



Project 2008-06 - Cyber Security Order 706 - Version 5

Working Draft (April 10, 2012) of Mapping Document Showing Translation of CIP-002-4 to CIP-009-4 into CIP-002-5 to CIP-009-5, CIP-010-1, and CIP-011-1.

Standard: CIP-002-4 – Cyber Security—Critical Asset Identification		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to	Description and Change Justification
	New Standard or	
	Other Action	
CIP-002-4 R1.	DELETED	Critical Asset Identification – Removed this requirement because new
	CIP-002-5 R1.1	Standard identifies and categorizes BES Cyber Instead of identifying
		<u>Critical Assets as in previous versions, the Responsible Entity must</u>
		Identify Facilities, Systems-directly without declaring assets as critical,
		or equipment that meet the criteria specified in CIP-002-5, Attachment
		<u>1</u> .
¢IP-002-4 R2.	CIP-002-5 R1.2,	Critical Cyber Asset Identification – <u>Using the Facilities, Systems, or</u>
	<u>R1.3</u>	equipment identified in Requirement R1 Part 1.1 Impact Rating Criteria,
7		the Responsible Entity must identify and categorize its BES Cyber
		Systems as high impact or medium impact. BES Cyber Systems not
		identified as high impact or medium impact default to low impact. New
		Standard identifies BES Cyber Systems as a grouping of Critical BES
		Cyber Assets because it allows entities to apply some requirements at a
		system rather than asset level. BES Cyber Systems are also identified
		using BES Reliability Operating Services, which provides more detail
		on what it means for a Cyber Asset to be critical to reliable operation.

1.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-002-4 – Cyber Security—Critical Asset Identification		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-002-4 R2.	DELETED	Routable protocol exemption – A complete exemption or cyber assets of Cyber Assets based on communication characteristics no longer applies. This is because the vulnerability some security requirements address is not mitigated by the lack of routable protocols (e.g., training, response, recovery, etc.). Where the lack of routable protocols itself meets the requirement objective, the exemption is applied at the requirement level.
CIP-002-4 R2.	DELETED	Control Center – No longer applicable since R2 has been deleted.
CIP 002 4 R2.	DELETED	Dial up Accessible No longer applicable since R2 has been deleted.
CIP-002-4 R3.	CIP-002-5 R2	Annual Approval – No significant changes to the approval. However, the CIP Sr. Manager now approves identifications required in CIP-002-5 R1 as noted above.
NEW	CIP-002-5 1. 1 4	Update and re-categorize for changes to BES – Specifies timeframetime frame for complying with all re-categorization and associated security requirements of items identified in CIP 002-5 R1 following a change, which is planned change to be in service for more than six calendar months.

Standard: CIP-003-4 - Cyber Security—Security Management Controls		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-003-4 R1.	CIP-003-5 R2 R1, 1.1 through 1.9	Cyber Security Policy — Includes high impact and medium impact BES Cyber Systems. A separate requirement applies to low impact BES Cyber Systems. Clarified that the cyber security policy needs to only reference the subject matter topics at a high level rather than each individual requirement in the CIP Cyber Security Standards.
CIP-003-4 R1.1.	CIP-003-5 R2, 2 <u>R1, 1</u> .10	Provision for emergency situations – Identified the specific exceptional circumstances in which emergency exceptions can be taken in response to the directive in FERC Order No. 706 paragraph, Paragraph 443.
NEW	CIP-003-5 R2	Cyber Security Policy for BES Cyber Systems not identified as high or medium impact (low impact). Clarified programmatic controls that apply to low impact BES Cyber Systems and that discrete identification of such systems is not required.
CIP-003-4 R1.2.	CIP-003-5 R4DELETED	The cyber security policy Cyber Security Policy is readily available — The Responsible Entity only needs to make individuals aware of elements of the cyber security policy related to their job function. This was in response to general confusion around the term "readily available". Examples of how to make individuals aware are listed." Training requirements in the Measures CIP-004-5 provide for knowledge of policy.
¢IP-003-4 R1.3.	CIP-003-5 R3R4	Annual review and approval – No significant change.
CIP-003-4 R2.	CIP-003-5 R1 R3	Single senior manager – Created a definition of CIP Senior Manager to prevent cross referencing facilitate references across Standards standards.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-003-4 – Cyber Security—Security Management Controls		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-003-4 R2.1.	CIP-003-5 R1 <u>R3</u>	The CIP Senior Manager shall be identified by name, title, and date of designation – The CIP Senior Manager only needs to be identified by name. The other details were considered unnecessary, administrative requirements.
CIP-003-4 R2.2.	CIP-003-5 R6	Changes to the CIP Senior Manager and any delegations must be documented within thirty30 calendar days of the change.
CIP-003-4 R2.3.	CIP-003-5 R5	Delegate authority – Made clear that where allowed by the standards, the CIP Senior Manager canmay delegate the ability to delegate. For example, a senior manager can delegate the ability to further delegate responsibility for a plant control system to a plant manager.authority and such delegations must be documented.
CIP-003-4 R2.4.	DELETED	Authorize and document any exception – The FERC Order No. 706 made clear that you could not take exceptions to the policy. As a result, it did not achieve a reliability objective to require individuals to maintain documentation about exceptions to their policy outside of the Standardsstandards.
CIP-003-4 R3.	DELETED	Exceptions – The FERC Order No. 706 made clear that you could not take exceptions to the policy.areas of your Cyber Security Policy that were also required as part of other NERC CIP requirements. As a result, it did not achieve a reliability objective to require individuals to maintain documentation about exceptions to their policy outside of the Standardsstandards.
CIP-003-4 R3.1.	DELETED	Exceptions - Requirement R3 is deleted.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-003-4 – Cyber Security—Security Management Controls		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-003-4 R3.2.	DELETED	Exceptions - Requirement R3 is deleted.
CIP-003-4 R3.3.	DELETED	Exceptions - Requirement R3 is deleted.
CIP-003-4 R4.	CIP-011-1 R1, 1.1, 1.2	Information Protection - Removed the explicit requirement for elassification as there was no requirement to have multiple levels of protection. This modification does not prevent having multiple levels of elassification, allowing more flexibility for entities to incorporate the CIP information protection program into their normal business. Removed language to "protect" information, and replaced with "Implement requirements for methods to identify BES Cyber System Information and procedures for handling and access control BES Cyber System Information, including storage, transit, and use to clarify the protection that is required.
CIP-003-4 R4.1.	Definition	Identification – ReplaceReplaced this requirement with the defined term BES Cyber System Information.
CIP-003-4 R4.2.	CIP-011- 1-1 <u>5</u> <u>R1</u> .1	Classification – Removed the explicit requirement for classification, as there was no requirement to have multiple levels of protection. This modification does not prevent having multiple levels of classification, allowing more flexibility for entities to incorporate the CIP information protection program into their normal business.
CIP-003-4 R4.3.	CIP-011- 1-1 <u>5</u> <u>R1</u> .3	Assessment – No significant changes.

