

Standard Development Timeline

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

Development Steps Completed

1. SAR posted for comment (July 2, 2008 through July 31, 2008).
2. Revised SAR and response to comments posted (December 1, 2008).
3. SC authorized moving the SAR forward to standard development (December 16–17, 2008).
4. SDT appointed (February 12, 2009).
5. First draft of proposed standard posted (November 10, 2009).
6. Project became inactive until February, 2013.
7. Second draft of standard posted for 30 day informal comment period (July 25-August 23, 2013).

Description of Current Draft

This is the third draft of the proposed standard and is being posted for stakeholder comments and an initial ballot. This draft includes the modifications based on comments submitted by stakeholders, as well as items identified in the SAR and applicable FERC directives from FERC Order 693.

Anticipated Actions	Anticipated Date
45-day Formal Comment Period with Parallel Initial Ballot	September – October 2013
Recirculation ballot	December 2013
BOT adoption	February 2014
File standard with regulatory authorities.	February 2014

Effective Dates

The first day of the first calendar quarter that is six months after the date that this standard is approved by an applicable governmental authority or as otherwise provided for in a jurisdiction where approval by an applicable governmental authority is required for a standard to go into effect. Where approval by an applicable governmental authority is not required, the standard shall become effective on the first day of the first calendar quarter that is six months after the date this standard is adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees or as otherwise provided for in that jurisdiction.

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking
0	April 1, 2005	Effective Date	New
1	May 2, 2006	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees	Revised
2	TBD	Adopted by the NERC Board of Trustees	Revised under Project 2008-12

When this standard has received ballot approval, the text boxes will be moved to the Application Guidelines Section of the Standard.

A. Introduction

1. **Title:** **Implementation of Interchange**
2. **Number:** **INT-009-2**
3. **Purpose:** To ensure that Balancing Authorities implement the Interchange as agreed upon in the Interchange confirmation process.
4. **Applicability:**
 - 4.1. Balancing Authority.
5. **Background:**

This standard was revised as part of the Project 2008-12 Coordinate Interchange Standards effort to combine requirements from the various INT standards into a fewer number of standards and in a logical sequence. The focus of INT-009-2 continues to be the Balancing Authority to Balancing Authority Interchange confirmation process for Interchange Transactions prior to their implementation.

The Requirements in INT-009-2 have been expanded to include previous Measures from INT-009-1 and acknowledge Dynamic Schedules and Pseudo-Ties. A new term “Composite Confirmed Interchange” has been introduced.

The content of INT-009-2 has been revised and expanded in the following manner:

- R1 was combined with INT-003-3 R1 and modified to ensure that a Balancing Authority agrees to a Composite Confirmed Interchange with each of its Adjacent Balancing Authorities.
- R2 was created to ensure that Adjacent Balancing Authorities incorporating a Pseudo-Tie agree to a common source for their Actual Net Interchange term for their ACE controls.
- R3 was created by revising R1.2 from INT-003-3. This requirement ensures that the Balancing Authority that controls a high-voltage direct current tie coordinates the Confirmed Interchange.

B. Requirements and Measures

- R1.** Each Balancing Authority shall agree with each of its Adjacent Balancing Authorities that its Composite Confirmed Interchange with that Adjacent Balancing Authority, at mutually agreed upon time intervals, excluding Dynamic Schedules and Pseudo-Ties and including any Interchange per INT-010-2 not yet captured in the Composite Confirmed Interchange, is: [*Violation Risk Factor: Medium*] [*Time Horizon: Real-time Operations*]
 - 1.1. Identical in magnitude to that of the Adjacent Balancing Authority, and
 - 1.2. Opposite in sign or direction to that of the Adjacent Balancing Authority.

M1. The Balancing Authority shall have evidence (such as dated logs, voice recordings, electronic records, or other evidence) that its Composite Confirmed Interchange, excluding Dynamic Schedules and Pseudo-Ties and including any Interchange as directed per INT-010-2 not yet captured in the Composite Confirmed Interchange, was agreed to by each Adjacent Balancing Authority, identical in magnitude to those of each Adjacent Balancing Authority, and opposite in sign to that of each Adjacent Balancing Authority. (R1)

R2. The Attaining Balancing Authority and the Native Balancing Authority shall use a dynamic value emanating from an agreed upon common source to account for the Pseudo-Tie in the Actual Net Interchange (NIA) term of their respective control ACE (or alternate control process). [*Violation Risk Factor: Medium*] [*Time Horizon: Real-time Operations*]

Rationale for R2: R12.3 of BAL-005-2b addresses common metering for Dynamic Schedules and Pseudo-Ties but not their implementation into ACE. Requirement R2 is parallel to R10 of BAL-005-2b which only addresses Dynamic Schedules. Presently, there is a gap in the BAL standards that this requirement fills for Pseudo-Ties.

M2. The Balancing Authority shall have evidence (such as dated logs, voice recordings, electronic records, written agreement or other evidence) that it used a dynamic value emanating from an agreed upon common source to account for the Pseudo-Tie in the Actual Net Interchange (NIA) term of their respective control ACE (or alternate control process). (R2)

R3. Each Balancing Authority in whose area the high-voltage direct current tie is controlled shall coordinate the Confirmed Interchange prior to its implementation with the Transmission Operator of the high-voltage direct current tie. [*Violation Risk Factor: Medium*] [*Time Horizon: Real-time Operations, Operations Planning*]

M3. The Balancing Authority shall have evidence (such as dated logs, electronic records, or other evidence) that it coordinated the Confirmed Interchange prior to its implementation with the Transmission Operator of the high-voltage direct current tie. (R3)

C. Compliance

1. Compliance Monitoring Process

1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority

Regional Entity

1.2. Evidence Retention

The Balancing Authority shall keep data or evidence to show compliance as identified below unless directed by its Compliance Enforcement Authority (CEA) to retain specific evidence for a longer period of time as part of an investigation. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the CEA may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was compliant for the full time period since the last audit.

- The Balancing Authority shall maintain evidence to show compliance with R1, R2 and R3 for the most recent 3 months plus the current month.

If a Balancing Authority is found non-compliant, it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until found compliant.

The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.

1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes:

Compliance Audit

Self-Certification

Spot Checking

Compliance Investigation

Self-Reporting

Complaint

1.4. Additional Compliance Information

None

Table of Compliance Elements

R #	Time Horizon	VRF	Violation Severity Levels			
			Lower VSL	Moderate VSL	High VSL	Severe VSL
R1	Real-time Operations	Medium	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Balancing Authority did not reach agreement with an Adjacent Balancing Authority on the magnitude or sign of its Composite Confirmed Interchange, at mutually agreed upon time intervals, excluding Dynamic Schedules and Pseudo-Ties and including any Interchange per INT-010-2 not yet captured in the Composite Confirmed Interchange.
R2	Real-time Operations	Medium	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Balancing Authority failed to use a dynamic value emanating from an agreed upon common source to account for the Pseudo-Tie in the Actual Net Interchange (NIA) term of their respective control ACE (or alternate control process).
R3	Real-time Operations, Operations Planning	Medium	N/A	N/A	N/A	The Balancing Authority failed to coordinate the Confirmed Interchange prior to its implementation with the Transmission Operator of the high-voltage direct current tie.

D. Regional Variances

None.

E. Interpretations

None.

F. Associated Documents

None.

Application Guidelines

Guidelines and Technical Basis

Requirement R1:

Requirement R2:

Requirement R3: