

## Meeting Notes

### Project 2021-03 CIP-002

### Drafting Team

April 29, 2025 | 12:00 – 3:00 p.m. Eastern

WebEx

#### Administrative

##### 1. Introductions

The meeting was brought to order by the Chair, Brian Evans-Mongeon, at 12:05 p.m. Eastern on April 29, 2025. The Chair provided the Drafting Team (DT) with opening remarks and welcomed members and guests.

##### 2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC DT states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the DT. Quorum was achieved as five of the eight members were present for the meeting. See Attachment 1 for those in attendance.

##### 3. NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement

The NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and public announcement were reviewed by Dominique Love. There were no questions raised.

## **Agenda**

### **1. Review of Meeting Notes from Previous Meetings**

- a. Developer provided some background information and project updates from the last meeting in 2024. Two new chairs have been appointed to the DT.

### **2. Meeting Discussion**

- a. The DT reviewed the Protocol Converters SAR and the Summary of Comment Themes document. The DT acknowledged that the majority of commentors to the SAR believed that CIP-002 was not the right standard to address the identification of the BES Cyber Assets. Additionally, there was a discussion about if the other CIP standards that were mentioned in the comments, specifically CIP-003 and CIP-005, would be an appropriate solution. The chair mentioned that CIP-003 and CIP-005 are predicated on CIP-002 and since CIP-002 does not require that level of identification, adding the scope to the other recommended standards would not be appropriate. There were additional discussions around the risks that the SAR is looking to achieve. DT members stated that the SAR lacks sufficient reasoning for the risks to the BES and needs more clarity on the risks regarding the BCAs.
- b. DT decided that the SAR should be sent back to the SC with a recommendation to reject it on the basis that the SAR lacked clarity on the risks to the BES and that the CIP standards does not have the mechanism to include the identification of BCAs.

### **3. Next Steps**

- a. Developer to set up a planning meeting so DT members can work on finalizing the Consideration of Comments form to be posted on the project page.

### **4. Adjourn**

The meeting adjourned at 1:47 p.m. Eastern by consent.

## Attachment 1

Name	Company	Member/ Observer	Attendance (Y/N)
Brian Evans-Mongeon	Village of Hyde Park	Member	Y
Terry Volkmann	Volkmann Consulting	Member	Y
Mark Atkins	Acumen	Member	Y
Josh Powers	Southwest Power Pool (SPP)	Member	Y
Jennifer Tidwell	Southern Company	Member	Y
Josh Aldridge	Ferrovial	Member	N
Barry Jones	Western Area Power Administration (WAPA)	Member	N
Dawn Triplett	American Electric Power (AEP)	Member	N
Dominique Love	NERC	Developer	Y
Marisa Hecht	NERC	Observer	N
Sarah Crawford	NERC	Observer	Y
Michaelson Buchanon	NERC	Observer	Y
Chris Goodlett	NERC	Observer	Y
Davis Jelusich	NERC	Observer	N
Ellese Murphy	Duke-Energy	Observer	Y
Darrel Grumman	EPE Consulting	Observer	N