VRF and VSL Justifications ______5

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of ProjectCyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-003-4 – Cyber Security—Security Management Controls		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-003-4 R5.	CIP-004-5 6.3, CIP-011-1 1.2R6.4,	Authorize personnel for access to protected information – Clarified the "program: "Program for managing access" included the authorization of access, as well as handling and access control procedures. Grouped requirements for electronic access, physical access, and access to BES Cyber System Information in CIP 004-5 R6.
CIP-003-4 R5.1.	DELETEDCIP- 004-5 R6.1	Authorizing personnel – Personnel are still required to have authorization, and the CIP Senior Manager authorizes or delegates this responsibility. So the additional requirement to have and maintain a list is considered duplicative and unnecessary. Authorizing personnel.
CIP-003-4 R5.1.1.	DELETEDCIP- 004-5 R6.1	Personnel shall be identified 5.1 is deleted.
CIP-003-4 R5.1.2.	DELETED CIP- 004-5 R6.7	Verification—5.1 is deleted.
CIP-003-4 R5.2.	CIP-004-5 6.6 <u>R6.7</u>	Verify access privileges annually – Moved requirement to ensure consistency among access reviews. Clarified precise meaning in the term "annual.". Clarified what was necessary in performing verification by stating the objective was to confirm access privileges are correct and the minimum necessary for performing assigned work functions.
CIP-003-4 R5.3.	CIP-011-1 1.3	Annual Review – No significant changes.

VRF and VSL Justifications 6

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of ProjectCyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-003-4 – Cyber Security—Security Management Controls		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-003-4 R6.	CIP-010-1 R1, R2	Change Control and Configuration Management – Moved configuration change management to a separate Standardstandard because of the additional requirements necessary for satisfying FERC directives, and the subject matter in CIP version 4 is currently spread across CIP-003-4 and CIP-007-4. The baseline requirement is incorporated from the DHS Catalog for Control Systems Security. The baseline requirement is also an attempt to clarify precisely when the change management process must be invoked and which elements of the configuration must be managed. Added requirement to explicitly authorize changes. This requirement was previously implied by CIP-003-4 R6.

Standard: CIP-004-4 – Cyber Security—Personnel & Training		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-004-4 R1.	CIP-004-5 R1, 1.1	Security awareness program and quarterly reinforcement - Changed to remove the need to ensure everyone with authorized access receive this material, and moved example mechanisms to guidanceGuidance.
CIP-004-4 R2.	CIP-004-5 R2, R3	Training - Addition of identifying the roles that require training. Adding specific role-based training for the visitor control program and storage media as part of the handling of BES Cyber Systems information. Also added the FERC Order No. 706-, directed electronic interconnectivity supporting the operation and control of BES Cyber Systems. This requirement is also reorganized into the respective requirements for "program" and "implementation" of the training.
CIP-004-4 R2.1.	CIP-004-5 3R3.1	Training prior to authorized access – No significant changes.
CIP-004-4 R2.2.	CIP-004-5 <u>2R2</u> .1- 2.10	Training subject matter – This requirement is reorganized into the respective requirements for "program" and "implementation" of the training.
CIP-004-4 R2.2.1.	CIP-004-5 <u>2R2</u> .2	Proper use of CCAs – Minor wording changes. Changed to addressrefer to BES Cyber Systems. Requirement now addresses cyber security issues, not the business or functional use of the BES Cyber System.
CIP-004-4 R2.2.2.	CIP-004-5 2 R2.3,2.4	Physical and electronic access controls training – No significant changes. Refers to BES Cyber Systems.
CIP-004-4 R2.2.3.	CIP-004-5 <u>2R2</u> .6	Information handling training – Core training added for the handling of BES Cyber System Information, with the addition of storage media.

VRF and VSL Justifications 8

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-004-4 – Cyber Security—Personnel & Training		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-004-4 R2.2.4.	CIP-004-5 2 R2.7,2.8,2.9	Incident identification and notification, incident handling and CCA recovery training – Core training on the action plans and procedures to recover or re-establish BES Cyber Systems for individuals having a role in the recovery to address FERC Order No. 706-paragraph, Paragraph 413.
CIP-004-4 R2.3.	CIP-004-5 <u>3R3</u> .2	Annual training – Replaced <u>"Annually"</u> with <u>"calendar year, not to</u> exceed 15 months"
CIP-004-4 R3.	CIP-004-5 R4, R5, 5.1	Personnel Risk Assessment – Split into two requirements, R4 to define the PRA program, and R5 to implement the program for individuals prior to obtaining authorized access.
CIP-004-4 R3.1.	CIP-004-5 4 <u>4R</u> .1, 4.2	Identification and 7year criminal check – Addressed interpretation request in <a cause"="" for="" href="mailto:guidance.guidan</td></tr><tr><td>CIP-004-4 R3.2.</td><td>CIP-004-5 <u>5R5</u>.2</td><td>Perform the PRA every 7 years Removed the " of="" part="" requirement.<="" td="" the="">
CIP-004-4 R3.3.	CIP-004-5 4 <u>R4</u> .4	Addresses the contractor or vendor performed PRA.

VRF and VSL Justifications 9

Standard: CIP-004-4 – Cyber Security—Personnel & Training		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-004-4 R4.	CIP-004-5 <u>R6.2,</u> 6. 1, 6.2 <u>3</u>	Authorize access - CIP-003-4, CIP-004-4 CIP-006-4, and CIP-007-4 all reference authorization of access in some form, and CIP-003-4 and CIP-007-4 require authorization on a "need-to-know" basis, or with respect to work functions performed. These were consolidated to ensure consistency in the requirement language.
CIP-004-4 R4.1.	CIP-004-5 6. 4 <u>R6.5</u>	Quarterly review of access – Feedback among team members, observers, and regional CIP auditors indicates there has been confusion in implementation around what the term "review" entailed in CIP-004-4 R4.1. This requirement clarifies the review should occur between the provisioned access and authorized access.
CIP-004-4 R4.2.	CIP-004-5 R7	Prevent further access - The FERC Order No. 706-Paragraph, Paragraphs 460 and 461, directs modifications to the Standards to require immediate revocation for any person no longer needing access. To address this directive, this requirement specifies revocation concurrent with the termination, instead of within 24 hours. For transfers, the SDT determined the date a person no longer needs access after a transfer was problematic because the need may change over time. As a result, the SDT adapted this requirement from NIST 800-53-version, Version 3 to review access authorizations on the date of the transfer. The SDT felt this was a more effective control in accomplishing the objective to prevent a person from accumulating unnecessary authorizations through transfers.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-004-4 – Cyber Security—Personnel & Training		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
NEW	CIP-004-5 <u>2R2</u> .1	Added to help facilitate understanding what roles the entity has to support the role—based training program.
NEW	CIP-004-5 <u>2R2</u> .5	Visitor control program training – Personnel administering the visitor control program and/or providing escort should have be part of the core training per FERC Order No. 706—paragraph, Paragraph 432.
NEW	CIP-004-5 <u>2R2</u> .10	Electronic interconnectivity training – Core training programs are intended to encompass networking hardware and software and other issues of electronic interconnectivity supporting the operation and control of BES Cyber Systems per FERC Order No. 706 - paragraph Paragraph 434.
NEW	CIP-004-5 4 <u>R4</u> .3	PRA failure criteria – There should be documented Requires process or criteria or a process used to evaluate personnel risk assessments. to determine when to deny authorized access.

