

NERC

NORTH AMERICAN ELECTRIC
RELIABILITY CORPORATION

2022 Summer Reliability Assessment

May 2022



Table of Contents

Preface	2	Regional Assessments Dashboards	14
About this Assessment.....	3	MISO	15
Key Findings	4	MRO-Manitoba Hydro	16
Summer Temperature and Drought Forecasts	7	MRO-SaskPower	17
Wildfire Risk Potential and BPS Impacts	8	NPCC-Maritimes	18
Risk Discussion	9	NPCC-New England.....	19
Transfers in a Wide-Area Event	13	NPCC-New York	20
		NPCC-Ontario.....	21
		NPCC-Québec	22
		PJM	23
		SERC-East	24
		SERC-Central	25
		SERC-Southeast.....	26
		SERC-Florida Peninsula	27
		SPP	28
		Texas RE-ERCOT	29
		WECC-NWPP-AB	30
		WECC-NWPP-BC	31
		WECC-CA/MX.....	32
		WECC-NWPP-US	33
		WECC-SRSG	34
		Data Concepts and Assumptions	35
		Resource Adequacy.....	37
		Changes from Year-to-Year	38
		Net Internal Demand	39
		Demand and Resource Tables.....	40
		Variable Energy Resource Contributions	45

2022 Summer Reliability Assessment


Preface

The vision for the Electric Reliability Organization Enterprise, which is comprised of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) and the six Regional Entities, is a highly reliable and secure North American bulk power system (BPS). Our mission is to assure the effective and efficient reduction of risks to the reliability and security of the grid.

Reliability | Resilience | Security

Because nearly 400 million citizens in North America are counting on us

The North American BPS is made up of six Regional Entities boundaries as shown in the map below. The multicolored area denotes overlap as some load-serving entities participate in one Regional Entities while associated Transmission Owners/Operators participate in another. Refer to the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) section for more information. A map and list of the assessment areas can be found in the [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#) section.



MRO	Midwest Reliability Organization
NPCC	Northeast Power Coordinating Council
RF	ReliabilityFirst
SERC	SERC Reliability Corporation
Texas RE	Texas Reliability Entity
WECC	WECC

About this Assessment

NERC's *2022 Summer Reliability Assessment (SRA)* identifies, assesses, and reports on areas of concern regarding the reliability of the North American BPS for the upcoming summer season. In addition, the *SRA* presents peak electricity demand and supply changes as well as highlights any unique regional challenges or expected conditions that might impact the BPS. The reliability assessment process is a coordinated reliability evaluation between the NERC Reliability Assessment Subcommittee, the Regional Entities, and NERC staff with demand and resource projections obtained from the assessment areas. This report reflects NERC and the ERO Enterprise's independent assessment and is intended to inform industry leaders, planners, operators, and regulatory bodies so that they are better prepared to take necessary actions to ensure BPS reliability. This report also provides an opportunity for the industry to discuss plans and preparations to ensure reliability for the upcoming summer period.

Key Findings

NERC's annual SRA covers the upcoming four-month (June–September) summer period. This assessment provides an evaluation of generation resource and transmission system adequacy and energy sufficiency to meet projected summer peak demands and operating reserves. This assessment identifies potential reliability issues of interest and regional topics of concern. While the scope of this seasonal assessment is focused on the upcoming summer, the key findings are consistent with risks and issues that NERC has highlighted in the *2021 Long-Term Reliability Assessment* and other earlier reliability assessments and reports.

The following findings are NERC and the ERO Enterprise's independent evaluation of electricity generation and transmission capacity and potential operational concerns that may need to be addressed for the 2022 summer:

Summer Resource Adequacy Assessment and Energy Risk Analysis

- **Midcontinent ISO (MISO) faces a capacity shortfall in its North and Central areas, resulting in high risk of energy emergencies during peak summer conditions.** Capacity shortfall projections reported in the *2021 LTRA* and as far back as the *2018 LTRA* have continued. Load serving entities in 4 of 11 zones entered the annual planning resource auction (PRA) in April 2022 without enough owned or contracted capacity to cover their requirements. Across MISO, peak demand projections have increased by 1.7% since last summer due in part to a return to normal demand patterns that have been altered in prior years by the pandemic. However, more impactful is the drop in capacity in the most recent PRA: MISO will have 3,200 MW (2.3%) less generation capacity than in the summer of 2021. System operators in MISO are more likely to need operating mitigations, such as load modifying resources or non-firm imports, to meet reserve requirements under normal peak summer conditions. More extreme temperatures, higher generation outages, or low wind conditions expose the MISO North and Central areas to higher risk of temporary operator-initiated load shedding to maintain system reliability.
- **At the start of the summer, a key transmission line connecting MISO's northern and southern areas will be out of service.** Restoration continues on a 4-mile section of 500 kV transmission line that was damaged by a tornado during severe storms on December 10, 2021. The transmission outage affects 1,000 MW of firm transfers between the Midwestern and Southern MISO system that includes parts of Arkansas, Louisiana, and Mississippi. The transmission line is expected to be restored at the end of June 2022.
- **Anticipated resource capacity in Saskatchewan will be strained to meet peak demand projections, which have risen by over 7.5% since 2021.** SaskPower is projected to remain

above their planning reserve margin threshold and have sufficient operating reserves for normal peak conditions. However, external assistance is expected to be needed in extreme conditions that cause above-normal generator outages or demand.

- **Drought conditions create heightened reliability risk for the summer.** Drought exists or threatens wide areas of North America, resulting in unique challenges to area electricity supplies and potential impacts on demand:
 - **Energy output from hydro generators throughout most of the Western United States is being affected by widespread drought and below-normal snowpack.** Dry hydrological conditions threaten the availability of hydroelectricity for transfers throughout the Western Interconnection. Some assessment areas, including WECC's California-Mexico (CA/MX) and Southwest Reserve Sharing Group (SRS), depend on substantial electricity imports to meet demand on hot summer evenings and other times when variable energy resource (e.g., wind, solar) output is diminishing. In the event of wide-area extreme heat event, all U.S. assessment areas in the Western Interconnection are at risk of energy emergencies due to the limited supply of electricity available for transfer.
 - **Extreme drought across much of Texas can produce weather conditions that are favorable to prolonged, wide-area heat events and extreme peak electricity demand.** Resource additions to the ERCOT system in recent years—predominantly solar and some wind—have raised Anticipated Reserve Margins above Reference Margin Levels and ease concerns of capacity shortfalls for normal peak demand. However, extreme heat increases peak demand and can be accompanied by weather patterns that lead to increased forced outages or reduced energy output from resources of all types. A combination of extreme peak demand, low wind, and high outage rates from thermal generators could require system operators to use emergency procedures, up to and including temporary manual load shedding.
 - **As drought conditions continue over the Missouri River Basin, output from thermal generators that use the Missouri River for cooling in Southwest Power Pool (SPP) may be affected in summer months.** Low water levels in the river can impact generators with once-through cooling and lead to reduced output capacity. Energy output from hydro generators on the river can also be affected by drought conservation measures implemented in the reservoir system. Outages and reduced output from thermal and hydro generation could lead to energy shortfalls at peak demand. Periods of above normal wind generator output may give some relief, however, this energy is not assured. System operators could require emergency procedures to meet peak demand during periods of high generator unavailability.

- All other areas have sufficient resources to manage normal summer peak demand and are at low risk of energy shortfalls from more extreme demand or generation outage conditions. Anticipated Reserve Margins meet or surpass the Reference Margin Level, indicating that planned resources in these areas are adequate to manage the risk of a capacity deficiency under normal conditions. Furthermore, based on risk scenario analysis in these areas, resources and energy appear adequate.




Figure 1: Summer Reliability Risk Area Summary

Seasonal Risk Assessment Summary	
High	Potential for insufficient operating reserves in normal peak conditions
Elevated	Potential for insufficient operating reserves in above-normal conditions
Low	Sufficient operating reserves expected

Other Reliability Issues for Summer

- Supply chain issues and commissioning challenges on new resource and transmission projects are a concern in areas where completion is needed for reliability during summer peak periods. Assessment areas report that some generation and transmission projects are being impacted by product unavailability, shipping delays, and labor shortages. At the time of this assessment publication, WECC-CA/MX, and WECC-SRSR have sizeable amounts of generation capacity in development and included in their resource projections for summer. In Texas (ERCOT), transmission expansion projects are underway to alleviate transmission constraints and maintain system stability as the BPS is adapted to rapid growth in new generation; delays or cancellations of transmission projects can cause transmission system congestion during peak conditions and affect the ability to serve load in localized areas. Should project delays emerge, affected Generator Owners (GOs) and Transmission Owners must communicate changes to Balancing Authorities (BAs), Transmission Operators, and Reliability Coordinators, so that impacts are understood and steps are taken to reduce risks of capacity deficiencies or energy shortfalls.
- Coal-fired GOs are having difficulty obtaining fuel and non-fuel consumables as supply chains are stressed. No specific BPS reliability impacts are currently foreseen; however, coal stockpiles at power plants are relatively low compared to historical levels. Some owners and operators report challenges in arranging replenishment due to mine closures, rail shipping limitations, and increased coal exports. Some GOs have implemented controls to maintain sufficient stocks for peak months while BAs and Reliability Coordinators are continuing to conduct fuel surveys and monitoring the situation.
- The electricity and other critical infrastructure sectors face cyber security threats from Russia and other potential actors amid heightened geopolitical tensions in addition to ongoing cyber risks. Russian attackers may be planning or attempting malicious cyber activity to gain access and disrupt the electric grid in North America in retaliation for support to Ukraine. The Electricity Infrastructure Sharing and Analysis Center (E-ISAC) continues to exchange information with its members and has posted communications and guidance from government partners and other advisories on its Portal. E-ISAC members are encouraged to check in regularly to receive updates and to actively share information regarding threats and other malicious activities with the E-ISAC to enable broader communication with other sector participants and government partners.
- Unexpected tripping of solar photovoltaic (PV) resources during grid disturbances continues to be a reliability concern. In May and June 2021, the Texas Interconnection experienced widespread solar PV loss events like those previously observed in the California area. Similarly, four additional solar PV loss events occurred between June and August 2021 in California.

