# **Standard Development Timeline**

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

# **Development Steps Completed**

- 1. SAR posted for comment (March 20, 2008).
- 2. SC authorized moving the SAR forward to standard development (July 10, 2008).
- 3. First posting for 60-day formal comment period and concurrent ballot (November 2011).

#### **Description of Current Draft**

This is the firstsecond posting of Version 5 of the CIP Cyber Security Standards for a 4540-day formal comment period. An initial concept paper, Categorizing Cyber Systems — An Approach Based on BES Reliability Functions, was posted for public comment in July 2009. An early draft consolidating CIP-002 — CIP-009, numbered CIP-010-1 and CIP-011-1, was posted for public informal comment in May 2010. This version (Version 5)A first posting of Version 5 was posted in November 2011 for a 60-day comment period and first ballot. Version 5 reverts to the original organization of the standards with some changes and addresses the balance of the FERC directives in its Order 706 approving Version 1 of the standards. This posting for formal comment and parallel successive ballot addresses the comments received from the first posting and ballot.

| Anticipated Actions  | Anticipated Date      |
|--|-----------------------|
| 45 day Formal Comment Period with Parallel Initial Ballot      | <del>11/03/2011</del> |
| 3040-day Formal Comment Period with Parallel Successive Ballot | MarchApril 2012       |
| Recirculation ballot   | June 2012             |
| BOT adoption   | June 2012             |

<u>April 10, 2012</u> Page 1 of 34

#### **Effective Dates**

- 1. 1824 Months Minimum The Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards, except for CIP-003-5, Requirement R2, shall become effective on the later of JanuaryJuly 1, 2015, or the first calendar day of the seventhninth calendar quarter after the effective date of the order providing applicable regulatory approval. CIP-003-5, Requirement R2 shall become effective on the later of July 1, 2016, or the first calendar day of the 13th calendar quarter after the effective date of the order providing applicable regulatory approval. Notwithstanding any order to the contrary, CIP-002-4 through CIP-009-4 do not become effective, and CIP-002-3 through CIP-009-3 remain in effect and are not retired until the effective date of the Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards under this implementation plan.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. In those jurisdictions where no regulatory approval is required, the <a href="standards/Version 5 CIP">standards/Version 5 CIP</a>
  Cyber Security Standards, except for CIP-003-5, Requirement R2, shall become effective on the first day of the <a href="seventh\_ninth">seventh\_ninth</a> calendar quarter following Board of <a href="mailto:Trustees">Trustees</a> approval, and CIP-003-5, Requirement R2 shall become effective on the first day of the <a href="mailto:13th calendar quarter following Board of Trustees">13th calendar quarter following Board of Trustees</a> approval, or as otherwise made effective pursuant to the laws applicable to such ERO governmental authorities.

<u>April 10, 2012</u> Page 2 of 34

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In jurisdictions where CIP-002-4 through CIP-009-4 have not yet become effective according to their implementation plan (even if approved by order), this implementation plan and the Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards supersede and replace the implementation plan and standards for CIP-002-4 through CIP-009-4.

# **Version History**

| Version | Date | Action  | Change Tracking |
|---------|------|---|-----------------|
| 1       | TBD  | Developed to define the configuration management and vulnerability assessment requirements in coordination with other CIP standards and to address the balance of the FERC directives in its Order 706. |                 |

<u>April 10, 2012</u> Page 3 of 34

# **Definitions of Terms Used in Standard**

See the associated "Definitions of Terms Used in Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards," which consolidates and includes all newly defined or revised terms used in the proposed Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards.

<u>April 10, 2012</u> Page 4 of 34

When this standard has received ballot approval, the text boxes will be moved to the Application "Guidelines Sectionand Technical Basis" section of the Standard.

#### A. Introduction

**1. Title:** Cyber Security — Configuration Management and Vulnerability Assessments

**2.** Number: CIP-010-1

3. Purpose: Standard CIP-010-1 requires that Responsible Entities have minimumTo

prevent and detect unauthorized changes to BES Cyber Systems by specifying configuration management and vulnerability assessment controls requirements in place to protect BES Cyber Assets and support of protecting BES Cyber Systems—from compromise that could lead to

misoperation or instability in the BES.

#### 4. Applicability:

**4.1. Functional Entities:**—For the purpose of the requirements contained herein, the following list of Functional Entities will be collectively referred to as "Responsible Entities." For requirements in this standard where a specific Functional Entity or subset of Functional Entities are the applicable entity or entities, the Functional Entity or Entities are specified explicitly.

# 4.1.1 Balancing Authority

4.1.2 Distribution Provider that owns Facilities described in 4.2.2

4.1.24.1.3 Generator Operator

4.1.34.1.4 <u>Generator Owner</u>

4.1.44.1.5 Interchange Coordinator

4.1.6 Load-Serving Entity that owns Facilities described in 4.2.1

4.1.54.1.7 Reliability Coordinator

4.1.64.1.8 Transmission Operator

4.1.74.1.9 Transmission Owner

#### 4.2. Facilities:

4.2.1 that are part of any of the following systems Load Serving Entity: One or more of the UFLS or UVLS Systems that are part of a Load shedding program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard and that perform automatic load shedding under a common control system, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more.

