Evergy, 3, 5, 1, 6; Tiffany Lake, Evergy, 3, 5, 1, 6;
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Evergy supports and incorporates by refere Standards Review Forum (MRO NSRF) on	ence the comments of the Edison Electric Institute (EEI) and Midwest Reliability Organization's NERC question 1
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Wayne Sipperly - North American General	ator Forum - 5 - MRO,WECC,Texas RE,NPCC,SERC,RF
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
The NAGF supports the proposed IBR defin	nition.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Anna Martinson - MRO - 1,2,3,4,5,6 - MRO), Group Name MRO Group
Answer	Yes
Document Name	

Comment	
The NSRF supports the proposed IBR defir improve clarity.	nition, but would request the standard drafting team consider the following non-substantive changes to
such as an inverter or converter, and opera	acility consisting of individual devices capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic interface(s ted together as a single resource at a common point of interconnection to the electric system. Examples off-shore wind and solar plants/facilities, Type 3 and Type 4 wind, battery energy storage system (BESS),
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Alison MacKellar - Constellation - 5	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Constellation aligns with the NAGF comme	nts.
Alison Mackellar on behalf of Constellation	Segments 5 and 6
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Kimberly Turco - Constellation - 6	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Constellation aligns with NAGF comments.	
Kimberly Turco on behalf of Constellation Energy Segments 5 and 6.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

Response	
Kristine Martz - Edison Electric Institute	- NA - Not Applicable - NA - Not Applicable
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
would provide improved clarify to the intent Inverter-Based Resource (IBR): A plant/fa interface(s) such as an inverter or converte common point of interconnection to the elec	to the proposed IBR definition but there are some non-substantive changes (in boldface text) that we feel of the definition. acility consisting of individual devices that are capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic r, and that are operated together through a common facility-level controller as a single resource at a ctric system. Examples include, but are not limited to, plants/facilities with solar photovoltaic (PV), Type 3 and n (BESS), VSC-HVDC systems used to connect off-shore renewable resources, and fuel cell devices.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Hillary Creurer - Allete - Minnesota Powe	er, Inc 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Minnesota Power supports the definition for to improve clarity.	r IBR as proposed, but also supports EEI and MRO's NERC Standards Review Forum's (NSRF) suggestions
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Selene Willis - Edison International - Sou	uthern California Edison Company - 5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
"Please see EEI Comments"	

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Nick Leathers - Nick Leathers On Behalf	of: David Jendras Sr, Ameren - Ameren Services, 3, 6, 1; - Nick Leathers
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Ameren does not have any additional comm	ments for consideration by the drafting team.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Carver Powers - Utility Services, Inc 4	
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Without a clear definition of "power electronic power electronic powe	e 4 wind" by including "turbine" after wind in the proposed IBR definition. ronic interface(s)" it could be determined that it includes transformers which we believe is not the intent of the shall be and what is not a "power electronic interface(s)"
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
George E Brown - Pattern Operators LP	- 5
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Pattern Energy supports Midwest Reliability	y Organization's NERC Standards Review Forum's (MRO NSRF) comments on this question.

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Jodirah Green - ACES Power Marketing -	- 1,3,4,5,6 - MRO,WECC,Texas RE,SERC,RF, Group Name ACES Collaborators
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
definition. As this term is not explicitly define	of the phrase "plant/facility" within the proposed IBR definition introduces additional confusion into this ed, it allows for a considerable amount of interpretation by the industry. It is our opinion that the term facility ed term itself (i.e., Inverter-Based Resource Facility) to be consistent with other uses of this phrase within the
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Jennifer Bray - Arizona Electric Power Co	ooperative, Inc 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
definition. As this term is not explicitly define	of the phrase "plant/facility" within the proposed IBR definition introduces additional confusion into this ed, it allows for a considerable amount of interpretation by the industry. It is our opinion that the term facility ed term itself (i.e., Inverter-Based Resource Facility) to be consistent with other uses of this phrase within the
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Charles Yeung - Southwest Power Pool,	Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO,WECC,Texas RE,NPCC,SERC,RF, Group Name SRC 2024
Answer	Yes
Document Name	

Comment

The ISO/RTO Council (IRC) Standards Review Committee (SRC) supports the revised term, but notes that the deletion of "connected to the electric system" from the IBR definition, implies that the IBR term is not in and of itself applicable to BES or non-BES interconnections. Therefore, those reliability requirements applicable to IBRs will need to specify whether they apply to the new registration categories of "GO/GOP Category 1" and "GO/GOP Category 2" to complement the IBR definition. Any and all current and proposed standards applicable to IBR should be reviewed and updated to clarify their applicability.