- During these events, widespread loss of solar PV resources was also coupled with the loss of synchronous generation, unintended interactions with remedial action schemes, and some tripping of distributed energy resources. As industry urgently takes steps to address systemic reliability issues through modeling, planning, and interconnection processes, system operators in areas with significant amounts of solar PV resources should be aware of the potential for resource loss events during grid disturbances.
- **An active late-summer wildfire season in the Western United States and Canada is anticipated, posing BPS reliability risks.** Government agencies warn of the potential for above-normal wildfire risk beginning in June across much of Canada, in the U.S. South Central states, and Northern California. If drought conditions persist, the fire outlook for late summer would likely extend across the Western half of North America. The interconnected transmission system can be impacted in areas where wildfires are active as well as areas where there is heightened risk of wildfire ignition due to dry weather and ground conditions. In addition, smoke from wildfires can cause diminished output from solar PV resources, and electricity supply will be affected by lower output from BPS-connected solar PV resources. Conversely, system demand may increase as part of distribution demand served by rooftop solar PV is less in smoky conditions.

ERO Actions to Reduce Risks of Unexpected Solar PV Tripping

Industry experience with unexpected tripping of BPS-connected solar PV generation units can be traced back to the 2016 Blue Cut fire in California, and similar events have occurred as recently as Summer 2021. A common thread with these events is the lack of inverter-based resource (IBR) ride-through capability causing a minor system disturbance to become a major disturbance. The latest disturbance report reinforces that improvements to NERC Reliability Standards are needed to address systemic issues with IBRs. At a high level, these include the following:

- **Performance-Based Requirements:** A number of NERC Reliability Standards require documentation that demonstrates compliance with the requirement (i.e., PRC-024-3); however, they do not specify a certain degree of performance that must be met. NERC has initiated action against this issue by developing a standards authorization request and strongly recommends that PRC-024 be retired and replaced with a comprehensive ride-through standard that focuses specifically on the generator protections and controls.
- **Performance Validation Requirement:** NERC has initiated action against this issue by developing a reliability guideline on interconnection requirements as well as issuing recommendations from recent disturbance reports. NERC strongly recommends that a performance validation standard be developed that ensures that Reliability Coordinators, Transmission Operators, or BAs are assessing the performance of interconnected facilities during grid disturbances, identifying any abnormalities, and executing corrective actions with affected facility owners to eliminate these issues. This requires entities to have strong interconnection requirements as NERC highlights in its reliability guidelines and disturbance reports.
- **Electromagnetic Transient Modeling and Model Quality Assurance:** NERC has initiated action against this issue by issuing recommendations in recent disturbance reports and strongly recommends that electromagnetic transient (EMT) modeling and studies be incorporated into NERC Reliability Standards to ensure that adequate reliability studies are conducted to ensure reliable operation of the BPS moving forward. Existing positive sequence simulation platforms have limitations in their ability to identify possible performance issues, many of which can be identified using EMT modeling and studies. As the penetration of IBRs continues to grow across North America, the need for EMT modeling and studies will only grow exponentially. Furthermore, NERC Reliability Standards need enhancements to ensure that model accuracy and model quality checks are explicitly defined.

Summer Temperature and Drought Forecasts

Peak electricity demand in most areas is directly influenced by temperature. Weather officials are expecting above normal temperatures for much of North America this summer (see Figure 2). In addition, drought exists or threatens wide areas of North America, resulting in unique challenges to area electricity supplies and potential impacts on demand.¹ Assessment area load forecasts account for many years of historical demand data, often up to 30 years, to predict summer peak demand and prepare for more extreme conditions. Above average seasonal temperatures can contribute to high peak demand as well as increases in forced outages for generation and some BPS equipment. Effective preseason maintenance and preparations are particularly important to BPS reliability in severe or prolonged periods of above-normal temperatures.




Figure 2: United States and Canada Summer Temperature Outlook²

¹ See North American Drought Monitor: <https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/temp-and-precip/drought/nadm/maps>

² Seasonal forecasts obtained from U.S. National Weather Service and Natural Resources Canada: https://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/predictions/long_range/ and https://weather.gc.ca/saisons/prob_e.html

Wildfire Risk Potential and BPS Impacts

Above-normal fire risk at the beginning of the summer exists in much of Canada as well as in the U.S. South Central states, Northern California, and Oregon, setting the stage for an active fire season at the beginning of the summer (see [Figure 3](#)). In late summer, hotter and drier conditions are expected to cause elevated fire risk in California and the U.S. West Coast. BPS operation can be impacted in areas where wildfires are active as well as areas where there is heightened risk of wildfire ignition due to weather and ground conditions.




Figure 3: North American Seasonal Fire Assessment for June and July 2022³

Wildfire prevention planning in California and other areas includes power shut-off programs in high fire-risk areas. When conditions warrant implementing these plans, power lines (including transmission-level lines) may be preemptively de-energized in high fire-risk areas to prevent wildfire ignitions. Other wildfire risk mitigation activities include implementing enhanced vegetation management, equipment inspections, system hardening, and added situational awareness measures. In January 2021, the ERO published the *Wildfire Mitigation Reference Guide*⁴ to promote preparedness within the North American electricity power industry and share the experience and practices from utilities in the Western Interconnection.

³ See *North American Seasonal Fire Assessment and Outlook*, April 2022: https://www.predictiveservices.nifc.gov/outlooks/NA_Outlook.pdf

⁴ See the NERC *Wildfire Mitigation Reference Guide*, January 2021: https://nerc.com/comm/RSTC/Documents/Wildfire%20Mitigation%20Reference%20Guide_January_2021.pdf

Risk Discussion

WECC: Western Interconnection

An elevated risk of energy emergencies persists across the U.S. Western Interconnection this summer as dry hydrological conditions threaten the availability of hydroelectric energy for transfer. Periods of high demand over a wide area will result in reduced supplies of energy for transfer, causing operators to rely primarily on alternative resources for system balancing, including natural-gas-fired generators and battery systems.

Throughout the Western Interconnection, BAs rely on flexible resources to support balancing the increasingly weather-dependent load with the variable energy generation within the resource mix. Dispatchable generation from hydroelectric and thermal plants internal to the BA's area as well as imports of surplus energy in another area are called upon by operators when area shortfalls are anticipated. Under normal conditions, there is sufficient energy and resource capacity and an adequate transmission network for transfers between areas to meet system ramping needs. However, conditions like wide-area heat events can reduce the availability of resources for transfer as areas serve higher internal demands. Additionally, transmission networks can become stressed when events like wildfires or wide-area heatwaves cause network congestion. The growing reliance on transfers within the Western Interconnection and falling resource capacity in many adjacent areas increases the risk that extreme events will lead to load interruption.

Recent Heatwave Events in the Western Interconnection

From August 14 through August 19, 2020, the Western United States suffered an intense and prolonged heatwave that affected many areas across the Western Interconnection.⁵ Because of above-average temperatures, generation and transmission capacity struggled to keep up with increased electricity demand. Throughout many supply-constrained hours over this same period, generation resource output was below preseason peak forecasts for nearly all resource types, including natural gas, wind, solar, and hydroelectric. During the event, 10 Western Interconnection BAs issued 18 separate energy emergency alerts (EEA). The impacts of the August heatwave struck the entirety of the Western Interconnection and caused a peak demand record of 162,017 MW on August 18, 2020, at 4:00 p.m. Mountain time. Although demand peaked on August 18, the most severe reliability consequence of the heatwave event occurred at the beginning, when 1,087 MW of firm load was shed on August 14 and 692 MW was shed on August 15 in California. System operators at the California ISO initiated rotating electricity outages to reduce demand during early evening hours so that operating reserves would be sufficient to prevent even greater consequences for the system.

The West experienced another wide-area extreme temperature event in 2021. From late-June through mid-July, high temperatures extended over a broad area that included Northern California, Idaho, Western Nevada, Oregon, and Washington state in the United States as well as in British Columbia and (in its latter phase) Alberta, Manitoba, the Northwest Territories, Saskatchewan, and Yukon areas in Canada. Temperatures reached 121 degrees Fahrenheit in some areas, and peak demand records were set in British Columbia and Alberta. BAs in California, the U.S. Northwest, and the Canadian province of Saskatchewan issued EEAs.

In summer, WECC's CA/MX, the Northwest Power Pool (NWPP), and SRSR assessment areas can be exposed to greater risk of resource shortfalls for the hours that immediately follow afternoon peak demand. The reason the risk is greater in these hours is that solar resource output is diminishing with the setting sun while demand is still near its daily high. The scenarios for all three areas shown in [Figure 4](#) illustrate (six charts) how the need for imports changes from the peak demand hour to the higher risk hours that follow; see the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) for more information about these charts. Anticipated resources in the high risk hours are lower than the on peak hours due to reduced solar PV output. During periods of peak demand and normal forced outages, anticipated resources in each assessment area provide the needed energy to ensure demand and operating reserve requirements are met. Demand or resource derates from extreme conditions that cannot be remedied with imports will result in energy emergencies and the potential for load shedding. In prior summers, only CA/MX had greatest risk exposure in hours after peak demand; off-peak risk has increased in other parts of the Western Interconnection this year.

⁵ WECC August Heat Wave Event information: [WECC's August Heat Wave Analysis Presentation](#)




Figure 4: Risk Scenarios for WECC U.S. Assessment Areas




Figure 4 (continued): Risk Scenarios for WECC U.S. Assessment Areas

WECC performed probabilistic studies and identified a continued risk of energy shortfalls for the WECC-CA/MX area. Their analysis models expected demand and resource contribution over all hours and accounts for variability with historical distributions. Assuming that the nearly 3.4 GW of new resource additions come into service in California for the summer, the Loss-of-Load Hours (LOLH) metric of projected hours with insufficient resources to meet planning reserve criteria will be one hour for the California portion. In a scenario without the new resource additions, the LOLH increases to four hours. Expected unserved energy (EUE) in California for these two scenarios is 4 MWh and 8,755 MWh, respectively. In the Mexico portion of CA/MX, LOLH of 10 and 14 hours and EUE of 100 and 200 MWh, respectively, are projected. All other WECC assessment areas have negligible load-loss and unserved energy for the summer. WECC’s probabilistic study modeling includes non-firm transfers between WECC assessment areas and provides a wide-area assessment of resource adequacy. The WECC studies show that, as more areas experience the same high-demand conditions during wide-area heat events, the supply of electricity for transfer across the Interconnection is reduced and the risk of unserved energy increases.