**4.2.1**4.2.2 Distribution Provider: One or more of the Systems or programs designed, installed, and operated for the protection or restoration of the BES:

<u>April 10, 2012</u> Page 5 of 34

- A UFLS program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
- A UVLS UVLS System that is part of a Load shedding program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard and that performs automatic Load shedding under a common control system, without human operator initiation, of 300 MW or more
- A Special Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
- A Transmission Protection System required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
- Its Transmission Operator's restoration plan
- 4.2.24.2.3 where the Generator Operator
- 4.2.34.2.4 Generator Owner
- 4.2.44.2.5 Interchange Coordinator
- **4.2.5 Load-Serving Entity** that owns Facilities that are part of any of the following systems or programs designed, installed, and operated for the protection or restoration of the BES:
  - A UFLS program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
  - A UVLS program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
- 4.2.6 **NERC**
- 4.2.7 Regional Entity
- 4.2.84.2.6 Reliability Coordinator
- 4.2.94.2.7 Transmission Operator
- 4.2.104.2.8 Transmission Owner

#### 4.3. Facilities:

- **4.3.1 Load Serving Entity:** One or more Facilities that are part of any of the following systems or programs designed, installed, and operated for the protection of the BES:
  - A UFLS program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
  - A UVLS program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
- **4.3.2 Distribution Providers:** One or more Facilities that are part of any of the following systems or programs designed, installed, and operated for the protection or restoration of the BES:
  - A UFLS program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
  - A UVLS program required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
  - A Special Protection System or Remedial Action Scheme <u>is</u> required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard

<u>April 10, 2012</u> Page 6 of 34

- A Transmission Protection System that applies to Transmission where the Protection System is required by a NERC or Regional Reliability Standard
- Its Transmission Operator's restoration plan
- <u>All other</u> Each Cranking Path and group of Elements meeting the initial switching requirements from a Blackstart Resource up to and including the first interconnection point of the starting station service of the next generation unit(s) to be started.
- 4.3.34.3.1 Responsible Entities: <u>listed in 4.1 other than Distribution Providers</u>
  and Load-Serving Entities: All BES Facilities.
- 4.3.44.3.2 Exemptions: The following are exempt from Standard CIP-010-1002-5:
  - **4.3.4.14.3.2.1** Cyber Assets at Facilities regulated by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission.
  - 4.3.4.24.3.2.2 Cyber Assets associated with communication networks and data communication links between discrete Electronic Security Perimeters.
  - 4.3.4.34.3.2.3 In nuclear plants, the systems Systems, structures, and components that are regulated by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission under a cyber security plan pursuant to 10 C.F.-R. Section 73.54.
  - **4.3.4.4** Responsible Entities that, in compliance with Standard CIP 002 5, identify that they have no BES Cyber Systems.

#### 5. Background:

Standard CIP-010-1 exists as part of a suite of CIP Standards related to cyber security. CIP-002-5 requires the initial identification and categorization of BES Cyber Systems. CIP-003-5, CIP-004-5, CIP-005-5, CIP-006-5, CIP-007-5, CIP-008-5, CIP-009-5, CIP-010-1, and CIP-011-1 require a minimum level of organizational, operational and procedural controls to mitigate risk to BES Cyber Systems. This suite of CIP Standards is referred to as the *Version 5 CIP Cyber Security Standards*.

Each requirement opens Most requirements open with, "Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented [processes, plan, etc] that include the required applicable items in [Table Reference]." The referenced table requires the specific elements applicable items in the procedures for a common subject matter as applicable.

Measures for the initial requirement are simply the documented processes themselves. Measures in the table rows provide examples of evidence to show documentation and implementation of specific elements required applicable items in the documented processes. A numbered list in the measure means the evidence example includes all of

<u>April 10, 2012</u> Page 7 of 34

the items in the list. In contrast, a bulleted list provides multiple options of acceptable evidence. These measures serve to provide guidance to entities in acceptable records of compliance and should not be viewed as an all-inclusive list.

The term *documented processes* refers to a set of required instructions specific to the Responsible Entity and to achieve a specific outcome. This term does not <u>inferimply</u> any <u>particular</u> naming or approval structure beyond what is stated in the requirements. An entity should include as much as they feel necessary in their documented processes, but they must address the applicable requirements in the table.

The terms *program* and *plan* are sometimes used in place of *documented processes* where it makes sense and is commonly understood. For example, documented processes describing a response are typically referred to as *plans* (i.e., incident response plans and recovery plans). Likewise, a security plan can describe an approach involving multiple procedures to address a broad subject matter.

Similarly, the term *program* may refer to the organization's overall implementation of its policies, plans and procedures involving a subject matter. Examples in the <a href="Standardsstandards">Standards</a> include the personnel risk assessment program and the personnel training program. The full implementation of the CIP Cyber Security Standards could also be referred to as a program. However, the terms *program* and *plan* do not imply any additional requirements beyond what is stated in the <a href="Standards.standards.">Standards.standards.</a> Responsible Entities can implement common controls that meet requirements for multiple high and medium impact BES Cyber Systems. For example, a single training program could meet the requirements for training personnel across multiple BES Cyber Systems.