In addition, the SRC proposes the changes in red below.

Inverter-Based Resource (IBR): A plant/facility that includes one or more individual devices that are capable of exporting Real Power through a power electronic interface(s) such as an inverter or converter, and that are operated together as a single resource at a common point of interconnection [C][1] to the electric system. Examples include, but are not limited to, plants/facilities with that include one or more solar photovoltaic (PV), Type 3 and Type 4 wind, battery energy storage system (BESS), and fuel cell devices.

The SRC proposes that a definition or examples of what constitutes a "common point of interconnection" be provided (such as in a footnote) since this term is not defined in the NERC Glossary of Terms and it is unclear whether it refers to a transformer, a bus, or some other point of interconnection.

Illustrative examples are also useful to clarify how a hybrid plant, in which only a portion of the interconnected facility employs an inverter or converter, falls under the definition.

The SRC proposes that the language "one or more" be restored in the first sentence of the definition and added to the second sentence for clarity and consistency.

Finally, the SRC is concerned that the word "with" in the second sentence of the definition is unclear. Therefore, we propose replacing the word "with" with "that include."

Footnote: ISO NE is a party to these comments however does not support the comments provided in reponse to Q1.

Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Kennedy Meier - Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc 2		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
ERCOT joins the comments submitted by the ISO/RTO Council (IRC) Standards Review Committee (SRC) and adopts them as its own.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

Thomas Foltz - AEP - 5		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Jessica Cordero - Unisource - Tucson El	lectric Power Co 1	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Sean Bodkin - Dominion - Dominion Res	ources, Inc 6, Group Name Dominion	
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
Barbara Marion - Dominion - Dominion Resources, Inc 5,6, Group Name Dominion		
Answer	Yes	
Document Name		
Comment		

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Donna Wood - Tri-State G and T	Association, Inc 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Ariz	zona Public Service Co 1
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Diana Aguas - CenterPoint Ener	gy Houston Electric, LLC - 1 - Texas RE
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Sing Tay - AES - AES Corporation	on - 5 - MRO,WECC,Texas RE,NPCC,SERC,RF

Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
	Behalf of: Marco Rios, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 3, 1, 5; Sandra Ellis, Pacific Gas and cific Gas and Electric Company, 3, 1, 5; - Michael Johnson, Group Name PG&E All Segments
Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Casey Jones - Berkshire Hathaway - NV	Energy - 5 - WECC
Casey Jones - Berkshire Hathaway - NV Answer	Energy - 5 - WECC Yes
Answer	
Answer Document Name	
Answer Document Name	
Answer Document Name Comment	
Answer Document Name Comment Likes 0	
Answer Document Name Comment Likes 0 Dislikes 0	
Answer Document Name Comment Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response	
Answer Document Name Comment Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response	Yes
Answer Document Name Comment Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response Stephen Stafford - Stephen Stafford On I	Yes Behalf of: Greg Davis, Georgia Transmission Corporation, 1; - Stephen Stafford
Answer Document Name Comment Likes 0 Dislikes 0 Response Stephen Stafford - Stephen Stafford On I	Yes Behalf of: Greg Davis, Georgia Transmission Corporation, 1; - Stephen Stafford

Steven Rueckert - Western Electricity Co	ordinating Council - 10, Group Name WECC
Response	
Dislikes 0	
Likes 0	
Liliano	
Comment	
Document Name	
	Yes
Mike Magruder - Avista - Avista Corporat	
Response	
Dislikes 0	
Likes 0	
Comment	
Document Name	
Answer	Yes
Pamela Hunter - Southern Company - So	uthern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company
Response	
Dislikes 0	
Likes 0	
- Commont	
Comment	
Document Name	res
Constantin Chitescu - Ontario Power Ger Answer	Yes
Constantin Chitagay Ontaria Bayer Ca	novotion log . E
Response	
Dislikes 0	
LIKES U	