Standard: CIP-004-4 – Cyber Security—Personnel & Training		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
NEW	CIP-004-5 7 <u>R7</u> .2	Transfers – The FERC Order No. 706 Paragraph, Paragraphs 460 and 461, directs modifications to the Standards to require immediate revocation for any person no longer needing access, including transferred employees. In reviewing how to modify this requirement, the SDT determined the date a person no longer needs access after a transfer was problematic because the need may change over time. As a result, the SDT adapted this requirement from NIST 800-53 version, Version 3, to review access authorizations on the date of the transfer. The SDT felt this was a more effective control in accomplishing the objective to prevent a person from accumulating unnecessary authorizations through transfers.
NEW	CIP-004-5 7.3R7.4	Completion of revocation – The FERC Order No. 706-Paragraph, Paragraphs 460 and 461, directs modifications to the Standards to require immediate revocation for any person no longer needing access. In order to meet the immediate timeframe, Entities time frame, entities will likely have initial revocation procedures to prevent remote and physical access to the BES Cyber System. Some cases may take more time to coordinate access revocation on individual Cyber Assets and applications without affecting reliability. This requirement provides the additional time to review and complete the revocation process. Although the initial actions already prevent further access, this step provides additional assurance in the access revocation process.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

	Standard: CIP-004-4 – Cyber Security—Personnel & Training		
	Requirement in Approved Standard Translation to Description and Change Justification		
		New Standard or	
		Other Action	
Ī	NEW	CIP-004-5	Completion of revocation (shared accounts) – To provide clarification
		7.4 <u>R7.5</u>	of expected actions in managing the passwords

VRF and VSL Justifications ______13_

Standard: CIP-005-4a – Cyber Security—Electronic Security Perimeter(s)		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-005-4a R1.	CIP-005-5 R1.1	Electronic Security Perimeter identification – Changes include referencing -the defined terms Electronic Access Point and BES Cyber System.
CIP-005-4a R1.1.	Definition	Access Points – This was moved to the definition of Electronic Access Points.
CIP-005-4a R1.2.	Guidance	Dial-up accessible CCA – This is a clarifying statement that was moved to guidance Guidance.
CIP-005-4a R1.3.	Guidance	Communication links between ESPs – This is a clarifying statement that was moved to guidanceGuidance .
CIP-005-4a R1.4.	Applicability	Applicability for Non-Critical Cyber Asset – To remove any cross referencing, these Cyber Assets are now included in the Applicability column for each cyber security requirement.
CIP-005-4a R1.5.	Applicability	Access control and monitoring eyber assets Cyber Assets – To remove any cross referencing, these Cyber Assets are now included in the Applicability column for each cyber security requirement.
CIP-005-4a R1.6.	Measures	Maintain Documentation – This is a measure for the requirement to have an ESP documentation.
CIP-005-4a R2.	CIP-005-5 R1	Electronic Access Controls – No significant changes.
CIP-005-4a R2.1.	CIP-005-5 <u>R1.2,</u> 1.2 <u>3</u>	Deny access by default - Changes include referring to the defined term Electronic Access Point, and to focus on the entity knowing and having justification for what it allows through the EAP. The requirement R1.3 explicitly states the network admission control includes both inbound and outbound connections.

VRF and VSL Justifications _______14_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-005-4a – Cyber Security—Electronic Security Perimeter(s)		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-005-4a R2.2.	CIP-007-5 <u>R1.</u> 1-, 1.2	Enable specific ports/services – Consolidated port hardening requirements to CIP-007.
CIP-005-4a R2.3.	CIP-005-5 1.3R1.4	Secure dial-up — Changed to refer to the defined term Electronic Access Point. Added clarification as to the goal of "secure", " which is that the BES Cyber System should not be directly accessible with a phone number only.
CIP-005-4a R2.4.	CIP-005-5 R2,2.3	Strong access control – Added a new requirement for remote access in response to increased vulnerabilities in VPN technology. This requirement also clarified strong access control meant two-factor (or more) authentication.
CIP-005-4a R2.5.	Measures	Evidence requirements are considered as part of the measure.
CIP-005-4a R2.5.1.	CIP-004-5 R6	The processes for access request and authorization – Consolidated with other similar requirements to CIP-004-5 R6.
CIP-005-4a R2.5.2.	Measures	The authentication methods - Evidence requirements are considered as part of the measure.
CIP-005-4a R2.5.3.	Measures	The review process for authorization rights, in accordance with Standard CIP-004-3 Requirement R4.— Evidence requirements are considered as part of the measure.
CIP-005-4a R2.5.4.	Measures	The controls used to secure dial-up accessible connections.— Evidence requirements are considered as part of the measure.

VRF and VSL Justifications ________15_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of ProjectCyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-005-4a – Cyber Security—Electronic Security Perimeter(s)		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-005-4a R2.6.	DELETED	Appropriate Use Banner – The drafting team considered this requirement administrative. The objective of having an appropriate use banner is to prevent accidental use of the system and help allow prosecution of unauthorized individuals accessing the system. The drafting team did not consider either of these rising to the level of meeting a reliability objective.
CIP-005-4a R3.	CIP-007-5 R4, 4.1	Monitoring Electronic Access – Consolidated monitoring requirements to CIP-007-5 R4 to ensure consistent language across all monitoring requirements in the Standards .
CIP-005-4a R3.1.	CIP-007-5 R4, 4.1	Dial-up Accessible – Removed specific references to dial-up devices. Incorporated into logging/monitoring requirements. The drafting team did not feel further referencing this technology was necessary.
CIP-005-4a R3.2.	CIP-007-5, R4, 4.2	Alerts – Consolidated monitoring requirements to CIP-007-5 R4 to ensure consistent language across all monitoring requirements in the Standards .
CIP-005-4a R4.	CIP-010-1 R3	Cyber Vulnerability Assessment – Consolidated vulnerability assessment requirements to CIP-010-1 R3 to ensure consistent language across all vulnerability assessment requirements.
CIP-005-4a R4.1.	Measures	A document identifying the vulnerability assessment process - Evidence requirements are considered as part of the measure.

VRF and VSL Justifications _______16_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-005-4a – Cyber Security—Electronic Security Perimeter(s)		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-005-4a R4.2.	CIP-010-1 3 <u>R3</u> .1, 3.2	A review to verify that only ports and services required for operations at these access points are enabled - Consolidated vulnerability assessment requirements to CIP-010-1 R3 to ensure consistent language across all vulnerability assessment requirements. As suggested in FERC Order No. 706 paragraph, Paragraph 644, the details for what should be included in the assessment are left to guidance Guidance.
CIP-005-4a R4.3.	CIP-010-1 <u>3R3</u> .1, 3.2	The discovery of all access points to the Electronic Security Perimeter - Consolidated vulnerability assessment requirements to CIP-010-1 R3 to ensure consistent language across all vulnerability assessment requirements. As suggested in FERC Order No. 706 paragraph, Paragraph 644, the details for what should be included in the assessment are left to guidance Guidance.
CIP-005-4a R4.4.	CIP-010-1 <u>3R3</u> .1, 3.2	A review of controls for default accounts, passwords, and network management community strings - Consolidated vulnerability assessment requirements to CIP-010-1 R3 to ensure consistent language across all vulnerability assessment requirements. As suggested in FERC Order No. 706 paragraph, Paragraph 644, the details for what should be included in the assessment are left to guidance Guidance.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-005-4a - Cyber Security—Electronic Security Perimeter(s)			
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification	
CIP-005-4a R4.5.	CIP-010-1 3R3.4	Mitigation plan - Consolidated vulnerability assessment requirements to CIP-010-1 R3 to ensure consistent language across all vulnerability assessment requirements. Added element to have an entity defined date of completion of the mitigation plan per FERC Order No. 706-para, Paragraph 643.	
CIP-005-4a R5.	DELETED	Documentation Review and Maintenance – The drafting team considered this requirement fully administrative and as part of the internal program to maintain compliance evidence.	
CIP-005-4a R5.1.	DELETED	The drafting team considered this requirement fully administrative and as part of the internal program to maintain compliance evidence.	
CIP-005-4a R5.2.	DELETED	The drafting team considered this requirement fully administrative and as part of the internal program to maintain compliance evidence.	
CIP-005-4a R5.3.	CIP-007-5 <u>R4.</u> 4 .5	Retain relevant log information – Log retention requirements are consolidated to CIP-007-5 R4.	
NEW	CIP-005-5 1.6R1.5	Inspect ∧ detect potential malicious communications – Per FERC Order No. 706, paragraphParagraphs 496-503, ESP's ESPs need two distinct security measures such that the eyber assets Cyber Assets do not lose all perimeter protection if one measure fails or is misconfigured. The Orderorder makes clear this is not simple redundancy of firewalls; thus the drafting team has decided to add the security measure of malicious traffic inspection (IDS/IPS) a requirement for these ESPs.	

VRF and VSL Justifications _______18_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-005-4a – Cyber Security—Electronic Security Perimeter(s)			
Requirement in Approved Standard Translation to Description and Change Justification			
	New Standard or		
	Other Action		
NEW	CIP-005-5	Remote Access: intermediate device and encryption_ – This is a new	
	2 R2.1,2.2	requirement to continue the efforts of the Urgent Action team for	
		Project 2010-15: Expedited Revisions to CIP-005-3.	

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-006-4c – Cyber Security—Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets			
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification	
CIP-006-4c R1.	CIP-006-5 R1	Physical Security Plan – Removed the requirement for Senior Managementsenior management approval of the physical security plan because there is already approval of the physical security policy and delegation of the task in complying for this program. Additional approval is not considered necessary to meeting the reliability objective of physicallyphysical security for the BES Cyber System.	
CIP-006-4c R1.1.	CIP-006-5 <u>4R1</u> .2, 1.3	Physical Security Perimeter —Reworded — For high impact BES Cyber Systems clarified that two or more different physical access controls must be used to reflect the change from collectively allow physical access into Physical Security Perimeter to Defined Physical Boundary.Perimeters.	
CIP-006-4c R1.2.	DELETED	No longer requires identifingidentification of all physical access points and controls at them to reflect the change from Physical Security Perimeter to Defined Physical Boundary.	
CIP-006-4c R1.3.	CIP-006-5 <u>R1.4,</u> 1.4 <u>5</u>	Monitor physical access – A documented plan is required as part of CIP-006-5 R1 that references the new alerting term in table row 1.4, which replaces the monitoring term. Otherwise, no significant change. Table Row 1.5. Examples of monitoring methods have been moved to the Guidelines and Technical Basis section.	
CIP-006-4c R1.4.	CIP-004-5 <u>2R2</u> .3	Appropriate use of access controls – The term "appropriate'appropriate" used in prior versions is subject to a high degree of subjectivity. The training requirement specifies role-based training on physical access controls.	

VRF and VSL Justifications _______20_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of ProjectCyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-006-4c – Cyber Security—Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets			
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification	
CIP-006-4c R1.5.	CIP-004-5 R6 and R7	Review of access authorization requests and revocation of access authorization requirements were consolidated to CIP-004-5.	
CIP-006-4c R1.6.	CIP-006-5 R2	Visitor control program - A documented program is required as part of CIP-006-5 R2. Otherwise, no significant change.	
CIP-006-4c R1.6.1.	CIP-006-5 <u>2R2</u> .2	Log entry and exit of visitors - Addressed multi entry requirements and added the point of contact <u>of</u> who can be considered the sponsor for the person to enter the DPB. There is no need to document the escort or handoffs between escorts.	
CIP-006-4c R1.6.2.	CIP-006-5 <u>2</u> R2.1	Continuous escorted access of visitors – No significant change.	
CIP-006-4c R1.7.	DELETED	Update of the physical security plan - The drafting team considered this requirement fully administrative and as part of the internal program to maintain compliance evidence.	
CIP-006-4c R1.8.	DELETED	Annual review of the physical security plan - The drafting team considered this requirement fully administrative and as part of the internal program to maintain compliance evidence.	
CIP-006-4c R2.	Applicability	Protection of Physical Access Control Systems – Applicability to Physical Access Control and Monitoring Systems were moved to the applicability section of each security requirement, and added this as a defined term in the glossary.	

Standard: CIP-006-4c – Cyber Security—Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-006-4c R2.1.	Applicability, CIP- 006-5 R1.1	Physical Access Control Systems be protected from unauthorized physical access - Applicability to Physical Access Control Systems were moved to the applicabilityApplicability section of each security requirement. For this particular requirement, see CIP-006-5 item, Item 1.1, which applies to Physical Access Control Systems.
CIP-006-4c R2.2.	ApplicabilityCIP- 006-5 R1.6, 1.7	Protection of Physical Access Control Systems - Applicability to Physical Access Control Systems were moved to the applicabilityApplicabilityApplicability section of each security requirement throughout CP version 5 .
CIP-006-4c R3.	Applicability	Protection of Electronic Access Control Systems - Applicability to what protections Electronic Access Control and Monitoring Systems need were moved to the applicability section of each security requirement.
CIP-006-4c R4.	CIP-006-5 <u>1R1</u> .2, 1.3	Physical Access Controls —Reworded to reflect the change from Physical Security Perimeter to Defined Physical Boundary. Also addressed—R1.3 addresses FERC Order No. 706 Paragraph 572 related directives for physical security defense in depth. Examples of methods to implement have been moved to by providing the examples in the guidance section of this requirement. document of physical security defense in depth via multifactor authentication or layered Physical Security Perimeter(s).
CIP-006-4c R5.	CIP-006-5 1 <u>R1</u> .4, 1.5, 1.6, <u>1.7</u>	Monitor physical access – Changed the term to alert for unauthorized access and clarified the actions taken for review of unauthorized physical access alerts. Examples of methods to implement have been moved to the guidance section of this requirement.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-006-4c – Cyber Security—Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-006-4c R6.	CIP-006-5 1.7R1.8,	Log physical access – CIP-006-4 R6 was specific to the logging of access at identified access points. This now more generally requires logging of physical access into the Defined Physical Boundary.defined physical boundary. Examples of methods to implement have been moved to the guidance Guidance section of this requirement.
CIP-006-4c R7.	CIP-006-5 R1.9 and CIP-008-5 Evidence Retention	Access log retention - Retain relevant incident related log information is addressed in CIP-008-5.
CIP-006-4c R8.	CIP-006-5 R3	Maintenance and Testing.
CIP-006-4c R8.1.	CIP-006-5 <u>3R3</u> .1	Physical access control system 3 yr.three-year testing and maintenance – Shortened periodicity of testing to 2 years to address FERC Order No. 706 paragraph, Paragraph 581 directives. Added testing of locally mounted security hardware devices.
CIP-006-4c R8.2.	REMOVED	Testing and maintenance records are considered the measurement of itemletem 3.1.
CIP-006-4c R8.3.	CIP-006-5 3R3.2	Retain outage records – No significant changes.
NEW	CIP 006 5 1.1	Entity based Operational or procedural controls to restrict physical access—To allow for programmatic protection controls as a baseline for Low Impact BES Cyber Assets and Physical Access Control Systems. This does not require detailed lists of individuals with access.

VRF and VSL Justifications ______23_

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R1.	CIP-010-1 1 <u>R1</u> .4	Assess security controls following changes - Provides clarity on when testing must occur, and requires additional testing to ensure that accidental consequences of planned changes are appropriately managed. This change addresses FERC Order ,paragraphs No. 706, Paragraphs 397, 609, 610, and 611.
CIP-007-4 R1.1.	CIP-010-1 1.4 <u>R1.5</u>	Test procedures — See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R1.Test procedures — This requirement provides clarity on when testing must occur and requires additional testing to ensure that accidental consequences of planned changes are appropriately managed. This change addresses FERC Order No. 706, Paragraphs 397, 609, 610, and 611.
CIP-007-4 R1.2.	CIP-010-1 1.4 <u>R1.5</u>	Testing reflects production environment - See description This requirement provides clarity on when testing must occur and justification for CIP-007-4 R1 requires additional testing to ensure that accidental consequences of planned changes are appropriately managed. This change addresses FERC Order No. 706, Paragraphs 397, 609, 610, and 611.
CIP-007-4 R1.3.	CIP-010-1 <u>R1.4,</u> 1.4 <u>5</u>	The Responsible Entity shall document test results. —See description The SDT attempted to provide clarity on when testing must occur and justification removed requirement for CIP-007-4 R1.specific test procedures because it is implicit in the performance of the requirement.

VRF and VSL Justifications________24_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of ProjectCyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R2.	CIP-007-5 R1	Ports and Services – The requirement focuses on the entity knowing, and only allowing those ports that are necessary. The additional classification of '"normal" or "emergency" emergency" added no value and has been removed.
CIP-007-4 R2.1.	CIP-007-5 <u>1R1</u> .1	Enable only those ports and services required for normal and emergency operations – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R2.
CIP-007-4 R2.2.	CIP-007-5 <u>+R1</u> .1, 1.2	Disable other ports/services – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R2.
CIP-007-4 R2.3.	DELETED	Compensating measures – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R2.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R3.	CIP-007-5 R2	Security Patch Management – The existing wording, or CIP-007-4 R3, R3.1; and R3.2 was separated into individual line items to provide more granularity. The documentation of a source-(s) to monitor for release of security related patches, hotfixeshot fixes, and/or updates for BES Cyber System or BES Cyber Assets was added to provide context as to when the "release" date was. The current wording stated "document Document the assessment of security patches and security upgrades for applicability within thirty30 calendar days of availability of the patches or upgrades" there." There has been confusion as to what constitutes the availability. Due to issues that may occur regarding Control Systemcontrol system vendor license and service agreements flexibility must be given to Responsible Entities to define what sources are being monitored for BES Cyber Assets.
CIP-007-4 R3.1.	CIP-007-5 <u>2R2</u> .2	Assess patches – Similar to the current wording, but added "from the identified reference to "identification of a source or sources that the Responsible Entity tracks" to establish where the release is from. The current wording word in previous versions: "The Responsible Entity shall document the assessment of security patches and security upgrades for applicability within thirty30 calendar days of availability of the patches or upgrades", has led to varying opinions as to what constitutes "availability" of the patches or upgrades. The addition attempts to clarify where the release is from.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
¢IP-007-4 R3.2.	CIP-007-5 <u>R2.3,</u> 2. <u>34</u>	Implement patches - This is the same concept as in the current CIP-007 R3.2 wording; however, a 30-day window was given to allow for documentation of the actual implementation in a less time constrained manner where manual processes are used. Splitting the implementation of security related patches, hotfixeshot fixes, and/or updates into a separate item from compensating measures will provide granularity. Automated processes allow the implementation to be documented and confirmed electronically in a short time period. Manual processes may take an extended period of time to complete documentation of the installation. Priority should be given to the implementation rather than the documentation.

VRF and VSL Justifications 27_

Standard: CIP-007-4 - Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R4.	CIP-007-5 R3, 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.5	Malicious Software Prevention – In prior versions, this requirement has arguably been the single greatest generator of TFE's as it prescribed a particular technology to be used on every CCA regardless of that asset's susceptibility or capability to use that technology. As the scope of eyber assets in seopeCyber Assets of these standards expands to more field assets, this issue will only grow exponentially. The drafting team is taking the approach of making this requirement a competency based requirement where the entity must document how the malware risk is handled for each BES Cyber System, but it does not prescribe a particular technical method, nor does it prescribe that it must be used on every component. The BES Cyber System is the object of protection. Beginning in paragraphParagraphs 619-622 of FERC Order No. 706, and in particular Paragraph 621, FERC agrees that the standard "does not need to prescribe a single methodHowever, how a responsible entityResponsible Entity does this should be detailed in its eyber security policyCyber Security Policy so that it can be audited for compliance" In paragraphParagraph 622, FERC directs that the requirement be modified to include safeguards against personnel introducing, either maliciously or unintentionally, viruses or malicious software through remote access, electronic media, or other means. The drafting team believes that addressing this issue holistically at the BES Cyber System level, and regardless of technology, along with the enhanced change management requirements, meets this directive.

