

| # | Submitter | Date | Report Section | Comment Summary | Disposition | Disposition Comment |
|----|-----------------------|-----------|--|---|-------------|--|
| 1 | Diana Barsotti (NPCC) | 7/26/2024 | Results - Chapter 5 Transmission Planning | Change "Maritimes" to "New Brunswick" on E36 | Reject | The Part 1 study scope used Maritimes, but this will be revisited in the Canadian Analysis |
| 2 | Diana Barsotti (NPCC) | 7/26/2024 | Regions | Change graphics to reflect New Brunswick instead of Maritimes | Reject | The Part 1 study scope used Maritimes, but this will be revisited in the Canadian Analysis |
| 3 | David Jacobson (MH) | 7/26/2024 | Executive Summary | Insert map of FERC Order 1000 areas (Figure 7.1) and include Canadian regions | Reject | This is a pdf downloaded from the FERC website and NERC does not have the source file to make edits |
| 4 | David Jacobson (MH) | 7/26/2024 | Results - General | Question: why are 2033 results missing from the tables? | Reject | 2033 is being de-scoped from this report |
| 5 | David Jacobson (MH) | 7/26/2024 | Results - Chapter 7 | TTC should be 3058MW for the Manitoba to MISO O1K interface | Accept | |
| 6 | Doug Tucker (WECC) | 7/26/2024 | Results - Chapter 2 | Values in explanatory notes for W4 and W6 were reversed | Accept | |
| 7 | Mark Lauby (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Various | Variety of grammatical suggestions | Accept | |
| 8 | Mark Lauby (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Transmission Planning Regions | Consider adding language re TPR selection to the recommendations section (in the executive summary) | Accept | Observations are being moved to the appendix |
| 9 | Mark Lauby (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Modeling of Transfer Participation | We did not limit reductions to their minimum output | Reject | Unnecessary detail |
| 10 | Mark Lauby (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Results - General | Why do we have the calculation column if all it contains is TTC? | Accept | Removed the "Calculation" column. |
| 11 | Mark Lauby (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Results - General | Include commas for TTC values over 1GW | Accept | |
| 12 | Jim Robb (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Process Improvement Recommendations | Not sure about articulating recommendations in this document. Keep a running list and publish separately. | Accept | Observations are being moved to the appendix |
| 13 | Jim Robb (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Base Case Development | Harmonize description of cases in the east and west | Accept | Changed all to "summer" or "winter" |
| 14 | Jim Robb (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Base Case Development | WECC should refer only to the Regional Entity | Accept | Removed "WECC" from "WECC study cases" to avoid any potential confusion. |
| 15 | Jim Robb (NERC) | 7/27/2024 | Base Case Development | Why is Texas missing? Consider the ultimate audience. Congress directed this study and policy makers will need to read and understand it so it can inform important decisions they must make. So, if I had the pen, I would spill some ink explaining some of the basic concepts, such as why it is not electrically possible to transfer vast amounts of power across great distances. Or why we use FCITC and its relevance and how we expect that some equipment will randomly be out | Reject | No base cases were needed for the ERCOT Interconnection (explained via email) |
| 16 | Tim Gallagher (RF) | 7/29/2024 | Executive Summary | Show percentage of peak load | Accept | New executive summary section added and other updates made. |
| 17 | Tim Gallagher (RF) | 7/29/2024 | Results - Chapter 8 | | Accept | |
| 18 | Tim Gallagher (RF) | 7/29/2024 | Various | Have Kimberly or the ERO comms group help identify and explain concepts Agree with Tim G on audience focus. Focus less on what we did and more on what we've learned. | Accept | The comms team has been involved heavily throughout this process. Several terms and concepts were footnoted to assist. |
| 19 | Jim Robb (NERC) | 7/29/2024 | Executive Summary | | Accept | New executive summary section added, also removed some study details from Chapter 1 |
| 20 | Robert Tallman (NERC) | 7/29/2024 | Various | Variety of grammatical suggestions A well-written executive summary needs to be developed to accompany the report. A brief historical context could be very helpful. Suggested to include Federal agencies, IOUs, munis, coops, and how the transmission system developed. | Accept | |
| 21 | Gary Leidich | 7/30/2024 | Executive Summary | Help the policy makers better understand significance. For example, why are contingencies modeled to determine transfer levels | Accept | Accept - added new section to the existing executive summary |
| 22 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Executive Summary | Replace zeros with credible values (he provided chart of SCADA readings) | Accept | Accept - added new section to the executive summary, includes language re unplanned facility outages. |
| 23 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Results - General | Order 1000 results should match region-to-region interface results in several instances but do not | Reject | Added further explanation in executive summary |
| 24 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Results - Chapter 7 Process Improvement | | Reject | These are not the same as path limits |
| 25 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Recommendations | Agree with Jim to include in concluding document | Accept | Observations are being moved to the appendix |