Answer	Yes
Document Name	
Comment	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Patricia Lynch - NRG - NRG Energy, Inc.	- 5
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
NRG Energy Inc is in support of the comme	ents made by EPSA.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Martin Sidor - NRG - NRG Energy, Inc 5	5,6
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
NRG agrees with the EPSA comments.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Gail Elliott - Gail Elliott On Behalf of: Michael Moltane, International Transmission Company Holdings Corporation, 1; - Gail Elliott	
Answer	
Document Name	

ITC has no comments on the proposed definition for Project 2020-06.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

2. Provide any additional comments for the DT to consider, if desired.	
Kyle Thomas - Elevate Energy Consulting - NA - Not Applicable - NA - Not Applicable	
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
Elevate appreciates the opportunity to command FERC Order No. 901 directives.	ment on the draft NERC standards, particularly those pertaining to future IBR NERC Reliability Standards,
IEEE 2800-2022 is careful in its consideration inverter-based" These could include capa	E 2800-2022 as a reference; however, there are notable differences between definitions. Most importantly, on of supplemental devices, defined as "any equipment within an IBR plant, which may or may not be acitor banks, STATCOMs, harmonic filters, protection systems, plant-level controllers, etc., which should all ility. If the resource (or part of the resource) is deemed "IBR", then all applicable components that support should be considered part of the IBR.
difference between IBR Unit requirements/o	n of an IBR Unit definition, which we believe is necessary for meaningful standards applications. The capabilities and IBR requirements/capabilities can be significant, so defining these two clearly is strongly that matches the IEEE 2800 standard would help facilitate this process efficiently and is recommended for
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Kennedy Meier - Electric Reliability Cour	ncil of Texas, Inc 2
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
ERCOT joins the comments submitted by the IRC SRC and adopts them as its own.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Steven Rueckert - Western Electricity Co	ordinating Council - 10, Group Name WECC
Answer	
Document Name	

Comment

WECC voted yes but offers the following for consideration. WECC appreciates the efforts to provide a definition for Inverter-Based Resource (IBR). WECC asks if the DT is planning to provide some examples so that "misunderstanding" will be avoided when the definition is applied within Standards/Requirements? Compliance can create interesting arguments that ignore the reliability (and risk) concerns. It is understood that the registration candidate pool will be limited to the definition of Generator Operator and Generator Owner recently approved by FERC. The definitions did not use IBR directly and, instead, used "non-BES inverter based generating resources" (for Cat 2) and "generating Facility(ies)" for Cat 1. It is clear to WECC that the proposed IBR definition is applicable for Cat 1 and Cat 2 GOs and GOPs.

Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

Charles Yeung - Southwest Power Pool, Inc. (RTO) - 2 - MRO, WECC, Texas RE, NPCC, SERC, RF, Group Name SRC 2024

Answer

Document Name 2020-06_IBR_Definition_Unofficial_Comment_Form_SRCFinal.docx

Comment

Concerns Associated with Removing the IBR Unit Definition

The SRC is aware of a draft **Standards Authorization Request (SAR)** entitled *Revisions to FAC-001-4* and *FAC-002-4* that the **Inverter-Based Resource Performance Subcommittee (IRPS)** is currently composing that seeks to address modeling conformity. The SRC believes that this may require unit-level model validation and benchmarking (where the original manufacturer conducts laboratory tests to compare the actual equipment response to the modeled response) before models can be accurately applied at the plant/facility level. This may make the elimination of the IBR Unit definition problematic if this term will be needed when drafting future standard requirements.

See Purpose or Goal, bullet item #2 (on page 3):

2." ...require Transmission Planners (TPs) and Planning Coordinators (PCs) to assess IBR plant capability and performance conformity for example through a combination of review of documentation, simulation studies, and physical tests that a newly interconnecting IBR complies with applicable IBR performance requirements."

See Purpose or Goal, paragraph (on page 4):

"Having a specific conformity assessment process (in addition to currently performed interconnection studies) will ensure that the TP and PC verify generator conformity with applicable interconnection requirements, preferably prior to IBR plant commissioning. Standard drafting team should consider FERC GIA/GIP requirements to determine an aligning timeline to resolve discrepancies in plant conformity. Enhancing current generator interconnection processes with clear conformity assessment processes will ensure that new BPS-connected IBR facilities are designed with the capabilities necessary for reliable operation."

Further, the SRC notes that existing NERC standards apply requirements at the unit level. For instance, **MOD-026**, **Requirement R2**, **Part 2.1** has unit-specific requirements for excitation control systems.

