

NERC

NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC
RELIABILITY CORPORATION

Industry Webinar

NERC Project 2023-06: CIP-014 Risk Assessment Refinement

June 7, 2024

RELIABILITY | ACCOUNTABILITY



- Presenters
 - Standard Drafting Team
 - Chair, Karl Perman, CIP Corps.
 - Vice Chair, Patrick Quinn, Great River Energy
 - Drafting Team Member, Mina Turner, American Electric Power
 - NERC Staff
 - Ben Wu (Project Developer)
- Administrative Items
- Project 2023-06 Status and Background
- SAR Overview
- Proposed Revisions
- Implementation Plan
- Next Steps
- Questions and Answers

- It is NERC's policy and practice to obey the antitrust laws and to avoid all conduct that unreasonably restrains competition. This policy requires the avoidance of any conduct that violates, or that might appear to violate, the antitrust laws. Among other things, the antitrust laws forbid any agreement between or among competitors regarding prices, availability of service, product design, terms of sale, division of markets, allocation of customers or any other activity that unreasonably restrains competition. It is the responsibility of every NERC participant and employee who may in any way affect NERC's compliance with the antitrust laws to carry out this commitment.

- Public Announcement
 - Participants are reminded that this meeting is public. Notice of the meeting was widely distributed. Participants should keep in mind that the audience may include members of the press and representatives of various governmental authorities, in addition to the expected participation by industry stakeholders.
 - **Be aware that this is a public proceeding, and comments are publicly available upon submission. DO NOT include any CEII or otherwise sensitive information in your comments.**
- Presentation Material
 - Information used herein is used for presentation purposes and may not reflect the actual work of the official posted materials.
- For the official record
 - This presentation is not a part of the official project record.
 - Comments must be submitted during the formal posting.
- Q&A Session
 - Q/A feature or the raise hand feature.

Name	Organization/ Company
Karl Perman (Chair)	CIP Corps
Patrick Quinn (Vice Chair)	Great River Energy
Per-Anders Lof	National Grid
Qamar Arsalan	Public Service Electric & Gas
David Schooley	Exelon
Joel Rogers	SERC Reliability Corporation
Kirpal Bahra	Hydro One Networks Inc.
Bart White	Duke Energy
Mina Turner	American Electric Power

- At the October 18, 2023 Standards Committee (SC) meeting, the SC appointed the chair, vice chair, and drafting team members to Project 2023-06 - CIP-014 Risk Assessment Refinement.
- A Quality Review (QR) for this Project was conducted from April 18 – 23, 2024.
- At the SC's meeting on May 15, 2024 , the SC authorized initial posting for this project.
- The initial posting is currently posted from May 20, 2024 through July 3, 2024.

- **Background**

- Due to an increase in reports of physical attacks on electric substations, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) issued an Order on December 15, 2022, in Docket No. RD23-2-000, that directed NERC to conduct a study to evaluate:
 - (1) The adequacy of the Applicability criteria set forth in the Physical Security Reliability Standard CIP-014-3 (Physical Security Reliability Standard);
 - (2) The required risk assessment set forth in the Physical Security Reliability Standard; and
 - (3) Whether a minimum level of physical security protections should be required for all Bulk-Power System transmission stations and substations and primary control centers.

- **Purpose/Goal**

- The goal of Project 2023-06 is to identify and physically protect those Transmission stations, Transmission substations, and their associated primary control centers that are critical to the reliable and secure operation of the BPS. Registered entity approaches for the risk assessment must be reasonably consistent and substantiated with sufficient technically based rationale.

- The scope of the SAR is limited to CIP-014-3 R1, with modifications as follows:
 - Clarify the risk assessment methods for studying instability, uncontrolled separation, and Cascading within an Interconnection. The methods should account for dynamic studies.
 - Clarify the case(s) used for the risk assessment to be tailored to the Requirement R1 in-service window and correct any discrepancies between the study period, frequency of study, and the base case(s) a Transmission Owner uses.
 - Assure the adequacy and consistent implementation of technically supported justification for study decisions. Clarity should include specificity regarding the documentation, and usage of criteria to identify instability, uncontrolled separation, or Cascading within an Interconnection occur as part of a risk assessment.
 - Clarify what study scenario(s) and other study assumptions are appropriate and reasonable considering the intent of CIP-014-3 and the potential range of issues during a physical attack. Simulations should incorporate the loss of station elements without the reliance on local system protection.
 - Clarify how to account for adjacent Transmission stations or Transmission substations of differing ownership as well as for those Transmission stations or Transmission substations within line-of-sight to each other.