| # | Submitter | Date | Report Section | Comment Summary | Disposition | Disposition Comment |
|----|--------------------|-----------|--|--|---------------------|--|
| 26 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Process Improvement Recommendations | Change to "processes and content" | Accept | |
| 27 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Process Improvement Recommendations | Change to recommendation box | Reject | Observations are being moved to the appendix |
| 28 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Base Case Development | Various suggestions | Accept | |
| 29 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Contingencies Monitored Facilities and | Explain why contingencies are studied | Accept | Added lead sentence |
| 30 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Thresholds | Explain why limiting | Accept | Added lead sentence |
| 31 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Monitored Facilities and Thresholds | Combine thermal/voltage with Interface bullets | Accept | This section has been pared back - more detail can be found in the scope document |
| 32 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Modeling of Transfer Participation | Two grammatical suggestions | Accept | |
| 33 | Dave Angell | 7/30/2024 | Results - General | Develop additional cases to validate limits | Reject | Additional cases were not developed. |
| 34 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 8/5/2024 | Transfer Capability Analysis (Part 1) Summary | Total import interfaces were a great addition | No change requested | |
| 35 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 8/5/2024 | Process Improvement Recommendations | DOE is comfortable with only using 2023/2024 TTC in the study, but want to acknowledge that existing transmission development plans are not captured here and may contribute (either negatively or positively) to a region meeting its prudent additions calculated in Part II of study. | No change requested | This will be noted in the Part 2 report |
| 36 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 8/5/2024 | Process Improvement Recommendations | What does NERC have in mind for recommendation #3 - annual or biannual? | Reject | Not determined at this time |
| 37 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 8/5/2024 | Transmission Planning Regions | In final report, provide description of the criteria used to select region boundaries | Final report | Will consider this for the final report |
| 38 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 8/5/2024 | Transmission Planning Regions | Appreciate the call-out re LADWP, please ensure any other differences are noted | No change requested | No other known differences |
| 39 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 8/5/2024 | Results - General | Educate the general reader why TTC can differ between summer and winter cases | Accept | Added to executive summary |
| 40 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Results - General | Suggestion for different table format | Reject | Unnecessary detail |
| 41 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Statement of Purpose | Change ordered to enacted legislation requiring | Accept | |
| 42 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Executive Summary | Delete "supply and" | Accept | |
| 43 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Executive Summary | Questioning the inclusion of "transmission adequacy" | Accept | |
| 44 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Transfer Capability Analysis (Part 1) Summary | Discuss simultaneous and non-simultaneous evaluations here rather than later in the document | Reject | This topic is coming just a few paragraphs later and is in same order as the report is structured |
| 45 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Transfer Capability Analysis (Part 1) Summary | Rename to "simultaneous import limits" or "simultaneous interface imports" | Reject | Simultaneous is mentioned in the same sentence. |
| 46 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Process Improvement Recommendations | Comment: this is why it is important to include 2033 results as part of the study | No change requested | |
| 47 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Process Improvement Recommendations | Was stability actually performed, and how/where will that be shown in this document? Same question re voltage constraints. | Accept | Clarified language in earlier section |
| 48 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Transmission Planning Regions | Change "a set of interfaces was developed" to "Interfaces were identified" | Accept | Changed developed to identified |
| 49 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Transmission Planning Regions | Change "identify key interregional constraints" to "identify key constraints to transfer capability" (general public may interpret interregional as relating to Order 1000 regions) | Accept | Reworded |
| 50 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Total Transfer Capability | Is this the FCITC limit or the test level | Reject | Technically correct but the remaining language is sufficient and added technical content undesirable |
| 51 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Base Case Development | If NERC decides not to include the 2033 analysis, then this report should explain the reason since 2033 was included in scope. Highly recommend including 2033 results, if possible. | Reject | Scope document was updated |

| # | Submitter | Date | Report Section | Comment Summary | Disposition | Disposition Comment |
|----|----------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| 52 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Modeling of Transfer Participation | Just because a transfer limit was not identified does not always mean that the source TPR is resource limited. In future studies, load should be scaled. | No change requested | |
| 53 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Results - Chapter 6 | How is SERC Florida different from FRCC? | No change requested | There is no difference |
| 54 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Results - Chapter 6 | Anytime a zero is shown for TTC, there should be a footnote as to whether this was a base overload (pre-transfer) or not | Reject | Added further explanation in executive summary |
| 55 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Results - Chapter 6 | Who provided the statement (flows from South to North are not typical under winter peak conditions) | No change requested | This comment came from SERC staff |
| 56 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Results - Chapter 7 | Since FRCC is radial, I expected this number to be the same as FL-SERC SE | No change requested | While the sink region is the same, the source region is different |
| 57 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Results - Chapter 8 | Provide an example where total interface TTC is lower than individual interfaces in the introductory paragraph | Reject | These instances are clearly footnoted in the applicable tables |
| 58 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Results - Chapter 8 | If the limit for FRCC->SERC SE is zero, the total import interface should also be zero | Reject | Imports from other directions would not hit the same limit |
| 59 | Daryl McGee (SOCO) | 8/5/2024 | Total Transfer Capability | Recommended language change re voltage screening | Accept | Sentence modified |
| 60 | Parag Mitra (EPRI) | 8/5/2024 | Various | EPRI comments were based on the June preliminary report (appears to be the same file), not the July draft. | Reject | All comments appear to be the same as the preliminary draft |
| 61 | Mohamed Osman (NERC) | 8/11/2024 | Results - Chapter 5 | Changes to TTC values in E4, E7, and E8 | Accept | Also updated graphics |
| 62 | Mohamed Osman (NERC) | 8/11/2024 | Results - Chapter 7 | Changes to TTC values in E1002 and E1003 | Accept | |
| 63 | Shayan Rizvi (NPCC) | 8/12/2024 | Results - Chapter 5 | Changes to TTC values in E10, E21, and E34 | Accept | Also updated graphics |