

## Standard Development Timeline

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard is adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees (Board).

### Description of Current Draft

PRC-030-1 is posted for a 17-day formal comment period with additional ballot.

| Completed Actions   | Date                               |
|---|------------------------------------|
| Standards Committee approved Standard Authorization Request (SAR) for posting | January 25, 2023                   |
| SAR posted for comment  | February 22, 2023 – March 23, 2023 |
| 25-day formal comment period with ballot                                      | March 25, 2024 – April 18, 2024    |
| 34-day formal comment period with additional ballot                           | June 7, 2024 – July 10, 2024       |
| 22-day formal comment period with additional ballot                           | July 22, 2024 – August 12, 2024    |

| Anticipated Actions                                 | Date                           |
|---|--------------------------------|
| 17-day formal comment period with additional ballot | August 28 – September 13, 2024 |
| 5-day final ballot                                  | TBD                            |
| Board adoption                                      | October 8-9, 2024              |

### **New or Modified Term(s) Used in NERC Reliability Standards**

This section includes all new or modified terms used in the proposed standard that will be included in the *Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards* upon applicable regulatory approval. Terms used in the proposed standard that are already defined and are not being modified can be found in the *Glossary of Terms Used in NERC Reliability Standards*. The new or revised terms listed below will be presented for approval with the proposed standard. Upon Board adoption, this section will be removed.

**Term(s):**

None

## A. Introduction

1. **Title:** Unexpected Inverter-Based Resource Event Mitigation
2. **Number:** PRC-030-1
3. **Purpose:** Identify, analyze, and mitigate unexpected Inverter-Based Resource (IBR) change of power output.
4. **Applicability:**
  - 4.1. **Functional Entities:**
    - 4.1.1. Generator Owner
  - 4.2. **Facilities:**
    - 4.2.1. ~~The Elements associated with (1)~~ Bulk Electric System (BES) Inverter-Based Resources; and ~~(2)~~
    - 4.2.2. Non-BES Inverter-Based Resources that either have or contribute to an aggregate nameplate capacity of greater than or equal to 20 MVA, connected through a system designed primarily for delivering such capacity to a common point of connection at a voltage greater than or equal to 60 kV.
5. **Effective Date:** See Implementation Plan for PRC-030-1

**Formatted:** Outline numbered + Level: 3 + Numbering Style: 1, 2, 3, ... + Start at: 1 + Alignment: Left + Aligned at: 1" + Indent at: 1.5"

## B. Requirements and Measures

- R1.** Each applicable Generator Owner shall implement a documented process to identify any complete facility loss of output, or changes in Real Power output that are at least 20 MW and at least 10% of the plant's gross nameplate rating, occurring within a 4 second period. Changes in Real Power for the following are excluded: *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]*
- Changes associated with intermittent primary energy source availability, created by changes such as variation in wind speed and solar irradiance;
  - Resource dispatch, resource ramping, planned outages, or planned resource testing;
  - A Transmission or collection system loss that, by configuration, disconnects the IBR generator; or
  - Real Power reduction due solely to a Protection System Misoperations being analyzed and corrected under PRC-004 Reliability Standard.
- M1.** Each applicable Generator Owner shall have evidence which includes but is not limited to: (1) the documented process for detecting changes in output as described in Requirement R1, (2) evidence to demonstrate implementation of its documented process, (3) actual data recordings, and (4) identification of gross nameplate rating.
- R2.** Each applicable Generator Owner, within 90 calendar days of ~~identifying an~~ Real Power change event pursuant to Requirement R1 or following a request from its ~~applicable~~ Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, or Transmission Operator that identified a Disturbance and a change in the Inverter-Based Resource(s) Real Power output, shall: *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]*
- 2.1.** Analyze its IBR facility performance during the event, including:
- 2.1.1.** Determine the root cause(s) of change(s) in Real Power output;
  - 2.1.2.** Document the facility's Ride-through performance including Reactive Power response during the event;
  - 2.1.3.** Assess any performance issues identified and if corrective actions are needed; and
  - 2.1.4.** Determine the applicability of the root cause(s) to the Generator Owner's other Inverter-Based Resource facilities.
- 2.2.** Upon request, provide the analysis results to the requesting ~~applicable~~ Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, or Transmission Operator.

## PRC-030-1 – Unexpected Inverter-Based Resource Event Mitigation

---

- M2.** Each applicable Generator Owner shall have dated documentation of the required analysis developed in accordance with Requirement R2. Evidence may include, but is not limited to: (1) an analysis report, (2) actual data recordings or derivations, (3) documents describing the device specification and device configuration or settings, and (4) plant configuration.
- R3.** If performance issues and a need for corrective actions were identified in Requirement R2 Part 2.1.3, each applicable Generator Owner shall, within 60 calendar days of completing the analysis in Requirement R2, develop one of the following and provide it to the ~~applicable~~associated Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, and Transmission Operator: *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning]*
- A Corrective Action Plan (CAP) for the identified ~~inverter-based resource~~Inverter-Based Resource(s), including other applicable facilities owned by the Generator Owner as identified in Requirement R2 Part 2.1.3; or
  - A technical justification that addresses why corrective actions will not be implemented.
- M3.** Each applicable Generator Owner shall have dated evidence (electronic or hardcopy format) that demonstrates it developed a CAP or a technical justification, and evidence of transmittal to the Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, and Transmission Operator in accordance with Requirement R3.
- R4.** Each applicable Generator Owner shall, for each of its Corrective Action Plans developed pursuant to Requirement R3: *[Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning, Long-term Planning]*
- 4.1.** Implement the CAP;
  - 4.2.** Update the CAP if actions or timetables change; and
  - 4.3.** Notify each ~~applicable~~associated Reliability Coordinator if CAP actions or timetables change and when the CAP is completed.
- M4.** Acceptable evidence may include, but is not limited to, dated documentation such as CAPs, project or work management program records, settings sheets, work orders, maintenance records, communication with equipment manufacturers, and communication with each ~~applicable~~associated Reliability Coordinator that documents the implementation, updating, or completion of a CAP in accordance with Requirement R3.