2.1. Each applicable unit's model shall be verified by the Generator Owner using one or more models acceptable to the Transmission Planner. Verification for individual units less than 20 MVA (gross nameplate rating) in a generating plant (per Section 4.2.1.2, 4.2.2.2, or 4.2.3.2) may be

performed using either individual unit or ago	gregate unit model(s), or both. Each verification shall include the following:
Similarly, PRC-024, Section 4 Applicabilit acilities identified in Inclusion I4 of the BES	y, Part 4.2 Facilities, Part 4.2.1.4 includes individual dispersed power producing resource(s) as applicable 5 Definition.
4.2.1.4 Individual dispersed power producin	ng resource(s) identified in the BES Definition, Inclusion I4.
	deration should be given to retaining a definition of "IBR Unit" as it will engender common understanding and intities. While an "IBR Unit" definition may not need to be finalized in this immediate project, there will likely to align with developing frameworks.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Jennifer Bray - Arizona Electric Power C	ooperative, Inc 1
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
AEPC signed on to ACES comments:	
	that has been put into developing the IBR definition. We are greatly encouraged by the SDT's willingness to nges to the IBR definition. However, it is the opinion of ACES that consolidating the IBR Unit and IBR Facility se.
Γeam is left to provide their own (potentially mpact on compliance. We suggest utilizing	way to clearly define what constitutes the individual devices of an IBR, each individual Standards Drafting unique) definition. We believe that this will be a detriment to consistency and will potentially have a negative terms and/or language already contained within the Glossary of Terms whenever possible. Thus, we fine these types of generating resources (a:
	One or more IBR Unit(s), and any associated Element(s) required for the operation thereof, connected to the source at a common point of Interconnection.
such as an inverter or converter, and conne	ndividual generating resource capable of exporting electric power that uses a power electronic interface, ects at a single point to a system designed primarily for delivering such electric power to a common point of rimarily for delivering such electric power to a common point of Interconnection is commonly referred to as a
Γhank you for the opportunity to comment.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	

Response		
Jodirah Green - ACES Power Marketing -	- 1,3,4,5,6 - MRO,WECC,Texas RE,SERC,RF, Group Name ACES Collaborators	
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
	that has been put into developing the IBR definition. We are greatly encouraged by the SDT's willingness to nges to the IBR definition. However, it is the opinion of ACES that consolidating the IBR Unit and IBR Facility se.	
Team is left to provide their own (potentially	way to clearly define what constitutes the individual devices of an IBR, each individual Standards Drafting unique) definition. We believe that this will be a detriment to consistency and will potentially have a negative terms and/or language already contained within the Glossary of Terms whenever possible. Thus, we fine these types of generating resources (a:	
Inverter-Based Resource (IBR) Facility: One or more IBR Unit(s), and any associated Element(s) required for the operation thereof, connected to the electric system and operated as a single resource at a common point of Interconnection.		
such as an inverter or converter, and conne	ndividual generating resource capable of exporting electric power that uses a power electronic interface, ects at a single point to a system designed primarily for delivering such electric power to a common point of rimarily for delivering such electric power to a common point of Interconnection is commonly referred to as a	
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.		
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		
George E Brown - Pattern Operators LP	· 5	
Answer		
Document Name		
Comment		
Pattern Energy supports Midwest Reliability	Organization's NERC Standards Review Forum's (MRO NSRF) comments on this question.	
Likes 0		
Dislikes 0		
Response		

Ruida Shu - Northeast Power Coordination	ng Council - 1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10 - NPCC, Group Name NPCC RSC
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
NPCC RSC supports the project.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Scott Thompson - PNM Resources - Pub	lic Service Company of New Mexico - 1,3,5 - WECC
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
Any and all items listed items/assets in the	proposed IBR definition should be defined and in the NERC Glossary of Terms.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Pamela Hunter - Southern Company - So	uthern Company Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC, Group Name Southern Company
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
Southern Company has no further commen	ts.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Constantin Chitescu - Ontario Power Ger	neration Inc 5
Answer	

Document Name	
Comment	
OPG supports NPCC Regional Standards 0	Committee's comments.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Nick Leathers - Nick Leathers On Behalf	of: David Jendras Sr, Ameren - Ameren Services, 3, 6, 1; - Nick Leathers
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
Ameren does not have any additional comm	nents for consideration by the drafting team.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Romel Aquino - Edison International - So	outhern California Edison Company - 3
Answer	
Document Name	Project 2020-06 _ EEI Near Final Revised IBR Definition Draft 3 Rev 0a 8_06_2024.docx
Comment	
See comments submitted by the Edison Ec	lectic Institute in the attached file
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Kimberly Turco - Constellation - 6	
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	