VRF and VSL Justifications 28_

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R4.1.	CIP-007-5 R3 , 3.1 , 3.2, 3.3	Malware prevention tools – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R4R3.
CIP-007-4 R4.2.	CIP-007-5 <u>R3.2,</u> 3.4 <u>3</u>	Update malicious code detections – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R4R3.
CIP-007-4 R5.	CIP-007-5 <u>\$R5</u> .1	Use at least one authentication method – The requirement to enforce authentication for all user access is included here. The requirement to establish, implement, and document controls is included in this introductory requirement. The requirement to have technical and procedural controls was removed because technical controls suffice when procedural documentation is already required. The phrase, "that minimize the risk of unauthorized access", " was removed and more appropriately captured in the rationale statement Rationale Statement.
CIP-007-4 R5.1.	CIP-004-5 <u>R6.1,</u> 6. <u>12</u>	Access authorization – CIP-003-4, CIP-004-4 CIP-006-4, and CIP-007-4 all reference authorization of access in some form, and CIP-003-4 and CIP-007-4 require authorization on a "need-to-know" basis, or with respect to work functions performed. These were consolidated in CIP-004-5 R6.1 and 6.2 to ensure consistency in the requirement language.
CIP-007-4 R5.1.1.	CIP-003-5 R5 <u>.2</u>	Access authorization – CIP-003-5 R5.2 requires CIP Senior Manager or delegate approval for all requirements for authorization in the CIP Cyber Security Standards.

VRF and VSL Justifications 29_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to	Description and Change Justification
	New Standard or	
	Other Action	
CIP-007-4 R5.1.2.	CIP-007-5 4 <u>R4</u> .1	Identify security events for after-the-fact investigation – This
		requirement is derived from NIST 800-53 version, Version 3 AU-2,
		which requires organizations to determine system events to audit for
		incident response purposes. The industry expressed confusion in the
		termphrase, "system events related to cyber security"," from informal
		comments received on CIP-011 Changes made here clarify this term
		by allowing entities to first define these security events. Access logs
		from the ESP, as required in CIP-005-4 R3, and user access and activity
		logs ₂ as required in CIP-007-5 R5 ₂ are also included here.
CIP-007-4 R5.1.3.	CIP-004-5 <u>R6.</u> 6 .5	Annual account privilege verification – Moved requirements to ensure
		consistency and eliminate the cross-referencing of requirements.
		Clarified what was necessary in performing verification by stating the
		objective was to confirm that access privileges are correct and the
		minimum necessary for performing assigned work functions.
CIP-007-4 R5.2.	CIP-007-5 <u>5</u> R5.2	Identify account types and determine acceptable use – CIP-007-4
		requires entities to minimize and manage the scope and acceptable
		use of account privileges. The requirement to minimize account
		privileges has been removed because the implementation of such a
		policy is difficult to measure, at best.

VRF and VSL Justifications _______30_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R5.2.1.	CIP-007-5 <u>5R5</u> .4	Change default vendor passwords – The requirement for the "removal, disabling, or renaming of such accounts where possible"," has been removed and incorporated into guidance Guidance for acceptable use of account types. This was removed because those actions are not appropriate on all account types. Added the option of having unique default passwords to permit cases where a system may have generated a default password or a hard-coded, uniquely generated default password was manufactured with the BES Cyber System.
CIP-007-4 R5.2.2.	CIP-007-5 5.2 <u>R5.3</u>	Identify account types and determine acceptable useIdentify those individuals with access to shared accounts - No significant changes. Added "authorized" access to make clear that individuals storing, losing or inappropriately sharing a password is not a violation of this requirement.
CIP-007-4 R5.2.3.	CIP-007-5 <u>R5.2,</u> 5.3	Identify account types and determine acceptable use – No significant changes. Added "authorized" access to make clear that individuals storing, losing or inappropriately sharing a password is not a violation of this requirement.

VRF and VSL Justifications 31_

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R5.3.	CIP-007-5 <u>5R5</u> .5	Implement a password policy — CIP-007-4 R5.3 requires the use of passwords, and specifies a specific policy of 6six characters or more with a combination of alpha-numeric and special characters—. The level of detail in these requirements can restrict more effective security measures. The password requirements have been changed to permit the maximum allowed by the device in cases where the password parameters could otherwise not achieve a stricter policy. This change still achieves the requirement objective to minimize the risk of unauthorized disclosure of password credentials, while recognizing password parameters alone do not achieve this. The drafting team feltbelieves allowing the Responsible Entity the flexibility of applying the strictest password policy allowed by a device outweighed the need to track a relatively minimally effective control through the TFE process.
CIP-007-4 R5.3.1.	CIP-007-5 <u>5R5</u> .5	Password length – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R5.3.
CIP-007-4 R5.3.2.	CIP-007-5 <u>5R5</u> .5	Password complexity – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R5.3.
CIP-007-4 R5.3.3.	CIP-007-5 <u>R5.</u> 5-, 5. <u>6</u>	Password change frequency – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R5.3.
CIP-007-4 R6.	CIP-007-5 R4	Security Status Monitoring – Consolidated requirements for monitoring electronic events into CIP-007-5 R4.

VRF and VSL Justifications 32_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R6.1.	CIP-007-5 4 <u>R4</u> .1	Identify security events – This requirement is derived from NIST 800-53-version, Version 3 AU-2, which requires organizations to determine system events to audit for incident response purposes. The industry expressed confusion in the termphrase, "system events related to cyber security" from informal comments received on CIP-011. Changes made here clarify this term by allowing entities to first define these security events. Access logs from the ESP, as required in CIP-005-4 R3, and user access and activity logs, as required in CIP-007-5 R5, are also included here.
CIP-007-4 R6.2.	CIP-007-5 4 <u>R4</u> .2	Identify security events for realReal-time alerting — This requirement is derived from alerting requirements in CIP-005-4 R3.2 and CIP-007-4 R6.2, in addition to NIST 800-53-version, Version 3 AU-6. Previous CIP Standards required alerting on unauthorized access attempts and detected Cyber Security Incidents, which can be vast and difficult to determine from day to day. Changes to this requirement allow the entity to determine events that necessitate an immediate response.
CIP-007-4 R6.3.	CIP-007-5 4 <u>R4</u> .1	Identify security events for after-the-fact investigation – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R6.1.
¢IP-007-4 R6.4.	CIP-007-5 4 <u>R4</u> .4	Retain relevant log information – No significant changes.

