Meeting Agenda Project 2021-03 CIP-002 Drafting Team

May 28, 2024 | 12:30 – 4:30 p.m. Eastern | Updated Link - Teams May 29, 2024 | 9:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m. Eastern | Update Link - Teams May 30, 2024 | 9:00 a.m. – 4:00 p.m. Eastern | Updated Link - Teams

Duke Energy Plaza 525 S. Tryon St Charlotte, NC 28202

Administrative

- 1. Review NERC Antitrust Compliance Guidelines and Public Announcement¹
- 2. Determination of Quorum

The rule for NERC Drafting Team (DT) states that a quorum requires two-thirds of the voting members of the SDT to be physically present.

- 3. Introductions and Chair's Remarks Megan Sauter, Chair and Russell Noble, Vice Chair
 - a. Introductions by all In-Person Attendees
- 4. Review Meeting Agenda and Objectives Dominique Love

Agenda Items

DAY 1 – May 28 | 12:30 - 4:30 p.m.

- 1. Draft Two Control Center Definition Megan Sauter
 - a. Review Control Center Comments and Discuss Themes
 - b. Review and Discuss Parking Lot Topics pertaining to Control Center Definition

DAY 2 - May 29 | 9:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.

- 2. Draft Two Control Center Definition and CIP-002-Y Megan Sauter
 - a. Revise Control Center Definition
 - b. Lunch
 - c. Draft Responses to Control Center Definition Themes

¹ See page 3.



- i. Break out session
- d. Review and Discuss Draft 2 CIP-002-Y Themes
- e. Review and Discuss CIP-002-Y Parking Lot Items

DAY 3 - May 30 | 9:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.

- 3. Draft Two CIP-002-Y Megan Sauter / Russ Noble
 - a. Continue Discussion of Themes and Parking Lot Items for CIP-002-Y
 - b. Lunch
 - c. Revise CIP-002-Y
 - d. Draft Responses to CIP-002-Y Themes
- 4. Next Steps Dominique Love
 - a. TBD
- 5. Future Meeting(s) Dominique Love
 - a. TBD
- 6. Adjourn



Parking Lot					
Action	Due Date	Contact	Notes		
Alternative Approach to the Control Center definition	3rd Draft	Barry	Splits between the physical location of operating personnel and the location of Cyber Systems/Assets.		
Impacts of removing "perform the functional obligation of" throughout attachment 1	3rd Draft	Marty Hostler	Specifically with respect to Generator Operators		
For CIP-002-Y IRC 2.11 - Why is deleting "perform the functional obligation" considered clarifying "perform the function obligation of"?	3rd Draft	SDT/ Megan	Suggestion for the SDT - clarify it by saying the same language as the definition of GOP Control Center, thus replace "perform the function obligation of" with "perform the reliability tasks of a Generator Operator for generation Facilities"		
Exclusion Clause	3rd Draft	Steve/Russ	Formatting/bulleting for readability, better clarity around timing (e.g., impact of new assets, resetting the clock), ensure single allowable GCTE is adequately clear. [Steve has provided the following recommendation to clarify that an entity may only have a single GCTE]		
Consequently, the IRC 2.11 would be: Each Control Center or backup Control Center, not already included in High Impact Rating (H) above, that perform "the reliability tasks of a Generator Operator for generation Facilities" for an aggregate highest rated net Real Power capability of the preceding 12 calendar months equal to or exceeding 1500 MW in a single Interconnection	3rd Draft	SDT			
Provided that the "aggregate weighted value" calculated according to the table above is less than 12000, a Transmission Operator or a Transmission Owner may create a single group of	3rd Draft	SDT			



contiguous transmission Elements that operate at less than 300kV, where the gross export does not exceed 75 MW during non-Energy Emergency Alert (EEA) conditions, to be excluded when calculating a modified "aggregate weighted value" based on BES Transmission Lines monitored and controlled by the Control Center or backup Control Center. The gross export is based on the hourly integrated values for the most recent 12-month period.			
Circle back around to facility/Facility challenges in the same definition. An alternate provided in QR is "premise"	3rd Draft	SDT	
Use of new Cyber System term	3rd Draft	SDT	(Pending board adoption, after which it would need to be added to the Implementation Plan).
In both 4.1.2.2 and 4.2.1.2, it appears in the redline that the word "Each" was dropped from the beginning of the sentence	3rd Draft		Comment submitted by SERC
In Attachment 1, Criteria 2.1 and 2.2, the change from 'those' to 'each discrete' phrasing to address the findings of the CIP-002-5.1a appears to create confusion due to the pluralization of 'BES Cyber Systems' appearing just after. Suggest instead to remove the word 'each', so the sentences would read "the only BES Cyber Systems that meet this criterion are discrete shared BES Cyber System that could	3rd Draft		Comment submitted by SERC



NERC Antitrust Guidelines

It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition. It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

Disclaimer

Participants are reminded that this meeting is public. Notice of the meeting was posted on the NERC website and widely distributed. The notice included the number for dial-in participation. Participants should keep in mind that the audience may include members of the press and representatives of various governmental authorities, in addition to the expected participation by industry stakeholders.

NERC Standards Development Process-Participant Conduct Policy

https://www.nerc.com/pa/Stand/Resources/Documents/NERC_Participant_Conduct_Policy.pdf