

## Consideration of Comments on Initial Ballot — MOD-029-1

Entity	Segment	Vote	Comment
Brazos Electric Power Cooperative, Inc.	1	Negative	A NEGATIVE vote is cast for this standard as written as it imposes obligations on entities in the ERCOT region that do not utilize ATC paths and calculation methodologies to manage congestion or for reliability operations. Our previous submitted comments suggested that applicability language be included in the requirements to recognize that such market difference exists.
Exelon Energy	1	Affirmative	General comment These standards bring the industry closer to a unified ATC calculation methodology by requiring that one of three calculation methodologies be utilized and documented. This is an improvement from where the industry is today but falls short of FERC Order No. 890. The standards still lack a requirement for ATC or AFC calculations to be consistent with criteria used in operating and planning studies for corresponding time periods. Exelon's comments reflect these deficiencies and Exelon will be making these same points to FERC if these standards are approved, requesting that the FERC direct NERC to approve the standards but modify the standards to be consistent with Order No. 890. Suggested modifications to the standards to achieve this consistency are included in our comments. MOD-028-1 Area Interchange Methodology, MOD-029-1 Rated System Path Methodology. Both standards need the following requirement added: Use first contingency criteria consistent with those first contingency used in operations studies and planning studies for the applicable time periods, including use of Special Protection Systems.
Southwest Transmission Cooperative, Inc.	1	Affirmative	SWTC supports all elements of MOD-29; however, there is a minority opinion that the VSLs as redrafted to accommodate the industry comments have blurred the lines of severity and grant additional discretion to the enforcement entity.
New York Independent System Operator	2	Abstain	The NYISO abstains from voting on this proposed standard. The NYISO appreciates recent feedback from the Standards Drafting Team on several rounds of comments requesting that revisions be made to the language of this proposed standard in order to: (i) expressly accommodate the NYISO's FERC-approved market design and financial reservation based open access transmission system; and (ii) eliminate any possible question as to whether the NYISO's existing approach to calculating ATC satisfies the requirements of the proposed standards. The Standards Drafting Team has indicated that it believes that the NYISO's existing procedures are compliant with the proposed standard. Nevertheless, the NYISO is abstaining in order to preserve its rights to seek a formal confirmation of its compliance from FERC or NERC.
City Public Service of San Antonio	3	Negative	I cannot vote for this standard as written. It needs to acknowledge definitive alternatives to ATC for regions or markets such as ERCOT where transmission service markets are not used.
Duke Energy Carolina	3	Affirmative	While we support approval of this standard, bulk electric system facilities 161kV and below may have significant network response. Since these facilities may have significant impact on TTC/AFC, documentation should be required by the standard for those facilities 161kV and below which are equivalized. This will provide transparency for impacted stakeholders.
Wisconsin Public Service Corp.	3	Abstain	This is the rated system path methodology that is used in WECC.
Public Utility District	4	Negative	We have not had sufficient time to review the effects of this change and coordinate it with others in our

## Consideration of Comments on Initial Ballot — MOD-029-1

---

Entity	Segment	Vote	Comment
No. 1 of Douglas County			region.
Electric Reliability Council of Texas, Inc.	10	Abstain	Although stated in the Applicability Section, the Requirements and Measures contain no clear applicability only to those Transmission Operators and Transmission Service providers who utilize the Rated System Path methodology in calculating TTC and ATC.
Midwest Reliability Organization	10	Abstain	This is the rated system path methodology that is used in WECC.