VRF and VSL Justifications 33_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-007-4 R6.5.	CIP-007-5 4.3 <u>R4.5</u>	Review logs – Beginning in paragraphParagraph 525, and also Paragraph 628 of the FERC Order No. 706, the commission directs a manual review of security event logs on a more periodic basis, and suggests a weekly review. The Orderorder acknowledges it is rarely feasible to review all system logs. Indeed, log review is a dynamic process that should improve over time and with additional threat information. Changes to this requirement allow for a weekly summary or sampling review of logs.
CIP-007-4 R7.	CIP-011-1 <u>2R2</u> .1	Erase media no longer needed to store protected information Disposal or Redeployment — Consistent with FERC Order No. 706, paragraph Paragraph 631, clarified that the goal was to prevent the unauthorized retrieval of information from the media asset, removing the word "erase" as, depending on the media itself, erasure may not be sufficient to meet this goal. Removed Moved requirement explicitly requiring records of destruction/redeployment because this was implied asto a measure of compliance. Added requirement for chain of custody if the device is taken outside the Physical Security Perimeter.

VRF and VSL Justifications 34_

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
¢IP-007-4 R7.1.	CIP-011-1 <u>2R2</u> .2	Disposal — See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R7. Disposal — Consistent with FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 631, the SDT clarified that the goal was to prevent the unauthorized retrieval of information from the asset, removing the word "erase" since, depending on the media itself, erasure may not be sufficient to meet this goal. Moved requirement explicitly requiring records of destruction/redeployment, to a measure of compliance. Added requirement for chain of custody if the device is taken outside the Physical Security Perimeter.
¢IP-007-4 R7.2.	CIP-011-1 2R2.1	Redeployment – See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R7.
CIP-007-4 R7.3.	Measures	See description and justification for CIP-007-4 R7.
CIP-007-4 R8.	CIP-010-1 R3	Cyber Vulnerability Assessment – Consolidated requirements for vulnerability assessments from CIP-005-4 and CIP-007-4.
CIP-007-4 R8.1.	Measures	A document identifying the vulnerability assessment process – This is example evidence required for compliance.
CIP-007-4 R8.2.	CIP-010-1 <u>3R3</u> .1, 3.2	Ports and services review – As suggested in FERC Order No. 706 paragraph, Paragraph 644, the details for what should be included in the assessment are left to guidance included in Guidance.
CIP-007-4 R8.3.	CIP-010-1 <u>3R3</u> .1, 3.2	A review of controls for default accounts – As suggested in FERC Order No. 706 paragraph, Paragraph 644, the details for what should be included in the assessment are left to guidance included in Guidance.
CIP-007-4 R8.4.	CIP-010-1 <u>3R3</u> .4	Mitigation plan – Added a requirement for an entity planned date of completion as per the FERC directive in Order No. 706, paragraphParagraph 643.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to	Description and Change Justification
	New Standard or	
	Other Action	
CIP-007-4 R9.	DELETED	Documentation Review and Maintenance – The drafting team
		considered this requirement fully administrative and as part of the
		internal program to maintain compliance evidence.
NEW	CIP-007-5 <u>1R1</u> .2	Restrict physical I/O ports – In the March 18, 2010, FERC issued an
		order to approve NERC's interpretation of Requirement R2 of CIP-007-
		2. In this order, FERC agreed the term "ports" in "ports and services"
		refers to logical communication (e.g TCP/IP) ports, but they also
		encouraged the drafting team to address unused physical ports.
NEW	CIP-007-5 <u>2R2</u> .1	Identify patch sources – Defining the source(s) that a Responsible
		Entity monitors for the release of security related patches, hotives hot
		fixes, and/or updates will provide a starting point for assessing the
		effectiveness of the patch management program. Documenting the
		source is also used to determine when the assessment timeframetime
		frame clock starts. This requirement also handles the situation where
		security patches can come from an original source (such as an
		operating system vendor), but must be approved or certified by
		another source (such as a control system vendor) before they can be
		assessed and applied in order to not jeopardize the availability or
		integrity of the control system.

VRF and VSL Justifications _______36_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-007-4 – Cyber Security—Systems Security Management		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
NEW	CIP-007-5 4 <u>R4</u> .3	Generate realReal-time alerts and respond to audit-processing failures — This requirement was derived from NIST 800-53 version, Version 3 AU-5, which addresses response to audit processing failures. Some interpretations of version 4 CIP Cyber Security Standards considered the failure of the security event monitoring and alerting system to be a violation. The purpose of this requirement is to have mitigation in place rather than penalizing audit processing failures.
NEW	CIP-007-5 5.6R5.7	Limits or alerts on exceeding unsuccessful log in attempts threshold – Minimizing the number of unsuccessful login attempts significantly reduces the risk of live password cracking attempts. This is a more effective control in live password attacks than password parameters.
NEW	CIP-007-5 R6	Limit malicious code on maintenance devices—This is a new requirement to address the FERC Order 706 paragraph 621 directive to protect against personnel introducing malicious code into the BES Cyber System. This requirement also clarifies that these devices may be temporarily connected to the BES Cyber System, but do not become a part of the BES Cyber System, nor are they considered Protective (Protected??) Cyber Assets. These devices may be temporarily connected locally to the BES Cyber System for maintenance, but must be protected from introducing malicious code or creating an additional electronic access point.

VRF and VSL Justifications ______37_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-008-4 – Cyber Security—Incident Reporting and Response Planning		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-008-4 R1.	CIP-008-5 R1	Cyber Security Incident Response Plan – Separated requirement into multiple requirements in a comparable manner as CIP-009-4, where individual aspects of maintaining the plan are listed as separate requirements. The requirement to have an Incident Response Plan now applies to all Responsible Entities as a foundational element of a cyber security program for BES Cyber Systems.
CIP-008-4 R1.1.	CIP-008-5 <u>R1.</u> 1- <u>,</u> 1 <u>.2</u>	Identify reportable cyber security events – Defined the term Reportable Cyber Security Incident and further described the meaning in relation to CIP-008-5. "Characterize" has been changed to "identify" for clarity. "Response actions" has been changed to "respond to" for clarity. EOP-004-2 will address the reporting requirements from previous versions of CIP-008. This requirement part only obligates entities to have a process for determining Reportable Cyber Security Incidents.
CIP-008-4 R1.2.	CIP-008-5 <u>R1.3,</u> 1. 2 4, 1.5	Roles and responsibilities of incident response teams No significant changes. Roles and responsibilities of incident response teams – Replaced "incident response teams" with "incident response groups or individuals" to avoid the interpretation that roles and responsibilities sections must reference specific teams. Conforming change to reference new defined term Cyber Security Incidents. Clarified the term "communication plan" by specifying the elements that need to be included.