- The drafting team attempted to address each of the items in the SAR
 - Maintain a balance between greater specificity in the requirements without being overly prescriptive
 - Acknowledgement of existing industry practices developed in response to the 2021 CMEP guidance and other sources such as NATF and TPL-001
 - R1 is being broken into additional requirements
 - R1: Applicability
 - The schedule will be every 36 months for everyone
 - R2: Proximity Criteria
 - Each TO must define how it handles proximity
 - R3: Methodology
 - Each TO must have a methodology
 - R4: Coordination of jointly owned facilities
 - R5: Conducting the risk assessment

- **R1: Applicability**
 - The criteria for stations considered to be applicable is largely unchanged from CIP-014-3
 - The only significant change is for stations that are in proximity when none are individually applicable
 - In this case, the stations would be evaluated for applicability as if they were combined into a single substation
 - This situation is uncommon, but it is known to occur
- **M1: The list of applicable stations**

- R2: Proximity
 - Each TO shall have a documented criteria for identifying substations that are in proximity
 - At a minimum, the criteria shall include:
 - Line of site
 - Ease of access
 - Close enough proximity that a single event can impact multiple stations
- M2: The criteria and list of stations that meet the proximity criteria

- R3: Risk Assessment Methodology
 - This is the most significant change because CIP-014-3 was largely silent
 - R3: Requires a documented risk assessment methodology
 - 3.1: Rationale for determining acceptable load loss, generation loss, and post-event response
 - 3.1.1: List of conditions to monitor
 - 3.1.2: Documented thresholds for load loss and acceptable generation loss
 - 3.2: Requirement for dynamic and steady state simulations for each applicable substation
 - There is an “off ramp” for stations that are determined to be critical by steady-state or dynamic simulations

- R3: Risk Assessment Methodology
 - 3.3: Fault simulations
 - 3.3.1: Bolted 3-phase fault at highest voltage level for single substations
 - 3.3.1: Single-phase faults at highest voltage levels for stations in proximity
 - 3.4: Loss of communications and system protection
 - 3.4.1: Delayed clearing unless otherwise justified
 - 3.4.2: Use of actual instead of generic clearing times unless otherwise justified
- M3: Documentation of risk assessment methodology

- R4: Joint Ownership
 - TOs are required to coordinate with other owners of jointly owned stations to determine responsibilities for risk assessments
- M4: Documentation of coordination

- R5: Performing the risk assessment
 - To be performed every 36-months
 - The 36-month period was chosen to align with model-building requirements in other NERC standards
 - The previous 30-month period made this difficult
- If a station is classified as critical, then no additional assessments need to be performed as long as the station is classified as critical
- Previous requirement for identifying the primary control center for each critical substation is unchanged
- M5: Documentation of the risk assessment

- The scope of the SAR was limited to CIP-014-3 Requirement R1
 - CIP-014-3 Requirements R2 through R6 have simply been renumbered

- The revised standard adds clarity to Requirement R1 and defines how proximity impacts the list of applicable substations
 - Requirements for CIP-014-3 risk assessments were effectively determined by the 2021 CMEP guidance
 - CIP-014-4 eliminates the previous ambiguity about what is required in the assessments
- The draft implementation plan is for the effective date to be 24 calendar months after approval
 - A risk assessment that fulfills CIP-014-4 requirements should also be acceptable for CIP-014-3

- Posting
 - [Project Page 2023-06](#)
 - 45-day comment period and formal ballot May 20 – July 3, 2024
- Point of contact
 - Ben Wu, Senior Standards Developer
 - Ben.Wu@nerc.net or call 470-542-6882
- Webinar posting
 - Three business days
 - Standards Bulletin

A stylized map of North America is centered on the page. The map is divided into three horizontal color bands: a light blue band at the top, a medium blue band in the middle, and a dark blue band at the bottom. The text "Questions and Answers" is overlaid in the middle band. The text is in a bold, black, sans-serif font. The map shows the outlines of the United States, Canada, and Mexico.

Questions and Answers