Constellation has no additional comments	
Kimberly Turco on behalf of Constellation E	nergy Segments 5 and 6.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Gail Elliott - Gail Elliott On Behalf of: Mic	hael Moltane, International Transmission Company Holdings Corporation, 1; - Gail Elliott
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
ITC has no comments on the proposed defi	nition for Project 2020-06.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Alison MacKellar - Constellation - 5	
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
Constellation has no additional comments.	
Alison Mackellar on behalf of Constellation	Segments 5 and 6
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Wayne Sipperly - North American Genera	ator Forum - 5 - MRO,WECC,Texas RE,NPCC,SERC,RF
Answer	
Document Name	

Comment	
The NAGF has no additional comments.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Martin Sidor - NRG - NRG Energy, Inc 5	5,6
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
NRG agrees with the EPSA comments.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Mohamad Elhusseini - DTE Energy - Deti	roit Edison Company - 3,5, Group Name DTE Energy
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
No other comments to provide.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Jennifer Weber - Tennessee Valley Author	ority - 1,3,5,6 - SERC
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	

Technical Rationale:	
 Need to define the acronym "LCC" as, while it may be obvious to some, it isn't necessarily known to all. Note that the definition of "VSC HVDC" should be moved up to the first time it's used. Contains the term "IBR Unit," which is no longer a defined term, and, as such, should not be included in the document. 	
Implementation Plan:	
 The Background section contains the term "IBR Unit," which is no longer a defined term, and, as such, should not be included in the document. The General Considerations section makes reference to multiple definitions, but there is only one ("IBR") now. 	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Brian Van Gheem - Radian Generation - NA - Not Applicable - NA - Not Applicable	
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Michael Johnson - Michael Johnson On Behalf of: Marco Rios, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 3, 1, 5; Sandra Ellis, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 3, 1, 5; Tyler Brun, Pacific Gas and Electric Company, 3, 1, 5; - Michael Johnson, Group Name PG&E All Segments	
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
PGAE is curious why the SDT did not use the IEEE definition of an IBR and IBR Unit so there is alignment between NERC and IEEE? The difference does not appear to change the overall meaning but may lead to confusion/conflict down the road between product developers and compliance related tasks.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	

Andy Thomas - Duke Energy - 1,3,5,6 - S	SERC,RF
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
None.	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Devin Shines - PPL - Louisville Gas and	Electric Co 1,3,5,6 - SERC,RF
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
believed to be non-substantive relative to to Likes 0 Dislikes 0	synchronous generators and separate IBRs sharing a point of interconnection. Most of these edits are the intent of the DT.
Response	
Anna Todd - Southern Indiana Gas and	Electric Co 3,5,6 - RF
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
N/A	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Sing Tay - AES - AES Corporation - 5 - M	MRO,WECC,Texas RE,NPCC,SERC,RF

Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
standard in order to create the requirement Energy believes that having a NERC Glossi	for IBR Unit is still required. Currently, PRC-028 proposed <u>Draft 4</u> has its own "IBR unit" definition within the language needed. Since other Standards are being revised or created to meet FERC Order 901, AES Clean ary definition for IBR Unit will help maintain consistency between all the different Standards that will be negly recommends that NERC continues to pursue a definition for IBR Unit.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Mark Garza - FirstEnergy - FirstEnergy C	orporation - 4, Group Name FE Voter
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
None	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Srikanth Chennupati - Entergy - Entergy	Services, Inc 1,3,5,6 - SERC
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
None	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Daniela Atanasovski - APS - Arizona Pub	olic Service Co 1

Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
None	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Donna Wood - Tri-State G and T Associa	tion, Inc 1
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
N/A	
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	
Sean Steffensen - IDACORP - Idaho Pow	er Company - 1
Answer	
Document Name	
Comment	
IPC has concerns about removing the entire believes a broader definition of IBR (unit) is	e current definition of IBR Units. Will "IBR Unit" be defined somewhere else, or excluded altogether? IPC still necessary and would be helpful to the process.
Likes 0	
Dislikes 0	
Response	