Risk Assessments of Resource and Demand Scenarios

Seasonal risk scenarios for each assessment area are presented in the [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#) section. The on-peak reserve margins and seasonal risk scenario chart in each dashboard provide potential summer peak demand and resource condition information. The reserve margins on the right side of the dashboard pages provide a comparison to the previous year’s assessment. The seasonal risk scenario charts present deterministic scenarios for further analysis of different demand and resource levels with adjustments for normal and extreme conditions. The assessment areas determined the adjustments to capacity and peak demand based on methods or assumptions that are summarized below the seasonal risk scenario charts; see the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) for more information about this chart.

The seasonal risk scenario charts can be expressed in terms of reserve margins. In [Table 1](#), each assessment area’s Anticipated Reserve Margins are shown alongside the reserve margins for a typical generation outage scenario (where applicable) and the extreme demand and resource conditions in their seasonal risk scenario. Highlighted areas are identified as having resource adequacy or energy risks for the summer in the key findings discussion. The typical outages reserve margin is comprised of anticipated resources minus the capacity that is likely to be in maintenance or forced outage at peak demand. If the typical maintenance or forced outage margin is the same as the anticipated reserve margin, it is because an assessment area has already factored typical outages into the anticipated resources. The extreme conditions

margin includes all components of the scenario and represents the most severe operating conditions of an area’s scenario. Note that any reserve margin below zero indicates that the resources fall below demand in the scenario.

Extreme generation outages, low resource output, and peak loads similar to those experienced in August 2020 are reliability risks in certain areas for the upcoming summer. When forecasted resources fall below expected demand, grid operators would need to employ operating mitigations or EEAs to obtain the capacity and energy necessary to meet extreme peak demands. Table 2 describes the various EEA levels and the circumstances for each.

EEA Level	Description	Circumstances
EEA 1	All available generation resources in use	The BA is experiencing conditions where all available generation resources are committed to meet firm load, firm transactions, and reserve commitments and is concerned about sustaining its required contingency reserves. Non-firm wholesale energy sales (other than those that are recallable to meet reserve requirements) have been curtailed.
EEA 2	Load management procedures in effect	The BA is no longer able to provide its expected energy requirements and is an energy deficient BA. An energy deficient BA has implemented its operating plan(s) to mitigate emergencies. An energy deficient BA is still able to maintain minimum contingency reserve requirements.
EEA 3	Firm Load interruption is imminent or in progress	The energy deficient BA is unable to meet minimum contingency reserve requirements.

Assessment Area	Anticipated Reserve Margin	Anticipated Reserve Margin with Typical Outages	Anticipated Reserve Margin with Higher Demand, Outages, Derates in Extreme Conditions
MISO	21.1%	3.2%	-8.3%
MRO-Manitoba	27.3%	21.5%	7.8%
MRO-SaskPower	12.2%	2.6%	-5.3%
NPCC-Maritimes	39.2%	28.7%	11.7%
NPCC-New England	20.6%	9.3%	-2.5% ⁶
NPCC-New York	30.4%	22.4%	13.5%
NPCC-Ontario	18.0%	18.0%	3.0%
NPCC-Québec	40.3%	40.3%	35.0%
PJM	31.7%	23.9%	16.1%
SERC-Central	18.3%	10.7%	3.3%
SERC-East	21.4%	18.3%	11.3%
SERC-Florida Peninsula	20.7%	17.3%	15.1%
SERC-Southeast	29.8%	25.4%	17.4%
SPP	30.6%	12.3%	-4.7%
Texas RE-ERCOT	22.0%	15.9%	1.1%
WECC-NWPP-AB	19.7%	17.2%	5.3%
WECC-NWPP-BC	39.3%	39.1%	10.4%
WECC-CA/MX	31.5%	25.4%	-13.1%
WECC-NWPP-US	18.3%	16.3%	-13.8%
WECC-SRSG	16.3%	11.8%	-6.8%

⁶ Energy and capacity is sufficient for a broad range of normal and above-normal scenarios in the NPCC-New England area for the summer. This negative reserve margin indicates that a scenario combining extreme high demand and extremely-low resources could, however, result in an energy emergency.


Transfers in a Wide-Area Event


When above-normal temperatures extend over a wide area, resources can be strained in multiple assessment areas simultaneously, increasing the risk of shortfalls. Some assessment areas expect imports from other areas to be available to meet periods of peak demand and have contracted for firm transfer commitments. A summary of area firm on-peak imports and exports is shown in [Table 3](#). Firm resource transactions like these are accounted for in all assessment area anticipated resources and reserve margins. Areas with net imports show a positive transfer amount, and areas with net exports show a negative transfer amount. Only areas that contained transfers for the previous or upcoming summer seasons are shown in [Table 3](#); the data in this table is sourced from the data adequacy tables in the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) section. In the unlikely event that multiple assessment areas are experiencing energy emergencies as could occur in a wide-area heatwave, some transfers may be at risk of not being fulfilled. Transfer agreements may include provisions that allow the exporting entity to prioritize serving native load. Loss of transfers could exacerbate resource shortages that occur from outages and derates.

Assessment Area	2021 Summer Transfers (MW)	2022 Summer Transfers (MW)	Year-to-Year Change
MISO	2,979	1,353	-54.6%
MRO-Manitoba	-1,596	-1,816	13.8%
MRO-SaskPower	125	290	132.0%
NPCC-Maritimes	-57	64	-212.3%
NPCC-New England	1,208	1,292	7.0%
NPCC-New York	1,816	2,465	35.7%
NPCC-Ontario	80	150	87.5%
NPCC-Québec	-1,995	-2,304	15.5%
PJM	1,460	124	-91.5%
SERC-Central	172	-795	-561.6%
SERC-East	562	612	8.9%
SERC-Florida Peninsula	1,007	300	-70.2%
SERC-Southeast	-1,115	-2,524	126.4%
SPP	186	-144	-177.6%
Texas RE-ERCOT	210	20	-90.5%
WECC-AB	0	437	N/A
WECC-BC	0	0	N/A
WECC-CA/MX	686	0	-100.0%
WECC-NWPP-US	6,139	2,517	-59.0%
WECC-SRSG	866	1,002	15.7%

Regional Assessments Dashboards

The following assessment area dashboards and summaries were developed based on data and narrative information collected by NERC from the six Regional Entities on an assessment area basis. The operational risk analysis shown in the following regional assessments dashboard pages provides a deterministic scenario for understanding how various factors that affect resources and demand can combine to impact overall resource adequacy. For each assessment area, there is a risk-period scenario graphic; the left blue column shows anticipated resources (from the Demand and Resource Tables), and the two orange columns at the right show the two demand scenarios of the normal peak net internal demand (from the Demand and Resource Tables) and the extreme summer peak demand determined by the assessment area. The middle red or green bars show adjustments that are applied cumulatively to the anticipated resources. Adjustments may include reductions for typical generation outages (maintenance and forced not already accounted for in anticipated resources) and additions that represent the quantified capacity from operational tools (if any) that are available during scarcity conditions but have not been accounted for in the SRA reserve margins. Resources throughout the scenario are compared against expected operating reserve requirements that are based on peak load and normal weather. The cumulative effects from extreme events are also factored in through additional resource derates or low-output scenarios.






MISO

MISO is a not-for-profit, member-based organization that administers wholesale electricity markets that provide customers with valued service; reliable, cost-effective systems and operations; dependable and transparent prices; open access to markets; and planning for long-term efficiency.

MISO manages energy, reliability, and operating reserve markets that consist of 36 local BA and 394 market participants, serving approximately 42 million customers. Although parts of MISO fall in three Regional Entities, MRO is responsible for coordinating data and information submitted for NERC’s reliability assessments.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Tighter than normal operating conditions are anticipated, particularly in the MISO North/Central region, which cleared too little capacity in the 2022–2023 PRA. The PRA capacity shortfall of 1,230 MW signals a potential for operating risk during peak summer conditions.
- Continued operating measures, such as MISO maximum generation events, can be expected in order to give system operators access load modifying resources (demand response) that can only be called upon once available generation is at maximum capacity.
- MISO performs an annual loss-of-load expectation (LOLE) study to determine its installed reserve margin and other probabilistic reliability indices. Based on results of the 2021 analysis, MISO expects low amounts of EUE in the summer season. The greatest risk occurs in the month of July, coinciding with the typical peak in annual demand.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources do not meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand and outage scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios studied.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast using 30 years of historical data
- Maintenance Outages:** Rolling five-year average of maintenance and planned outages
- Forced Outages:** Five-year average of all outages that were not planned
- Extreme Derates:** Maximum of last five years of outages
- Operational Mitigations:** Total of 2.4 GW capacity resources available during extreme operating conditions




MRO-Manitoba Hydro

Manitoba Hydro is a provincial crown corporation that provides electricity to about 580,000 customers throughout Manitoba and natural gas service to about 282,000 customers in various communities throughout Southern Manitoba. The Province of Manitoba has a population of about 1.3 million in an area of 250,946 square miles.

Manitoba Hydro is winter-peaking. No change in the footprint area is expected during the assessment period. Manitoba Hydro is its own Planning Coordinator and Balancing Authority. Manitoba Hydro is a coordinating member of MISO. MISO is the Reliability Coordinator for Manitoba Hydro.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Manitoba Hydro is not anticipating any emerging reliability issues in its assessment area for the upcoming season.
- Four Keeyask hydro units were added this past year (approximately 93 MW each). Two additional Keeyask generating units are anticipated to come on line for Summer 2022, and these are listed as Planned Tier 1 generation.
- There are no significant seasonal reliability issues identified in neighboring assessment areas that have the potential to impact Manitoba Hydro operations.
- The probability-based resource adequacy risk assessment for the summer (June–September) season is that there is a very low risk of resource adequacy issues.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under the assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and minimum probability of exceedance forecast load
- Outages:** Accounts for average forced outages, including 69 MW of reduced generation capacity due to drought conditions
- Extreme Derates:** Brandon units 6 and 7 summer capacity temperature derates




MRO-SaskPower

Saskatchewan is a province of Canada and comprises a geographic area of 651,900 square kilometers (251,700 square miles) with approximately 1.1 million customers. Peak demand is experienced in the winter.