## C. Compliance

### 1. Compliance Monitoring Process

- 1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority:** “Compliance Enforcement Authority” means NERC or the Regional Entity, or any entity as otherwise designated by an Applicable Governmental Authority, in their respective roles of monitoring and/or enforcing compliance with mandatory and enforceable Reliability Standards in their respective jurisdictions.
- 1.2. Evidence Retention:** The following evidence retention period(s) identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full-time period since the last audit.

The applicable entity shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation.

- The Generator Owner shall keep data or evidence of Requirement R1, and R2, Measure M1, and M2 for 36 calendar months following the completion of each Requirement.
  - The Generator Owner shall retain evidence of Requirement R3, Measure M3, including any supporting analysis per Requirements R2 and R3, for a minimum of 36 calendar months following completion of each CAP, completion of each evaluation, and completion of each declaration.
  - The Generator Owner shall retain evidence of Requirement R4, Measure M4 for a minimum of 36 calendar months following completion of each CAP.
- 1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program:** As defined in the NERC Rules of Procedure, “Compliance Monitoring and Enforcement Program” refers to the identification of the processes that will be used to evaluate data or information for the purpose of assessing performance or outcomes with the associated Reliability Standard.

### Violation Severity Levels

| R # | Violation Severity Levels  |   |   |   |
|-----|--|---|---|---|
|     | Lower VSL  | Moderate VSL  | High VSL  | Severe VSL  |
| R1. | N/A  | N/A   | N/A   | The responsible entity failed to implement a documented process to identify changes in <del>RealPower</del> Real Power output in accordance with Requirement R1.  |
| R2. | The responsible entity performed an analysis in accordance with Requirement R2, but in more than 90 calendar days but less than 120 calendar days of <del>first identifying</del> an event or receiving a request. | The responsible entity performed an analysis in accordance with Requirement R2, but in 120 or more calendar days but less than 150 calendar days of <del>first identifying</del> an event or receiving a request. | <p>The responsible entity performed an analysis in accordance with Requirement R2, but in 150 or more calendar days but less than 180 calendar days of <del>first identifying</del>an event or receiving a request.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity performed the analysis in Requirement R2 but failed to address Part 2.1.1 or Part 2.1.4.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity failed to provide the analysis results from the requesting entity in accordance with Requirement R2, Part 2.2.</p> | <p>The responsible entity developed an analysis in accordance with Requirement R2, but in 180 calendar days or more of <del>first identifying</del>an event or receiving a request.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity performed the analysis in Requirement R2 but failed to address Part 2.1.1 and Part 2.1.4.</p> <p>OR</p> <p>The responsible entity failed to document the facility's <del>ride-through</del>Ride-through performance in accordance with Requirement R2, Part 2.1.2</p> |

| R # | Violation Severity Levels  |   |  |   |
|-----|--|---|--|---|
|     | Lower VSL  | Moderate VSL  | High VSL   | Severe VSL  |
|     |  |   |  | OR<br>The responsible entity failed to determine the susceptibility of other inverter-based resource facilities in accordance with Requirement R2, Part 2.1.3.          |
| R3. | The responsible entity failed to develop a CAP or provide a technical justification addressing why no corrective actions will be implemented within 60 days, but provided it within 90 days. | The responsible entity failed to develop a CAP or provide a technical justification addressing why no corrective actions will be implemented within 90 days, but provided it within 120 days. | The responsible entity failed to develop a CAP or provide a technical justification why no corrective actions will be implemented within 120 days, but provided it within 150 days<br>OR<br>The developed CAP did not include corrective actions for other facilities owned by the Generator Owners as identified in Requirement R2 Part 2.1.3, if necessary.<br>OR<br>The developed CAP or technical justification was not provided to the applicable associated Reliability Coordinator, Balancing Authority, and Transmission Operator. | The responsible entity failed to develop a CAP or provide a technical justification addressing why no corrective actions will be implemented, within 150 calendar days. |



| R # | Violation Severity Levels  |              |          |   |
|-----|--|--------------|----------|---|
|     | Lower VSL  | Moderate VSL | High VSL | Severe VSL  |
| R4. | The responsible entity implemented, but failed to update a CAP, when actions or timetables changed, in accordance with Requirement R4. | N/A          | N/A      | The responsible entity failed to implement a CAP in accordance with Requirement R4. |

**D. Regional Variances**

None.

**E. Associated Documents**

Implementation Plan.

### Version History

| Version       | Date       | Action | Change Tracking |
|---------------|------------|--------|-----------------|
| Initial Draft | 02/06/2024 | Draft  |                 |
| Second Draft  | 06/07/2024 | Draft  |                 |
| Third Draft   | 07/22/2024 | Draft  |                 |
| Fourth Draft  | 08/28/2024 | Draft  |                 |

