

## Standard Authorization Request (SAR)

Complete and submit this form, with attachment(s) to the <u>NERC Help Desk</u>. Upon entering the Captcha, please type in your contact information, and attach the SAR to your ticket. Once submitted, you will receive a confirmation number which you can use to track your request.

The North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) welcomes suggestions to improve the reliability of the bulk power system through improved Reliability Standards.

	Requested information					
SAR Title:		Modifications to CIP-002				
Date Submitted:		10/4/2021 (Revised on 5/7/2024)				
SAR Requester						
Name	Latrice Harkı	Harkness				
Name:	(Revised by	sed by the 2021-03 Drafting Team)				
Organization:	NERC					
Telephone:	404-446-972	8	Ema	ail:	latrice.harkness@nerc.net	
SAR Type (Checl	k as many as a	ipply)				
New Stand	dard				Imminent Action/ Confidential Issue (SPM	
Revision to	o Existing Star	ndard	Section 10)			
=	_	Glossary Term		Var	riance development or revision	
=	•	ting Standard	Other (Please specify)			
			ent r		ct (Check all that apply to help NERC prioritize	
development)		•	•	,	` ' ' ' ' '	
	y Initiation					
	•	ility Issues Steering	Щ		RC Standing Committee Identified	
Committee) Ide	•	mey issues steering	Ш	Enh	hanced Periodic Review Initiated	
Reliability Standard Development Plan			$\boxtimes$	Ind	lustry Stakeholder Identified	
		•	liahil	lity he	enefit does the proposed project provide?):	
				-	lizeinclude ensure that the identification of	
					Cyber Assets PCAs), Electronic Access Control	
					Control Systems (PACS) —ain a single	
					quirements to allow entities to consider and	
process applicable systems identified as part of the CIP-002 identification and categorization						
requirements. This work will bring clarity to industry and regulators alike by centralizing the requirements						
to identify such "						
CIP applicable" systems solely within a single standard CIP-002.						
This work will also ensure that security requirements, for the application of cyber security requirements						
commensurate with the adverse impact that loss, compromise, or misuse of <u>PCAs, EACMS, and PACS</u> those						
Cyber Assets could have on the reliable operation of the BES. are considered and applied as part of the						
<u>CIP 002 requirements.</u>						



## **Requested information**

The consideration, ildentification, and categorization of PCA's, EACMS, and PACS these-systems within CIP standards-002 Cyber Assets-supports appropriate protection against compromises, without which - Without an accurate identification inventory of of such -associated applicable EACMS, PACS, and PCA's may result in Cyber Assets, registered entities' failure may fail to deploy appropriate controls to these cyber systems and Cyber Assets, which may lead to misoperation or instability in the BES.

Purpose or Goal (How does this proposed project provide the reliability-related benefit described above?):

The purpose of this work is to revise CIP-002 language to include considerations for Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems (EACMS), Physical Access Control Systems (PACS), and Protected Cyber Assets (PCAs), which rif compromised may rose a threat to their associated BES Cyber System by virtue of: (a) their location within the Electronic Security Perimeter (PCA), or (b) the security control function they perform (EACMS and PACS). This project will ensure the reliable operation of the BES by requiring the identification of EACMS, PACS, and PCAsthese Cyber Assets so that the appropriate controls can be implemented.

Project Scope (Define the parameters of the proposed project):

This project will make revisions to CIP-002 to include the identification and categorization of PCA's, certain Cyber Assets (EACMS, and PACS, PACS, and PCAs) associated with high and medium impact BES Cyber Systems.s, in order to include Cyber Assests that present a threat to those BES Cyber Systems..

Detailed Description (Describe the proposed deliverable(s) with sufficient detail for a drafting team to execute the project. If you propose a new or substantially revised Reliability Standard or definition, provide: (1) a technical justification<sup>1</sup> which includes a discussion of the reliability-related benefits of developing a new or revised Reliability Standard or definition, and (2) a technical foundation document (e.g., research paper) to guide development of the Standard or definition):

Revise CIP-002 to include the identification\_of EACMS, PACS, and PCAs. This work will revise CIP-002 requirements to allow entities to consider and process applicable systems as part of the CIP-002 identification and categorization requirements.