The Saskatchewan Power Corporation (SaskPower) is the Planning Coordinator and Reliability Coordinator for the province of Saskatchewan and is the principal supplier of electricity in the province.

SaskPower is a provincial crown corporation and, under provincial legislation, is responsible for the reliability oversight of the Saskatchewan Bulk Electric System (BES) and its interconnections.




Highlights

- Saskatchewan experiences high load in summer as a result of extreme hot weather.
- SaskPower conducts an annual summer joint operating study with Manitoba Hydro with inputs from Basin Electric (North Dakota) and prepares operating guidelines for any identified issues.
- The risk of operating reserve shortage during peak load times or EEAs could increase if large generation forced outages combine with large planned maintenance outages during peak load times in May, June, July, August, and October.
- In case of extreme thermal conditions combined with large generation forced outages, SaskPower would use available demand response programs, short-term power transfers from neighboring utilities, and short-term load interruptions.
- SaskPower has performed a probability-based capacity adequacy study to assess risk of high forced outages that would lead to the use of emergency operating procedures. Forced outages of 300 MW or greater that coincide with peak demand may result in demand response and potential load interruptions to maintain system balance. There is an 8.2% probability of having forced outages of 300 MW or greater this summer.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

Risk Period: Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour

Demand Scenarios: Net internal demand (50/50) and above-normal scenario based on peak demand with lighting and all consumer loads

Maintenance Outages: Average of planned maintenance outages for the summer months of June–September 2021

Forced Outages: Estimated by using SaskPower forced outage model

Operational Mitigations: Estimated average value based on short-term transfer capability from neighboring utilities for the upcoming 2022 summer



NPCC-Maritimes

The Maritimes assessment area is a winter-peaking NPCC area that contains two Balancing Authorities. It is comprised of the Canadian provinces of New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Prince Edward Island, and the Northern portion of Maine, which is radially connected to the New Brunswick power system. The area covers 58,000 square miles with a total population of 1.9 million.


Highlights

- The Maritimes area has not identified any operational issues that are expected to impact system reliability. If an event was to occur, there are emergency operations and planning procedures in place. All of the area’s declared firm capacity is expected to be operational for the summer operating period.
- Dual-fuel units will have sufficient supplies of heavy fuel oil on-site as part of the planning process to enable sustained operation in the event of natural gas supply interruptions.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment, the Maritimes assessment area shows a cumulative likelihood greater than 0.5 days/period of using their operating procedures and a cumulative likelihood of reducing their 30-minute reserve requirements (10 days/period) and initiating interruptible loads (5 days/period) over the 2022 summer period for the base case scenario, assuming the highest peak load levels.
- The Maritimes area is winter peaking. No significant cumulative LOLE, LOLH, and EUE risks were estimated over the summer May–September period for all scenarios simulated.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios.


On-Peak Reserve Margins



On-Peak Fuel Mix



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (99/1) extreme demand forecast
- Outages:** Based on historical operating experience
- Extreme Derates:** Based on historical data for ambient temperature thermal de-rates
- Low Wind Scenario:** A low-likelihood scenario resulting in no wind resources




NPCC-New England

ISO New England (ISO-NE) Inc. is a regional transmission organization that serves the six New England states of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont. It is responsible for the reliable day-to-day operation of New England’s bulk power generation and transmission system, administers the area’s wholesale electricity markets, and manages the comprehensive planning of the regional BPS.

The New England BPS serves approximately 14.5 million customers over 68,000 square miles.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- The New England area expects to have sufficient capacity to meet the 2022 summer peak demand forecast. As of April 5, 2022, the peak summer (net internal) demand is forecast to be 24,817 MW for the week of July 24, 2022, with a projected net margin of 1,705 MW (6.9%). The 2022 summer (net internal) demand forecast takes into account the demand reductions associated with energy efficiency, load management, behind-the-meter PV systems, and distributed generation.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment, ISO-NE may rely on limited use of its operating procedures designed to mitigate resource and energy shortages during the summer. Negligible cumulative LOLE, LOLH, and EUE risks were estimated over the summer period for all modeled scenarios except the severe low-likelihood case. This reduced resource case with highest peak load scenario resulted in a small estimated cumulative LOLE risk of ~0.6 days/period with associated LOLH (~2.1 hours/period) and EUE (~1,603 MWh/period) risk this is divided between June and August. This scenario is based exclusively on the two highest load levels with a 7% chance of occurring and a low resource case consisting of 10% reduction in NPCC resources and PJM reductions.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load, combined with extreme outage conditions, could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))


- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy occurs at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Peak net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) extreme demand forecast
- Maintenance & Forced Outages:** Based on historical weekly averages
- Extreme Derates:** Represent a case that is beyond the (90/10) conditions based on historical observation of force outages, additional reductions for generation at risk due to operating issues at extreme hot temperatures, and other outage causes reported by generators
- Operational Mitigations:** Based on load and capacity relief assumed available from invocation of ISO-NE operating procedures



NPCC-New York

The New York Independent System Operator (NYISO) is responsible for operating New York’s BPS, administering wholesale electricity markets, and conducting system planning. The NYISO is the only Balancing Authority within the state of New York. The BPS encompasses over 11,000 miles of transmission lines, 760 power generation units, and serves 20.2 million customers. The established Reference Margin Level is 15%. Wind, grid-connected solar, and run-of-river totals were derated for this calculation. However, New York requires load serving entities to procure capacity for their loads equal to their peak demand plus an IRM. The IRM requirement represents a percentage of capacity above peak load forecast and is approved annually by the New York State Reliability Council (NYSRC). NYSRC approved the 2022–2023 IRM at 19.6%.”

On-Peak Fuel Mix





Highlights

- The NYISO is not anticipating any operational issues in the New York control area for the upcoming summer operating period. Adequate capacity margins are anticipated and existing operating procedures are sufficient to handle any issues that may occur.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment, NYISO is expected to require limited use of operating procedures designed to mitigate resource shortages during the summer. Only the highest peak load scenarios with base and reduced resource cases require operating procedures. Negligible cumulative LOLE, LOLH, and EUE risks were estimated over the summer period for all modeled scenarios.
- The analysis included simulation of a base case (normal 50/50 demand and expected resources) and a highest peak load scenario as well as including a low-likelihood reduced resource case that considers the impacts of extended maintenance in Southeastern New York, reduction in the effectiveness of demand response programs, and reduced import and transfer capabilities. This low-likelihood reduced resource scenario is based exclusively on the two highest load levels representing an average 10–15% increase in peak loads over the 50/50 forecast with a combined 7% probability of occurring. Additional constraints include an estimated 10% reduction in NPCC resources and PJM reductions.

Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) extreme demand forecast
- Forced Outages:** Based on historical 5-year averages
- Operational Mitigations:** A total of 3.3 GW based on operational/emergency procedures in area *Emergency Operations Manual*



NPCC-Ontario

The Independent Electricity System Operator (IESO) is the Balancing Authority for the province of Ontario. The province of Ontario covers more than 1 million square kilometers (415,000 square miles) and has a population of more than 14 million.




Ontario is interconnected electrically with Québec, MRO-Manitoba, states in MISO (Minnesota and Michigan), and NPCC-New York.

Highlights

- The ongoing transmission outage at the New York-St Lawrence interconnection continues to impact import and export capacity between Ontario and New York. This issue is expected to be resolved by the third quarter of 2022.
- Ontario is entering a period of tighter supply conditions brought on by rising demand and the ongoing nuclear refurbishment program; during summer months, planned generation maintenance outages will be more challenging to accommodate than they have been previously. Nonetheless, Ontario expects to have sufficient generation resources available to meet its needs throughout the summer of 2022, and its transmission system is expected to continue to reliably supply province-wide demand throughout the season.
- Based on an NPCC probabilistic assessment, IESO is expected to require limited use of operating procedures designed to mitigate resource shortages during the summer for the low-likelihood reduced resource case. This low-likelihood reduced resource scenario is based exclusively on the two highest load levels that represent an average 10–15% increase in peak loads over the 50/50 forecast with a combined 7% probability of occurring. Additional constraints include an estimated 10% reduction in NPCC resources and PJM reductions.
- Negligible cumulative LOLE, LOLH, and EUE risks are estimated over the May–September summer period for all simulated scenarios.

Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios studied.



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))


- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50 Forecast) and highest weather-adjusted daily demand based on 31 years of demand history
- Extreme Derates:** Derived from weather-adjusted temperature rating of thermal units and adjustments to expected hydro production for low water conditions
- Operational Mitigations:** Imports anticipated from neighbors during emergencies



NPCC-Québec

The Québec assessment area (Province of Québec) is a winter-peaking NPCC area that covers 595,391 square miles with a population of 8 million.

Québec is one of the four Interconnections in North America; it has ties to Ontario, New York, New England, and the Maritimes; consisting of either HVDC ties, radial generation, or load to and from neighboring systems.




Highlights

- Québec is a winter peaking system, and no particular resource adequacy problems are forecast for the upcoming summer.
- Québec expects to be able to provide assistance to other areas if needed up to the transfer capability available.
- Québec has had no major generation or transmission additions since the 2021 NERC SRA.
- The Québec assessment area is not expected to require use of their operating procedures that are designed to mitigate resource shortages during the summer of 2022 based on an NPCC probability assessment. The Québec area is winter peaking and has a large reserve margin for the summer period. As a result, Québec does not indicate having any measurable amounts of cumulative LOLE, LOLH, or EUE risks over the May–September summer period for all the scenarios modeled.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under the assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

Risk Period: Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour

Demand Scenarios: Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast


Net Firm Transfers: Imports anticipated from neighbors during emergencies



PJM

PJM Interconnection is a regional transmission organization that coordinates the movement of wholesale electricity in all or parts of Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia. PJM serves 65 million customers and covers 369,089 square miles.

