Canadian Analysis Transfer Study Scope Review Comments

Comment ID	Transfer Scope Page #	Referenced Text	Commentary	Commentor	Type of Change	Theme	NERC Response
	1	3 Total Transfer Capability	I'd suggest stating that "for this study, the TTC is defined as". This will avoid confusion with the TTC defined in NERC Glossary of Terms: "Total Transfer Capability = The amount of electric power that can be moved or transferred reliably from one area to another area of the interconnected transmission systems by way of all transmission lines (or paths) between those areas under specified system conditions". It is also defined differentl than in the "Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023": "Generally, total transfer capability means the amount of electric power that can be moved or transferred reliably from one area to another area of the interconnected transmission systems by way of all transmission lines (or paths) between those areas under specified system conditions." (bold format added by me to emphasis a significant difference)		Wording/Clarity	Transfer Capability Calculation	We will update the documen with the suggested changes
	2	3 Total Transfer Capability	First credible limitation should be used.	IESO (Jonathan Mendoza)	Clarity	Transfer Capability Calculation	agreed and that what was done
	3	3 Transfer Directions	The simultaneous export/import for the Ontario system will be impacted by the control settings of PARs. They need to be adjusted to optimize flow distribution.	IESO (Gabriel Adam)	Treatment of PARs	Transfer Capability	Document updated, Discussion on PAR settings underway
	4	4 Modeling of Transfer Participation	Please describe the transfer participation. I suspect generation in the sending entity is being scaled up proportionally with their installed capacity (same percentage of their capacity). Is that correct? How is the scaling down being done at the receiving end? Is it the same percentage value of the installed capacity for all generators?	(IESO (Gabriel Adam)	Clarity		Document updated
	5	4 Modeling of Transfer Participation	Could you list the generators that exceed their Pmax?	IESO (Gabriel Adam)	Clarity		
	6	3 Transfer Directions	There is an «x» missing in the Québec Line for the NYISO column	Hydroquebec(Vincent Fihey)	Correction	Table	Thanks, added
	7 3, 6	Transfer Directions, Appendix I	Align naming convention for Source/Sinks. The table on page 3, Appendix I, and map use different names	ReliabilityFirst (John Idzior)	Correction		
	8	3 Transfer Directions	I labeled the transfer sections Simultaneous and Non-Simultaneous, Added a table for the Simultaneous transfers, Added notes to the non-simultaneous direction table, Appendix I, and map at the end to use a consistent naming method	ReliabilityFirst (John Idzior)	Clarity		We will update the document with the suggested changes
	9	7 Western Interconnection, Appendix I	Is 'Canada' a study area comprised of British Columbian and Alberta? Or wi they be separate areas?	ReliabilityFirst (John Idzior)	Clarity		Updated Appednix I
	10	9 Source & Sink Maps, Appendix I	Align naming convention for Source/Sinks. The table on page 3, Appendix I, and map use different names. Are British Columbia and Alberta being lumped together into one study area? If so the Table on page 3 needs to be updated. Or the map needs to be broken out.	ReliabilityFirst (John Idzior)	Clarity		Updated Appednix I
	11	1 Purpose	The ITCS transfers were only focused on imports into the US regions. The U regions with Canada interfaces are listed in the Transfer Directions. To be comparable and complete, this study purpose should also include the transfer from US regions.	David Angell	Wording/Clarity		Language update to reflect the US to Canadian transfer directions
	12	2 Western Interconnection	The cases were built prior to and used for the ITCS.	David Angell	Clarity		Language added
	13	7 Western Interconnection	On page 7 in the Appendix table for Western Interconnection, ensure that the ITCS Assessment areas are reflective of the intended scope for the Canadian analysis where AB and BC are separate assessment areas. This appears to be a carry over from the initial study scope which included assessment of FERC 1000 areas.	Natural Resources Canada (Colton Pankhurst)	Clarity		Alberta and British Columbia are separate assement areas in our analysis