

ITCS Parts 2 and 3 Report Comments

| # | Submitter | Date | Page # | Report Section | Comment Summary | Disposition |
|-----|------------------------------|-----------|--------|--|---|-------------|
| 14 | David Jacobson (MH) | 10/1/2024 | n/a | General | Overall the part 2 & 3 results report was well written. | No change |
| 23 | Vincent Fihey (HQ) | 10/1/2024 | n/a | General | In general, I think it is an excellent report, very well written and reflects the study that was performed. | No change |
| 32 | Margaret Pate (NERC) | 10/2/2024 | 25 | Chapter 2 | Is this documented in the key decisions?This level was discussed and endorsed by the ITCS Advisory Group | No change |
| 55 | Hassan Hayat (AEP) | 10/3/2024 | 13 | chapter 1 | The model also included potential new transmission interfaces between geographically adjacent TPRs even if no transmission linkage currently exists. | No change |
| 63 | Colton Pankhurst (NR Canada) | 10/3/2024 | n/a | General | The report is very comprehensive and well written, kudos to you and the rest of the team. | No change |
| 127 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 10/3/2024 | N/A | General comment | Congratulations to the entire NERC team for pulling the analysis and report together on a short timeline. Thank you for the opportunity to review. | No change |
| 158 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 10/3/2024 | 36 | Ch 3: 2024 Energy Margin Analysis Results | Glad to see this discussion of ERCOT results for additional weather years and not just 2021 (Uri) | No change |
| 175 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 10/3/2024 | 45 | Ch 4: Pronounced Benefits of Transfer Capability Across Interconnections | Happy to see this recommendation "... Interconnections should work towards a wider area planning approach that would help address this issue." | No change |
| 192 | Adria Brooks (DOE) | 10/3/2024 | 49 | Ch 6 | Appreciate the up front framing of the sensitivity analyses | No change |
| 217 | Brad Woods (TRE) | 10/3/2024 | 62 | Chapter 7: TPR-Specific Results | Can the MW amount of Transfer Capability added for each iteration be added to the "Energy Adequacy by Iteration" chart? For example, can the transfer capability (MWs) added for ERCOT for iteration 1, for iteration 2, etc be added to the rows in this chart? I believe this would help the reader understand how the prudent transfer capability additions were determined. | Withdrawn |
| 339 | Vinay Bhakkad (DTE) | 10/4/2024 | n/a | n/a | NERC ITCS Part 1 review and posted materials show transfer capabilities similar or comparable to MISO's latest py2024-2025 LOLE study. Moreover, exports out of MISO East into MISO Central (from MI to OH and IN) indicate ~6.3GW and MISO study indicates ~5.7GW. Also, imports from MISO Central into MISO East indicate ~4.9GW compared to MISO's study of ~4.5GW. These are for the 2024 Summer Study cases and the exports/imports are directionally matching up. | No change |
| 340 | Vinay Bhakkad (DTE) | 10/4/2024 | n/a | n/a | NERC study also shows a separate import/export limit with PJM, IESO and MISO North (ATC to METC via DC Tie Lines), which is also re-assuring in terms of the support we can have on an individual and cumulative basis. | No change |
| 342 | Vinay Bhakkad (DTE) | 10/4/2024 | n/a | n/a | It would have been interesting to see some future year scenarios and how these limits are affected given that there is an unprecedented investment made into the Transmission Grid over the next 10 years, both within MISO and at the other ISOs. | No change |
| 343 | Vinay Bhakkad (DTE) | 10/4/2024 | n/a | n/a | After Part 2 and Part 3 to the study is released, we will need to review the same and provide additional feedback if necessary. | No change |
| 348 | Gabriel Adam (IESO) | 10/4/2024 | 6 | General Comment | other considerations – I tried to flag them throughout the report. | No change |
| 365 | Gabriel Adam (IESO) | 10/4/2024 | 45 | Chapter 4 | Wider area planning approach is a good idea. That reminds me that NPCC jurisdictions conduct seasonal and annual wider area probabilistic assessments that take into but could easily become part of the resource-transmission optimization exercise. account the ability to support each other from an energy adequacy view point; transmission transfers are inputs into the studies | No change |
| 384 | Keith Burrell (NYISO) | 10/7/2024 | 18 | Chapter 1 | Do retirements only consider those reported in the LTRA or is there some other retirement assumption? | No change |

ITCS Parts 2 and 3 Report Comments

| Disposition Comment |
|---|
| No change to report requested. |
| The Report Writing Team appreciates this encouragement. |
| The criteria and considerations were reviewed by the ITCS Advisory Group and are included in Chapter 2. |
| This is already the existing language |
| The Report Writing Team appreciates this encouragement. |
| The Report Writing Team appreciates this encouragement. |
| No change to report requested. |
| No change to report requested. |
| No change to report requested. |
| This suggestion was withdrawn after further discussion. |
| No change to report requested. |
| No change to report requested. |
| No change to report requested. |
| No change to report requested. |
| No change to report requested. |
| See other comments |
| No change to report requested. |
| Reported retirements in the 2023 LTRA. |