PJM is a Balancing Authority, Planning Coordinator, Transmission Planner, Resource Planner, Interchange Authority, Transmission Operator, Transmission Service Provider, and Reliability Coordinator.




Highlights

- PJM expects no resource problems over the entire 2022 summer peak season because installed capacity is over two times the reserve requirement.
- PJM continues to request fuel inventory and supply data of coal and oil resources (including dual-fuel units). This data request, sent every two weeks, started prior to the 2021–2022 winter season as a result of increasing reports of existing and future supply shortages of fuel and non-fuel consumables. In order to maintain situational awareness throughout the spring and into the summer of 2022, PJM is continuing efforts to monitor potential impacts of fuel and non-fuel consumables supply as well as delivery status on generation resources.
- PJM is expecting a low risk of experiencing periods of resources falling below required operating reserves during Summer 2022 based on the 2021 PJM Reserve Requirement Study. As indicated in the study, PJM is forecasting around 33% installed reserves (including expected committed Demand Resources), well above the target installed reserve margin of 14.9%.
- No other reliability issues are expected.

Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast
- Forced Outages:** Based on historical data and trending
- Extreme Derates:** Accounts for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions
- Operational Mitigations:** A total of 2.3 GW based on operational/emergency procedures




SERC-East

SERC-East is a summer-peaking assessment area within the SERC Regional Entity. SERC-East includes North Carolina and South Carolina.

SERC is one of the six companies across North America that are responsible for the work under Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved delegation agreements with NERC. SERC is specifically responsible for the reliability and security of the electric grid across the Southeastern and Central areas of the United States. This area covers approximately 630,000 square miles and serves a population of more than 91 million.

The SERC Regional Entity includes 36 Balancing Authorities, 28 Planning Authorities, and 6 Reliability Coordinators.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Entities in SERC-East have not identified any potential reliability issues for the upcoming season. The entities continue to perform resource studies to ensure resource adequacy to meet the summer peak demand and to maintain system reliability. Entities reported that coal inventory is in the upper allowed range to maintain reliability.
- Entities in SERC-East continue to participate actively in the SERC Near-Term and Long-Term Working Groups. These groups identify emerging and potential reliability impacts to transmission and resource adequacy as well as with transfer capability.
- Entities in SERC-East are not anticipating operational challenges for the upcoming summer season.
- Probabilistic analysis performed for SERC-East shows almost no risk for resource shortfall for the summer. SERC-East has a small amount of EUE in August but a negligible amount at other times (EUE < 0.4 MWh).

Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast
- Maintenance Outages:** Adjusted for higher outages resulting from extreme summer temperatures and aggregated on a SERC subregional level
- Forced Outages:** Accounts for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions
- Operational Mitigations:** A total of 1.6 GW based on operational/emergency procedures




SERC-Central

SERC-Central is a summer peaking assessment area within the SERC Regional Entity. SERC-Central includes all of Tennessee, portions of Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, Missouri, and Kentucky.

SERC-Central is one of the six companies across North America that are responsible for the work under Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved delegation agreements with NERC. SERC-Central is specifically responsible for the reliability and security of the electric grid across the Southeastern and Central areas of the United States. This area covers approximately 630,000 square miles and serves a population of more than 91 million.

The SERC Regional Entity includes 36 Balancing Authorities, 28 Planning Authorities, and 6 Reliability Coordinators.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Entities in SERC-Central continue to work collaboratively to ensure reliability for its area within SERC and to promote reliability and adequacy.
- Entities in SERC-Central continue to participate actively in the SERC Near-Term and Long-Term Working Groups, among others, in order to identify and address emerging and potential reliability impacts to transmission and resource adequacy along with transfer capability.
- Entities in SERC-Central have not identified any potential reliability issues for the upcoming summer season.
- Entities anticipate having adequate system capacity for the upcoming season and are equipped to address unexpected, short-term issues leveraging its diverse generation portfolio and spot purchases from the power markets when necessary.
- Probabilistic analysis performed for SERC-Central indicates minimal risk for resource shortfall.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast
- Maintenance Outages:** Adjusted for higher outages resulting from extreme summer temperatures and aggregated on a SERC subregional level
- Forced Outages:** Accounts for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions
- Operational Mitigations:** A total of 0.5 GW based on operational/emergency procedures




SERC-Southeast

SERC-Southeast is a summer peaking assessment area within the SERC Regional Entity. SERC-Southeast includes all or portions of Georgia, Alabama, and Mississippi.

SERC is one of the six companies across North America that are responsible for the work under Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved delegation agreements with NERC. SERC is specifically responsible for the reliability and security of the electric grid across the Southeastern and Central areas of the United States. This area covers approximately 630,000 square miles and serves a population of more than 91 million.

The SERC Regional Entity includes 36 Balancing Authorities, 28 Planning Authorities, and 6 Reliability Coordinators.




Highlights

- Entities in SERC-Southeast have not identified any emerging reliability issues for the upcoming summer that will impact resource adequacy. The available system capacity for the upcoming summer meets or exceeds the reserve margin target. Reliability is supported by a diverse fuel mix, firm natural gas contracts, and power purchases.
- Entities in SERC-Southeast continue to participate actively in the SERC Near-Term and Long-Term Working Groups. These groups identify emerging and potential reliability impacts to transmission and resource adequacy along with transfer capability.
- Probabilistic analysis performed for SERC-Southeast shows there is low risk for resource shortfall for the summer. Load loss and unserved energy indices are negligible for SERC-Southeast throughout the summer.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast
- Maintenance Outages:** Adjusted for higher outages resulting from extreme summer temperatures and aggregated on a SERC subregional level
- Forced Outages:** Accounts for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions
- Operational Mitigations:** A total of 2.5 GW based on operational/emergency procedures




SERC-Florida Peninsula

SERC-Florida Peninsula is a summer peaking assessment area within SERC.

SERC is one of the six companies across North America that are responsible for the work under Federal Energy Regulatory Commission approved delegation agreements with NERC. SERC is specifically responsible for the reliability and security of the electric grid across the Southeastern and Central areas of the United States. This area covers approximately 630,000 square miles and serves a population of more than 91 million.

The SERC Regional Entity includes 36 Balancing Authorities, 28 Planning Authorities, and 6 Reliability Coordinators.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Entities in SERC-Florida Peninsula have not identified any emerging reliability issues or operational concerns for the upcoming summer.
- Entities in SERC-Florida Peninsula continue to participate actively in the SERC Near-Term and Long-Term Working Groups. These groups identify emerging and potential reliability impacts to transmission and resource adequacy along with transfer capability.
- Entities within the Florida Peninsula area have reported no operational challenges for the upcoming summer based on current expected system conditions. The BES within the Florida Peninsula is expected to perform reliably for the anticipated 2022 summer season.
- SERC Probabilistic analysis performed for SERC-Florida Peninsula shows there is low risk for resource shortfall for the summer. Load loss and unserved energy indices for SERC-Florida Peninsula are spread across the summer months and remain relatively low (LOLH < 0.03 and EUE < 18 MWH).


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins




Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast
- Maintenance Outages:** Adjusted for higher outages resulting from extreme summer temperatures and aggregated on a SERC subregional level
- Forced Outages:** Accounts for reduced thermal capacity contributions due to performance in extreme conditions
- Operational Mitigations:** A total of 3.9 GW based on operational/emergency procedures




SPP

Southwest Power Pool (SPP) Planning Coordinator footprint covers 546,000 square miles and encompasses all or parts of Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, and Wyoming.

The SPP long-term assessment is reported based on the Planning Coordinator footprint, which touches parts of the Midwest Reliability Organization Regional Entity and the WECC Regional Entity. The SPP assessment area footprint has approximately 61,000 miles of transmission lines, 756 generating plants, and 4,811 transmission-class substations, and it serves a population of more than 18 million.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- SPP projects a low likelihood of any emerging reliability issues impacting the area for the 2022 summer season.
- The current planning reserve margin should minimize risks of BA capacity deficiencies for summer.
- BA generation capacity deficiency risks remain depending on wind generation output levels and unanticipated generation outages in combination with high load periods.
- There are concerns that drought conditions will impact the Missouri River and other water sources used by generation resources that rely on once-through cooling processes.
- Using current operational processes and procedures, SPP will continue to assess the needs for the 2022 summer season and will adjust as needed to ensure that real time reliability is maintained throughout the summer.


Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios studied.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))


- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and extreme demand is a 5% increase from net internal demand
- Maintenance & Forced Outages:** Calculated from SPP’s generator assessment process
- Generation Unavailability:** Risk from higher outages to protect against 99.5th percentile of historical coincident generation
- Operational Mitigations:** A total of 2 GW of behind the meter generation and demand response to be deployed in the event of an emergency alert



Texas RE-ERCOT

The Electric Reliability Council of Texas (ERCOT) is the ISO for the ERCOT Interconnection and is located entirely in the state of Texas; it operates as a single BA. It also performs financial settlement for the competitive wholesale bulk-power market and administers retail switching for nearly 8 million premises in competitive choice areas. ERCOT is governed by a board of directors and subject to oversight by the Public Utility Commission of Texas and the Texas Legislature. ERCOT is a summer-peaking Regional Entity that covers approximately 200,000 square miles, connects over 52,700 miles of transmission lines, has over 1,000 generation units, and serves more than 26 million customers. Lubbock Power & Light joined the ERCOT grid on June 1, 2021. Texas RE is responsible for the Regional Entity functions described in the Energy Policy Act of 2005 for the ERCOT Regional Entity.