Cost Impact Assessment, if known (Provide a paragraph describing the potential cost impacts associated with the proposed project):

Cost impact is unknown at this time. However, a question will be asked during the comment period to ensure cost aspects are considered.

Please describe any unique characteristics of the BES facilities that may be impacted by this proposed standard development project (e.g., Dispersed Generation Resources):

None

To assist the NERC Standards Committee in appointing a drafting team with the appropriate members, please indicate to which Functional Entities the proposed standard(s) should apply (e.g., Transmission Operator, Reliability Coordinator, etc. See the most recent version of the NERC Functional Model for definitions):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The NERC Rules of Procedure require a technical justification for new or substantially revised Reliability Standards. Please attach pertinent information to this form before submittal to NERC.



Requested information
Balancing Authority, Distribution Provider, Generator Operator, Generator Owner, Interchange
Coordinator or Interchange Authority, Reliability Coordinator, Transmission Operator, and Transmission
Owner.
Do you know of any consensus building activities <sup>2</sup> in connection with this SAR? If so, please provide any
recommendations or findings resulting from the consensus building activity.
None.
Are there any related standards or SARs that should be assessed for impact as a result of this proposed
project? If so, which standard(s) or project number(s)?
Project 2016-02, Project 2021-03 <u>, Project 2023-06</u>
Are there alternatives (e.g., guidelines, white paper, alerts, etc.) that have been considered or could meet
the objectives? If so, please list the alternatives.
None.

Reli	abil	lity Principles
Does	thi	s proposed standard development project support at least one of the following Reliability
Princ	ciple	s (Reliability Interface Principles)? Please check all those that apply.
	1.	Interconnected bulk power systems shall be planned and operated in a coordinated manner to perform reliably under normal and abnormal conditions as defined in the NERC Standards.
	2.	The frequency and voltage of interconnected bulk power systems shall be controlled within defined limits through the balancing of real and reactive power supply and demand.
	3.	Information necessary for the planning and operation of interconnected bulk power systems shall be made available to those entities responsible for planning and operating the systems reliably.
	4.	Plans for emergency operation and system restoration of interconnected bulk power systems shall be developed, coordinated, maintained and implemented.
$\boxtimes$	5.	Facilities for communication, monitoring and control shall be provided, used and maintained for the reliability of interconnected bulk power systems.
	6.	Personnel responsible for planning and operating interconnected bulk power systems shall be trained, qualified, and have the responsibility and authority to implement actions.
	7.	The security of the interconnected bulk power systems shall be assessed, monitored and maintained on a wide area basis.
X	8.	Bulk power systems shall be protected from malicious physical or cyber attacks.

Market Interface Principles		
Does the proposed standard development project comply with all of the following Market	Enter	
Interface Principles?		
<ol> <li>A reliability standard shall not give any market participant an unfair competitive advantage.</li> </ol>	Yes	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Consensus building activities are occasionally conducted by NERC and/or project review teams. They typically are conducted to obtain industry inputs prior to proposing any standard development project to revise, or develop a standard or definition.



Market Interface Principles			
2. A reliability standard shall neither mandate nor prohibit any specific market structure.	Yes		
3. A reliability standard shall not preclude market solutions to achieving compliance with that standard.	Yes		
4. A reliability standard shall not require the public disclosure of commercially sensitive information. All market participants shall have equal opportunity to access commercially non-sensitive information that is required for compliance with reliability standards.			

Identified Existing or Potential Regional or Interconnection Variances			
Region(s)/	Explanation		
Interconnection			
e.g., NPCC	None.		

## For Use by NERC Only

SAR Status Tracking (Check off as appropriate).			
Draft	SAR reviewed by NERC Staff		Final SAR endorsed by the SC
☐ Draft	SAR presented to SC for acceptance		SAR assigned a Standards Project by NERC
DRAF	T SAR approved for posting by the SC		SAR denied or proposed as Guidance document

## **Version History**

Version	Date	Owner	Change Tracking
1	June 3, 2013		Revised
1	August 29, 2014	Standards Information Staff	Updated template
2	January 18, 2017	Standards Information Staff	Revised
2	June 28, 2017	Standards Information Staff	Updated template
3	February 22, 2019	Standards Information Staff	Added instructions to submit via Help Desk
4	February 25, 2020	Standards Information Staff	Updated template footer