VRF and VSL Justifications 38_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-008-4 – Cyber Security—Incident Reporting and Response Planning		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to	Description and Change Justification
	New Standard or	
	Other Action	
CIP-008-4 R1.3.	DELETED	Reporting cyber security incidents – Coordinating Coordinated with
		EOP-004-2 drafting team to ensure EOP-004-2 becomes the single
		Standardstandard for reporting incidents, and ensure EOP-004-2
		references the defined term Reportable Cyber Security Incidents.
¢IP-008-4 R1.4.	CIP-008-5 R3.3-,	Update incident response plan following review – Included additional
	3 <u>.4</u>	specification on update of response plan Addresses FERC Order No.
		706, Paragraph 686 directive to modify on lessons learned and aspects
		of. Specifies the DHS Controlsactivities required to maintain the plan.
		The previous version required entities to update the plan in response to
		any changes. The modifications make clear the changes that would
		require an update.
¢IP-008-4 R1.5.	CIP-008-5 R3.1,	Review incident response plans annually – No significant
	3. <u>13</u>	changesSpecified what the annual review entails. Addresses FERC Order
		No. 706, Paragraph 686 to document test, or actual incidents and
		<u>lessons learned</u> .
CIP-008-4 R1.6.	CIP-008-5 R2.1,	Test incident response plans annually – No significant changes Allows
	2. <mark>12</mark>	deviation from plan(s) during actual events or testing if deviations are
		recorded for review, and specifies activities required to maintain the
		plan.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of ProjectCyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-008-4 – Cyber Security—Incident Reporting and Response Planning		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
CIP-008-4 R2.	DELETEDCIP- 008-5 R2.3	Cyber Security Incident Documentation — The drafting team considered this requirement fully administrative and as part of Removed references to the internal program to maintain compliance evidence retention period because the standard addresses data retention in the Compliance section.
NEW	<u>CIP-008-5 R3.2</u>	Document any lessons learned - Addresses FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 686 to document test or actual incidents and lessons learned.
NEW	CIP-008-5 <u>3R3</u> .5	Communicate incident response plan updates – Added specific timing requirement on communication of plan changes based on review of the DHS Controls and NIST 800-53 guideline.

VRF and VSL Justifications 40_

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: CIP-009-4 – Cyber Security—Recovery Plans for Critical Cyber Assets		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to	Description and Change Justification
	New Standard or	
	Other Action	
¢IP-009-4 R1.	CIP-009-5 R1,	Recovery Plan – Added the requirements to additionally review plans
	3.1 <u>, 3.3</u>	after system replacement. Also added requirement for documentation
		of any identified deficiencies or lessons learned. Added the
		requirement to additionally review plans after technology changes.
¢IP-009-4 R1.1.	CIP-009-5 4R1.1	Conditions for activation of recovery plan – Reworded to address FERC
		Order 706 paragraph 694 directive and simplified the requirement Minor
		wording changes, essentially unchanged.
CIP-009-4 R1.2.	CIP-009-5 <u>4R1</u> .2	Roles and responsibilities of recovery plan responders – No significant
		changes.
¢IP-009-4 R2.	CIP-009-5 <u>2R2</u> .1	Test recovery plan annually – No significant changes.
CIP-009-4 R3.	CIP-009-5 3R3.2	Review results of recovery plan activities (tests, events) – Added the
		timeframetime frame for update.
CIP-009-4 R4.	CIP-009-5 <u>4R1</u> .3	Backup processes – No significant changes.
CIP-009-4 R5.	CIP-009-5 2 R2.2	Test information used for recovery – Combined
		Requirementrequirement from CIP-009-4 R5 and included requirement
		to test when initially stored. Addresses FERC Order No. 706 directives.
		Directives 739 and 748 related to testing of backups.
NEW	CIP-009-5 <u>1R1</u> .4	Testing of backup media – Addresses FERC Order No. 706-paragraph.
		Paragraph 739 and 748 directives regarding the testing of backup
		media.

VRF and VSL Justifications 41_

Standard: CIP-009-4 – Cyber Security—Recovery Plans for Critical Cyber Assets		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to	Description and Change Justification
	New Standard or	
	Other Action	
NEW	CIP-009-5	Process to preserve data for analysis – Added requirement to address
	1.6 R1.5	FERC Order No. 706, paragraph Paragraph 706, regarding the necessity
		to have procedures in place to retain eyber asset Cyber Asset evidence
		as part of the recovery planning.
NEW	CIP-009-5 R2.3	Test each of the recovery plans for high impact BES Cyber Systems at
		<u>least once every 36 calendar months through an operational exercise of</u>
		the recovery plans in an environment representative of the production
		environment. Addresses FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 725, to add
		the requirement that the recovery plan test be a full operational test
		once every 3 years.
NEW	CIP-009-5	Communicate recovery plan updates – This change ensures that
	3.5 <u>R3.4</u>	recovery personnel are aware of any changes to recovery plans.
Standard: New Requirements in CIP-010-1 and CIP-011-1		

Standard: New Requirements in CIP-010-1 and CIP-011-1

Requirement in Approved Standard Translation to New Standard or Other Action CIP-010-1 1-R1.1 Baseline configuration — Baseline requirement incorporated from the DHS Catalog for Control Systems Security (also NIST 800-53). The baseline requirement is also an attempt to clarify precisely when the change management process must be invoked and which elements of the configuration must be managed.

VRF and VSL Justifications 42_

1.

Project YYYY-##.# - Name of Project Cyber Security Order 706

Standard: New Requirements in CIP-010-1 and CIP-011-1		
Requirement in Approved Standard	Translation to New Standard or Other Action	Description and Change Justification
NEW	CIP-010-1 <u>2R2</u> .1	Monitor for changes to the baseline configuration MonitoringThe monitoring of the configuration of the BES Cyber System provides an express acknowledgement of the need to consider malicious actions along with intentional changes.
		This change addresses FERC Order 706, paragraph 397 directive and is based on a requirement was added after review of the DHS Catalog of Control System Security Controls (and to address FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 397. DHS Catalog & addresses FERC Order No. 706, Paragraph 397. Thirty-five calendar days allows for a "once-a-month" frequency with slight flexibility to account for months with 31 days or NIST 800-53).for beginning or endings of months on weekends.
NEW	CIP-010-1 3 <u>R3</u> .2	Live Vulnerability Assessment for high impact BES Cyber Systems – Addresses FERC Order No. 706-paragraph, Paragraph 541, 542, 544, and 547 directives regarding the performance of a live vulnerability assessment in a test environment.
NEW	CIP-010-1 <u>3R3</u> .3	Perform active VA on new BES Cyber Assets for high impact - Addresses FERC Order No. 706 paragraph, Paragraph 541, 542, 544, and 547 directives regarding the performance of a vulnerability assessment prior to placing a new Cyber Asset into production.

VRF and VSL Justifications ______43_