On-Peak Fuel Mix





Highlights

- The amount of renewable installed capacity expected to be available during upcoming summer peak demand hours is higher by about 4,100 MW relative to the amount reported in last year’s SRA.
- Most of ERCOT is experiencing severe drought conditions, setting the stage for a hotter-than-normal summer.
- Transmission expansion projects in development to add resources or address system performance are being closely monitored for delays or cancellations. Occurrences may contribute to localized reliability concerns.
- On May 9, 2021, a single-line-to-ground fault occurred at a combined-cycle power plant near Odessa, Texas. The fault impacted several solar and wind plants. In response to the NERC report on the disturbance event, ERCOT established an Inverter-based Resource Task Force to facilitate assessment of recommendations to address IBR issues identified in the report.
- An emerging challenge for transmission planning and system operations is the interest in developing new cryptocurrency mining facilities in ERCOT. ERCOT and its stakeholders have recently formed a task force to address the issues associated with these large flexible loads.
- ERCOT’s Summer 2022 probabilistic assessment indicates a low risk (6% probability) of declaring a Level 1 Energy Emergency Alert (EEA1) during the expected daily peak load hour. The EEA1 risk is slightly higher from 6:00–8:00 p.m. Central time with the highest-risk hour being 7:00 p.m. This shifting of capacity scarcity risk to later hours is due to the large increase in solar capacity over the last two years. Nevertheless, the overall daily risk is lower than for the Summer 2021 model simulation. For example, the EEA1 peak load hour risk for Summer 2021 was higher at 12%.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ interruptible load programs and additional operating mitigations reflected in the scenario. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios studied.



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and extreme demand represents 90th percentile of forecasted summer peaks from 2006–2020
- Forced Outages:** Based on the historical averages of forced outages for June through September weekdays, hours ending 3:00–8:00 p.m. local time for the last three (2019–2021) summer seasons
- Extreme Derates:** Based on the 95th percentile of historical averages of forced outages for June through September weekdays, hours ending 3:00–8:00 p.m. local time for the last three (2019–2021) summer seasons
- Operational Mitigations:** Additional capacity from switchable generation and additional imports




WECC-NWPP-AB

WECC-NWPP-AB (Alberta) is an assessment area in the WECC Regional Entity that consists of the province of Alberta, Canada.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 39 Balancing Authorities, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million customers, it is geographically the largest and most diverse Regional Entity.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the Northern portion of Baja California in Mexico as well as all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.



Highlights


- There are potential natural gas supply-side tightening concerns.
- Reserve margins are tighter but still expected to be adequate.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the WECC-NWPP-AB assessment area had negligible LOLH and EUE.

On the peak risk hour at 6:00 p.m. local time, under a summer peak defined as a one-in-ten probability at the 90th percentile, and with either one of the combination of derates on their own or any two in combination, Alberta is expected to have sufficient resource availability to meet demand and cover reserves. However, if all derate conditions were combined concurrently, Alberta would likely need to seek external assistance for imports.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios studied.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast
- Forced Outages:** Average seasonal outages
- Extreme Derates:** Using (90/10) scenario
- Low Hydro Scenario:** Reduced hydro availability resulting from drought conditions




WECC-NWPP-BC

WECC-NWPP-BC (British Columbia) is an assessment area in the WECC Regional Entity that consists of the province of British Columbia, Canada.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 39 Balancing Authorities, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million customers, it is geographically the largest and most diverse Regional Entity.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the Northern portion of Baja California in Mexico as well as all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.



Highlights

- Planned resources in Tier 1 have moved into existing certain.
- Reserve margins are up across the board and adequate.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the WECC-NWPP-BC assessment area had negligible LOLH and EUE.
- On the peak risk hour at 6:00 p.m. local time, under a summer peak defined as a 1-in-10 probability at the 90th percentile, and with any combination of derates other than hydro, BC is expected to have sufficient resource availability to meet demand and cover reserves. However, if a 1-in-10 probability at the 10th percentile of hydro conditions was to occur, BC would need to locate external assistance for imports. Summer 2022 hydro availability in BC is not expected to fall that low despite continued mega-drought conditions across much of the West.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under the assessed scenarios.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at peak demand hour
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) and (90/10) demand forecast
- Forced Outages:** Average seasonal outages
- Extreme Derates:** Using (90/10) scenario
- Low Hydro Scenario:** Reduced hydro availability resulting from drought conditions




WECC-CA/MX

WECC-CA/MX (California-Mexico) is an assessment area in the WECC Regional Entity that includes parts of California, Nevada, and Baja California, Mexico.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 39 Balancing Authorities, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million customers, it is geographically the largest and most diverse Regional Entity.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the Northern portion of Baja California in Mexico as well as all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- California ISO is procuring resources to improve reliability risks.
- Localized short-term operational issues may occur due to wildfires, droughts, and/or supply chain issues.
- As cooling degree days continue to rise across the Western Interconnection, there is a risk that is higher than the historical average of prolonged heatwave events
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the California portion of the assessment area is projected to have an LOLH of 1.0 hours and an EUE of 4 MWh. The Mexico portion is projected to have an LOLH of 10.0 hours and an EUE of 100 MWh.
- On the peak risk hour at 8:00 p.m. local time, there is an under 1-in-10 summer peak probability at the 90th percentile, including firm transfers. The CA/MX area is not expected to have sufficient resource availability to meet demand and cover reserves under any of the scenarios on their own, including typical forced outages; CA/MX will need to locate additional external assistance for imports.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios studied.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See Data Concepts and Assumptions)

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at 8:00 p.m. local time as solar PV output is diminished and demand remains high
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) at risk hour and (90/10) demand forecast at risk hour
- Forced Outages:** Estimated using market forced outage model
- Extreme Derates:** On natural gas units based on historic data and manufacturer data for temperature performance and outages
- Low Hydro Scenario:** Reduced hydro availability resulting from drought conditions




WECC-NWPP-US

WECC-NWPP-US (Northwest Power Pool) is an assessment area in the WECC Regional Entity. The area includes Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming and parts of California, Nebraska, Nevada, and South Dakota.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 39 Balancing Authorities, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million customers, it is geographically the largest and most diverse Regional Entity.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada, the Northern portion of Baja California in Mexico as well as all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.

On-Peak Fuel Mix




Highlights

- Potential drought conditions remain a concern.
- Reserve margins are up across the board and adequate.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the WECC-NWPP-US assessment area had negligible LOLH and EUE.
- On the peak risk hour at 7:00 p.m., local time and under a summer peak defined as a 1-in-10 probability, including firm transfers, the WECC-NWPP-US area is not expected to have sufficient resource availability to meet demand and cover reserves under any of the scenarios on their own, including typical forced outages; WECC-NWPP-US will need to locate additional external assistance for imports.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios studied.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at 7:00 p.m. local time as solar PV output is diminished and demand remains high
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) at risk hour and (90/10) demand forecast at risk hour
- Forced Outages:** Average seasonal outages
- Extreme Derates:** Using (90/10) scenario
- Low Hydro Scenario:** Reduced hydro availability resulting from drought conditions




WECC-SRSG

WECC-SRSG (Southwest Reserve Sharing Group) is an assessment area in the WECC Regional Entity. It includes Arizona, New Mexico, and part of California and Texas.

WECC is responsible for coordinating and promoting BES reliability in the Western Interconnection. WECC's 329 members, which include 39 Balancing Authorities, represent a wide spectrum of organizations with an interest in the BES. Serving an area of nearly 1.8 million square miles and more than 82 million customers, it is geographically the largest and most diverse Regional Entity.

WECC's service territory extends from Canada to Mexico. It includes the provinces of Alberta and British Columbia in Canada as well as the Northern portion of Baja California in Mexico and all or portions of the 14 Western United States in between.




Highlights

- Drought and supply chain issues are the main reliability concerns. Many solar developers are indicating to utilities that they will not be able to meet expected commission dates under executed and approved power purchase agreements, including at least 120 MW of PV planned for the 2022 summer.
- Reserve margins are expected to be adequate.
- Based on a WECC probabilistic assessment, the WECC-SRSG assessment area had negligible LOLH and EUE.
- On the peak risk hour is at 7:00 p.m., local time, under a summer peak defined as a 1-in-10 probability, and with either one of the derates on their own, SRSG is not expected to have sufficient resource availability to meet demand and cover reserves; SRSG will likely need to locate additional external assistance for imports.


Risk Scenario Summary

Expected resources meet operating reserve requirements under normal peak-demand scenarios. Above-normal summer peak load and outage conditions could result in the need to employ operating mitigations (i.e., demand response and transfers) and EEAs. Load shedding may be needed under extreme peak demand and outage scenarios studied.

On-Peak Reserve Margins



Risk-Period Scenario



Scenario Description (See [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#))

- Risk Period:** Highest risk for unserved energy at 7:00 p.m. local time as solar PV output is diminished and demand remains high
- Demand Scenarios:** Net internal demand (50/50) at risk hour and (90/10) demand forecast at risk hour
- Forced Outages:** Average seasonal outages
- Extreme Derates:** Using (90/10) scenario
- Low Hydro Scenario:** Reduced hydro availability resulting from drought conditions

Data Concepts and Assumptions

The table below explains data concepts and important assumptions used throughout this assessment.

General Assumptions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reliability of the interconnected BPS is comprised of both adequacy and operating reliability: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adequacy is the ability of the electricity system to supply the aggregate electric power and energy requirements of the electricity consumers at all times while taking into account scheduled and reasonably expected unscheduled outages of system components. ▪ Operating reliability is the ability of the electricity system to withstand sudden disturbances such as electric short-circuits or unanticipated loss of system components.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The reserve margin calculation is an important industry planning metric used to examine future resource adequacy.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All data in this assessment is based on existing federal, state, and provincial laws and regulations.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Differences in data collection periods for each assessment area should be considered when comparing demand and capacity data between year-to-year seasonal assessments.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>2021 Long-Term Reliability Assessment</i> data has been used for most of this 2022 summer assessment period augmented by updated load and capacity data.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A positive net transfer capability would indicate a net importing assessment area; a negative value would indicate a net exporter.
Demand Assumptions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electricity demand projections, or load forecasts, are provided by each assessment area.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Load forecasts include peak hourly load⁷ or total internal demand for the summer and winter of each year.⁸
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Total internal demand projections are based on normal weather (50/50 distribution⁹) and are provided on a coincident¹⁰ basis for most assessment areas.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Net internal demand is used in all reserve margin calculations, and it is equal to total internal demand then reduced by the amount of controllable and dispatchable demand response projected to be available during the peak hour.
Resource Assumptions
<p>Resource planning methods vary throughout the North American BPS. NERC uses the categories below to provide a consistent approach for collecting and presenting resource adequacy. Because the electrical output of variable energy resources (e.g., wind, solar) depends on weather conditions, their contribution to reserve margins and other on-peak resource adequacy analysis is less than their nameplate capacity.</p>

⁷ [Glossary of Terms](#) used in NERC Reliability Standards

⁸ The summer season represents June–September and the winter season represents December–February.

⁹ Essentially, this means that there is a 50% probability that actual demand will be higher and a 50% probability that actual demand will be lower than the value provided for a given season/year.

¹⁰ Coincident: This is the sum of two or more peak loads that occur in the same hour. Noncoincident: This is the sum of two or more peak loads on individual systems that do not occur in the same time interval; this is meaningful only when considering loads within a limited period of time, such as a day, a week, a month, a heating or cooling season, and usually for not more than one year. SERC and FRCC calculate total internal demand on a noncoincidental basis.

Anticipated Resources:

- **Existing-Certain Capacity:** Included in this category are commercially operable generating unit or portions of generating units that meet at least one of the following requirements when examining the period of peak demand for the summer season: unit must have a firm capability and have a power purchase agreement with firm transmission that must be in effect for the unit; unit must be classified as a designated network resource; and/or where energy-only markets exist, unit must be a designated market resource eligible to bid into the market.
- **Tier 1 Capacity Additions:** This category includes capacity that either is under construction or has received approved planning requirements.
- **Net Firm Capacity Transfers (Imports minus Exports):** This category includes transfers with firm contracts.

Prospective Resources: Includes all anticipated resources plus the following:

Existing-Other Capacity: Included in this category are commercially operable generating units or portions of generating units that could be available to serve load for the period of peak demand for the season but do not meet the requirements of existing-certain.

Reserve Margin Descriptions

Planning Reserve Margin: This is the primary metric used to measure resource adequacy; it is defined as the difference in resources (anticipated or prospective) and net internal demand then divided by net internal demand and shown as a percentage.

Reference Margin Level: The assumptions and naming convention of this metric vary by assessment area. The Reference Margin Level can be determined using both deterministic and probabilistic (based on a 0.1/year loss of load study) approaches. In both cases, this metric is used by system planners to quantify the amount of reserve capacity in the system above the forecasted peak demand that is needed to ensure sufficient supply to meet peak loads. Establishing a Reference Margin Level is necessary to account for long-term factors of uncertainty involved in system planning, such as unexpected generator outages and extreme weather impacts that could lead to increase demand beyond what was projected in the 50/50 load forecasted. In many assessment areas, a Reference Margin Level is established by a state, provincial authority, ISO/RTO, or other regulatory body. In some cases, the Reference Margin Level is a requirement. Reference Margin Levels may be different for the summer and winter seasons. If a Reference Margin Level is not provided by an assessment area, NERC applies 15% for predominately thermal systems and 10% for predominately hydro systems.

Seasonal Risk Scenario Chart Description

Each assessment area performed an operational risk analysis that was used to produce the seasonal risk scenario charts in the [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#). The chart presents deterministic scenarios for further analysis of different resource and demand levels: The left **blue** column shows anticipated resources, and the two **orange** columns at the right show the two demand scenarios of the normal peak net internal demand and the extreme summer peak demand—both determined by the assessment area. The middle **red** or **green** bars show adjustments that are applied cumulatively to the anticipated resources, such as the following:

- Reductions for typical generation outages (i.e., maintenance and forced, not already accounted for in anticipated resources)
- Reductions that represent additional outage or performance derating by resource type for extreme, low-probability conditions (e.g., drought condition impacts on hydroelectric generation, low-wind scenario affecting wind generation, fuel supply limitations, or extreme temperature conditions that result in reduced thermal generation output)
- Additional capacity resources that represent quantified capacity from operational procedures, if any, that are made available during scarcity conditions

Not all assessment areas have the same categories of adjustments to anticipated resources. Furthermore, each assessment area determined the adjustments to capacity based on methods or assumptions that are summarized below the chart. Methods and assumptions differ by assessment area and may not be comparable.

The chart enables evaluation of resource levels against levels of expected operating reserve requirement and the forecasted demand. Furthermore, the effects from extreme events can also be examined by comparing resource levels after applying extreme-scenario derates and/or extreme summer peak demand.

Resource Adequacy

The Anticipated Reserve Margin, which is based on available resource capacity, is a metric used to evaluate resource adequacy by comparing the projected capability of anticipated resources to serve forecast peak demand.¹¹ Large year-to-year changes in anticipated resources or forecast peak demand (net internal demand) can greatly impact Planning Reserve Margin calculations. All assessment areas have sufficient Anticipated Reserve Margins to meet or exceed their Reference Margin Level for the 2022 summer as shown in [Figure 9](#).





Figure 9: Summer 2022 Anticipated/Prospective Reserve Margins Compared to Reference Margin Level

¹¹ Generally, anticipated resources include generators and firm capacity transfers that are expected to be available to serve load during electrical peak loads for the season. Prospective resources are those that could be available but do not meet criteria to be counted as anticipated resources. Refer to the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) section for additional information on Anticipated/Prospective Reserve Margins, anticipated/prospective resources, and Reference Margin Levels.

Changes from Year-to-Year

Figure 10 provides the relative change in the forecast Anticipated Reserve Margins from the 2021 summer to the 2022 summer. A significant decline can indicate potential operational issues that emerge between reporting years. MRO-SaskPower, NPCC-Maritimes, NPCC-Québec, SERC-C, and WECC-AB have noticeable reductions in anticipated resources with MRO-SaskPower close to falling below its Reference Margin Level for the 2022 summer. MRO-SaskPower will rely on demand response and transfers from neighbors during a higher load scenario to avoid load interruption. The lower Anticipated Reserve Margins for NPCC-Maritimes, NPCC-Québec, SERC-C, and WECC-AB do not present reliability concerns on peak for this upcoming summer. Additional details for each assessment area are provided in the [Data Concepts and Assumptions](#) and [Regional Assessments Dashboards](#) sections.



Note: The areas that only have one bar have the same Reference Margin Level for both years.
Figure 10: Summer 2021 and Summer 2022 Anticipated Reserve Margins Year-to-Year Change

Net Internal Demand

The changes in forecasted Net Internal Demand for each assessment area are shown in [Figure 11](#).¹² Assessment areas develop these forecasts based on historic load and weather information as well as other long-term projections.




Figure 11: Change in Net Internal Demand: Summer 2021 Forecast Compared to Summer 2022 Forecast

¹² Changes in modeling and methods may also contribute to year-to-year changes in forecasted net internal demand projections.

Demand and Resource Tables

Peak demand and supply capacity data for each assessment area are provided below (in alphabetical order).

MISO Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	122,398	124,506	1.7%
Demand Response: Available	6,038	6,287	4.1%
Net Internal Demand	116,360	118,220	1.6%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	138,464	141,844	2.4%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	0	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	2,979	1,353	-54.6%
Anticipated Resources	141,443	143,197	1.2%
Existing-Other Capacity	633	669	5.7%
Prospective Resources	146,586	149,756	2.2%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	21.6%	21.1%	-0.5
Prospective Reserve Margin	26.0%	26.7%	0.7
Reference Margin Level	18.3%	17.9%	-0.4

MRO-Manitoba Hydro Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	2,965	3,059	3.2%
Demand Response: Available	0	0	-
Net Internal Demand	2,965	3,059	3.2%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	5,173	5,523	6.8%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	186	186	0.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-1,596	-1,816	13.8%
Anticipated Resources	3,763	3,893	3.4%
Existing-Other Capacity	37	44	18.8%
Prospective Resources	3,800	3,937	3.6%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	26.9%	27.3%	0.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	28.2%	28.7%	0.5
Reference Margin Level	12.0%	12.0%	0.0

MRO-SaskPower Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	3,400	3,656	7.5%
Demand Response: Available	60	60	0.0%
Net Internal Demand	3,340	3,596	7.7%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	3,863	3,743	-3.1%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	13.5	0	-100.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	125	290	132.0%
Anticipated Resources	4,002	4,033	0.8%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	4,002	4,033	0.8%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	19.8%	12.2%	-7.6
Prospective Reserve Margin	19.8%	12.2%	-7.6
Reference Margin Level	11.0%	11.0%	0.0

NPCC-Maritimes Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	3,479	3,475	-0.1%
Demand Response: Available	305	255	-16.4%
Net Internal Demand	3,174	3,220	1.4%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	5,448	4,419	-18.9%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	0	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-57	64	-212.3%
Anticipated Resources	5,391	4,483	-16.8%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	5,391	4,483	-16.8%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	69.8%	39.2%	-30.6
Prospective Reserve Margin	69.8%	39.2%	-30.6
Reference Margin Level	20.0%	20.0%	0.0

NPCC-New England Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	25,244	25,300	0.2%
Demand Response: Available	434	483	11.3%
Net Internal Demand	24,810	24,817	0.0%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	29,065	28,626	-1.5%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	0	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	1,208	1,292	7.0%
Anticipated Resources	30,273	29,918	-1.2%
Existing-Other Capacity	1,115	911	-18.3%
Prospective Resources	31,388	30,829	-1.8%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	22.0%	20.6%	-1.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	26.5%	24.2%	-2.3
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	14.3%	-0.7

NPCC-Ontario Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	22,500	22,546	0.2%
Demand Response: Available	621	666	7.2%
Net Internal Demand	21,879	21,880	0.0%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	26,217	25,648	-2.2%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	22	24	10.9%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	80	150	87.5%
Anticipated Resources	26,319	25,822	-1.9%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	26,319	25,822	-1.9%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	20.3%	18.0%	-2.3
Prospective Reserve Margin	20.3%	18.0%	-2.3
Reference Margin Level	13.2%	13.3%	0.1

NPCC-New York Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	32,333	31,765	-1.8%
Demand Response: Available	1,199	1,170	-2.4%
Net Internal Demand	31,134	30,595	-1.7%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	37,805	37,431	-1.0%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	0	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	1,816	2,465	35.7%
Anticipated Resources	39,621	39,896	0.7%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	39,621	39,896	0.7%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	27.3%	30.4%	3.1
Prospective Reserve Margin	27.3%	30.4%	3.1
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

NPCC-Québec Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	21,436	22,271	3.9%
Demand Response: Available	0	0	-
Net Internal Demand	21,436	22,271	3.9%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	33,380	33,542	0.5%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	0	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-1,995	-2,304	15.5%
Anticipated Resources	31,385	31,238	-0.5%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	31,385	31,238	-0.5%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	46.4%	40.3%	-6.1
Prospective Reserve Margin	46.4%	40.3%	-6.1
Reference Margin Level	10.4%	10.3%	-0.1

PJM Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	149,224	148,938	-0.2%
Demand Response: Available	8,779	8,527	-2.9%
Net Internal Demand	140,445	140,411	0.0%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	183,572	184,837	0.7%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	2400	10	-99.6%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	1,460	124	-91.5%
Anticipated Resources	187,431	184,971	-1.3%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	188,891	185,095	-2.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	33.5%	31.7%	-1.8
Prospective Reserve Margin	34.5%	31.8%	-2.7
Reference Margin Level	14.7%	14.9%	0.2

SERC-East Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	42,680	42,883	0.5%
Demand Response: Available	970	1,298	33.8%
Net Internal Demand	41,710	41,585	-0.3%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	50,539	49,380	-2.3%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	486	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	562	612	8.9%
Anticipated Resources	51,101	50,478	-1.2%
Existing-Other Capacity	766	1,097	43.2%
Prospective Resources	51,867	51,575	-0.6%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	22.5%	21.4%	-1.1
Prospective Reserve Margin	24.4%	24.0%	-0.4
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

SERC-Central Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	40,341	41,267	2.3%
Demand Response: Available	1,744	1,841	5.6%
Net Internal Demand	38,597	39,426	2.1%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	47,987	47,424	-1.2%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	154	0	-100.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	172	-795	-561.6%
Anticipated Resources	48,314	46,629	-3.5%
Existing-Other Capacity	4290	4,808	12.1%
Prospective Resources	52,604	51,437	-2.2%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	25.2%	18.3%	-6.9
Prospective Reserve Margin	36.3%	30.5%	-5.8
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

SERC-Florida Peninsula Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	48,710	52,172	7.1%
Demand Response: Available	3,030	2,932	-3.2%
Net Internal Demand	45,680	49,240	7.8%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	55,351	56,571	2.2%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	0	2,540	-
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	1,007	300	-70.2%
Anticipated Resources	56,358	59,411	5.4%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	847	-
Prospective Resources	56,358	60,258	6.9%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	23.4%	20.7%	-2.7
Prospective Reserve Margin	23.4%	22.4%	-1.0
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

SERC-Southeast Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	46,631	47,258	1.3%
Demand Response: Available	1,671	1,946	16.5%
Net Internal Demand	44,960	45,312	0.8%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	61,263	59,828	-2.3%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	142	1,514	964.9%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	-1,115	-2,524	126.4%
Anticipated Resources	60,290	58,818	-2.4%
Existing-Other Capacity	783	859	9.7%
Prospective Resources	61,073	59,677	-2.3%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	34.1%	29.8%	-4.3
Prospective Reserve Margin	35.8%	31.7%	-4.1
Reference Margin Level	15.0%	15.0%	0.0

SPP Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	52,249	52,040	-0.4%
Demand Response: Available	606	658	8.6%
Net Internal Demand	51,643	51,382	-0.5%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	66,600	67,245	1.0%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	300	0	-100.0%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	186	-144	-177.6%
Anticipated Resources	67,086	67,101	0.0%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	66,539	66,554	0.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	29.9%	30.6%	0.7
Prospective Reserve Margin	28.8%	29.5%	0.7
Reference Margin Level	16.0%	16.0%	0.0

Texas RE-ERCOT Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	77,144	77,317	0.2%
Demand Response: Available	2,341	2,856	22.0%
Net Internal Demand	74,803	74,461	-0.5%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	80,569	89,603	11.2%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	5489	1,199	-78.2%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	210	20	-90.5%
Anticipated Resources	86,268	90,822	5.3%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	86,296	90,850	5.3%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	15.3%	22.0%	6.7
Prospective Reserve Margin	15.4%	22.0%	6.6
Reference Margin Level	13.75%	13.75%	0.0

WECC-NWPP-AB Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	10,886	11,228	3.1%
Demand Response: Available	0	0	-
Net Internal Demand	10,886	11,228	3.1%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	12,205	11,926	-2.3%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	1723	1,082	-37.2%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	0	437	-
Anticipated Resources	13,928	13,445	-3.5%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	13,928	13,445	-3.5%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	27.9%	19.7%	-8.2
Prospective Reserve Margin	27.9%	19.7%	-8.2
Reference Margin Level	9.7%	10.1%	0.4

WECC-NWPP-BC Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	8,264	8,088	-2.1%
Demand Response: Available	0	0	-
Net Internal Demand	8,264	8,088	-2.1%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	11,178	11,266	0.8%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	185	3	-98.4%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	0	0	-
Anticipated Resources	11,363	11,269	-0.8%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	11,363	11,269	-0.8%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	37.5%	39.3%	1.8
Prospective Reserve Margin	37.5%	39.3%	1.8
Reference Margin Level	9.7%	16.3%	6.5

WECC-CA/MX Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	55,409	57,269	3.4%
Demand Response: Available	922	844	-8.4%
Net Internal Demand	54,487	56,425	3.6%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	63,396	70,791	11.7%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	3358	3,381	0.7%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	686	0	-100.0%
Anticipated Resources	67,440	74,172	10.0%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	67,440	74,172	10.0%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	23.8%	31.5%	7.7
Prospective Reserve Margin	23.8%	31.5%	7.7
Reference Margin Level	18.4%	16.9%	-1.5

WECC-SRSG Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	24,751	26,720	8.0%
Demand Response: Available	332	399	20.0%
Net Internal Demand	24,419	26,321	7.8%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	26,850	28,249	5.2%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	188	1,369	628.2%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	866	1,002	15.7%
Anticipated Resources	27,904	30,620	9.7%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	27,904	30,620	9.7%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	14.3%	16.3%	2.0
Prospective Reserve Margin	14.3%	16.3%	2.0
Reference Margin Level	9.8%	10.2%	0.4

WECC-NWPP-US Resource Adequacy Data			
Demand, Resource, and Reserve Margins	2021 SRA	2022 SRA	2021 vs. 2022 SRA
Demand Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Total Internal Demand (50/50)	67,117	63,214	-5.8%
Demand Response: Available	1,087	1,104	1.5%
Net Internal Demand	66,030	62,110	-5.9%
Resource Projections	MW	MW	Net Change (%)
Existing-Certain Capacity	70,069	70,154	0.1%
Tier 1 Planned Capacity	1,002	798	-20.4%
Net Firm Capacity Transfers	6,139	2,517	-59.0%
Anticipated Resources	77,210	73,469	-4.8%
Existing-Other Capacity	0	0	-
Prospective Resources	77,210	73,469	-4.8%
Reserve Margins	Percent (%)	Percent (%)	Annual Difference
Anticipated Reserve Margin	16.9%	18.3%	1.4
Prospective Reserve Margin	16.9%	18.3%	1.4
Reference Margin Level	14.3%	16.1%	1.8

Variable Energy Resource Contributions

Because the electrical output of variable energy resources (e.g., wind, solar) depends on weather conditions, on-peak capacity contributions are less than nameplate capacity. The table below shows the capacity contribution of existing wind and solar resources at the peak demand hour for each assessment area. Resource contributions are also aggregated by [Interconnection](#) and across the entire BPS. For NERC's analysis of risk periods after peak demand (i.e., U.S. assessment areas in WECC), lower contributions of solar resources are used because output is diminished during evening periods.

BPS Variable Energy Resources by Assessment Area									
Assessment Area / Interconnection	Wind			Solar			Hydro		
	Nameplate Wind	Expected Wind	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)	Nameplate Solar	Expected Solar	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)	Nameplate Hydro	Expected Hydro	Expected Share of Nameplate (%)
MISO	28,893	4,478	16%	2,441	1,221	50%	2,440	2,361	97%
MRO-Manitoba Hydro	259	41	16%	-	-	0%	5,917	5,255	89%
MRO-SaskPower	628	88	14%	-	-	0%	864	784	91%
NPCC-Maritimes	1,212	326	27%	2	-	0%	1,315	1,183	90%
NPCC-New England	1,421	201	14%	2,638	773	29%	4,059	2,812	69%
NPCC-New York	2,336	314	13%	76	35	46%	5,949	5,138	86%
NPCC-Ontario	4,943	751	15%	478	66	14%	8,918	4,716	53%
NPCC-Québec	3,820	-	0%	10	-	0%	41,346	32,789	79%
PJM	10,876	1,659	15%	4,852	2,878	64%	3,022	3,022	100%
SERC-Central	964	4	0%	450	287	64%	5,005	3,381	68%
SERC-East	-	-	0%	724	716	99%	3,052	3,002	98%
SERC-Florida Peninsula	-	-	0%	5,246	3,220	61%	-	-	0%
SERC-Southeast	-	-	0%	4,053	3,500	86%	3,242	3,288	101%
SPP	31,325	7,276	23%	306	245	80%	5,456	5,297	97%
Texas RE-ERCOT	35,454	9,423	27%	11,515	9,327	81%	571	475	83%
WECC-AB	3,177	232	7%	1,063	684	64%	894	378	42%
WECC-BC	717	142	20%	2	1	49%	16,378	10,115	62%
WECC-CA/MX	8,946	1,754	20%	19,457	13,634	70%	13,985	7,691	55%
WECC-NWPP-US	19,410	3,312	17%	7,479	4,735	63%	41,705	21,564	52%
WECC-NWPP-SRSG	3,245	516	16%	3,219	2,511	78%	3,532	2,765	78%
EASTERN INTERCONNECTION	82,856	14,425	17%	21,476	13,836	64%	50,846	41,776	82%
QUÉBEC INTERCONNECTION	3,820	-	0%	10	-	0%	41,346	32,789	79%
TEXAS INTERCONNECTION	35,454	9,423	27%	11,515	9,327	81%	571	475	83%
WECC INTERCONNECTION	35,495	5,956	17%	31,220	21,565	69%	76,494	42,513	56%
TOTAL:	157,626	29,804	19%	64,221	44,729	70%	169,257	117,554	69%