#### **Applicability Columns in Tables:**

Each table row has an applicability column to further define the scope to which a specific requirement row applies, to BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets. The CSO706 SDT adapted this concept from the National Institute of Standards and Technology ("NIST") Risk Management Framework as a way of applying requirements more appropriately based on impact and connectivity characteristics. The following conventions are used in the applicability column as described.

- All Responsible Entities Applies to all Responsible Entities listed in the
   Applicability section of the Standard. This requirement applies at an organizational
   level rather than individually to each BES Cyber System. Requirements having this
   applicability comprise basic elements of an organizational CIP cyber security
   program.
- High Impact BES Cyber Systems Applies to BES Cyber Systems categorized as High Impacthigh impact according to the CIP-002-5 identification and categorization processes. Responsible Entities can implement common controls that meet requirements for multiple High and
- Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems. For example, a single training program could meet the requirements for training personnel across multiple BES Cyber Systems —

April 10, 2012 Page 8 of 34

- Applies to BES Cyber Systems categorized as medium impact according to the CIP-002-5 identification and categorization processes.
- Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems at Control Centers Only applies to BES Cyber Systems located at a Control Center and categorized as Medium Impact according to the CIP-002-5 identification and categorization processes.
- Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems Applies to BES Cyber Systems categorized as Medium Impact according to the CIP-002-5 identification and categorization processes.
- Low Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity Applies to
  each Low Impact BES Cyber Systems with External Routable Connectivity according
  to the CIP-002-5 identification and categorization process, which includes all other
  BES Cyber Systems not categorized as High or Medium.
- Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems Applies to each
  Electronic Access Control or Monitoring System associated with a corresponding
  High or Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems. high impact BES Cyber System or
  medium impact BES Cyber System in the applicability column. Examples include,
  but are not limited to firewalls, authentication servers, and log monitoring and
  alerting systems.
- Associated Physical Access Control Systems Applies to each Physical Access
   Control System associated with a corresponding High or Medium Impact BES Cyber
   Systemshigh impact BES Cyber System or medium impact BES Cyber System with
   External Routable Connectivity in the applicability column.
- Associated Protected Cyber Assets Applies to each Protected Cyber Asset
  associated with a corresponding High or Medium Impact BES Cyber Systemshigh
  impact BES Cyber System or medium impact BES Cyber System in the applicability
  column.
- Electronic Access Points Applies at Electronic Access Points (with External Routable Connectivity or dial-up connectivity) associated with a referenced BES Cyber System.
- Electronic Access Points with External Routable Connectivity Applies at
   Electronic Access Points with External Routable Connectivity. This excludes those
   Electronic Access Points with dial-up connectivity.
- Locally Mounted Hardware or Devices Associated with Defined Physical
   Boundaries Applies to the locally mounted hardware (e.g. such as motion
   sensors, electronic lock control mechanisms, and badge readers) associated with a
   Defined Physical Boundary for High or Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems. These
   hardware and devices are excluded in the definition of Physical Access Control
   Systems.

<u>April 10, 2012</u> Page 9 of 34

# Rationale - R1:

The configuration change management processes are intended to prevent unauthorized modifications Systems.

# **B.** Requirements and Measures

#### Rationale - R1:

<u>The configuration change management processes are intended to prevent unauthorized modifications to BES Cyber Systems.</u>

- **R1.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in CIP-010-1 Table R1 Configuration Change Management. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning].
- **M1.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in *CIP-010-1 Table R1 Configuration Change Management* and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012

|      | CIP-010-1 Table R1 – Configuration Change Management   |  |  |
|------|--|--|--|
| Part | ApplicabilityApplicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets  | Requirements   | Measures   |
| 1.1  | High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems.  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems  Associated Protected Cyber Assets | Develop a baseline configuration of the BES Cyber System, which shall include the following for each BES Cyber Asset identified, individually or by specified groupinggroup:  1.1.1. Physical location;  1.1.2.1.1. Operating system(s) (including version); or firmware where no independent operating system exists;  1.1.3.1.1.2. Any commercially available or open-source application software (including version) intentionally installed on the BES Cyber Asset;  1.1.4.1.1.3. Any custom software and scripts developed for the entity;  1.1.5.1.1.4. Any logical network accessible ports; and  1.1.6.1.1.5. Any security patch levels patches. | <ul> <li>Evidence may include, but is not limited to:         <ul> <li>A spreadsheet identifying the required items of the baseline configuration for each BES-Cyber Asset in the BES Cyber System; or</li> <li>A record in an asset management system that identifies the required items of the baseline configuration for each BES-Cyber Asset in the BES Cyber System.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 12 o

|                 | CIP-010-1 Table R1 – Configuration Change Management   |  |   |
|-----------------|--|--|---|
| Part            | ApplicabilityApplicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets  | Requirements   | Measures  |
| New Requirement |  | Change Rationale: The baseline configuration requirement was incorporated from the DHS Catalog for Control Systems Security. The baseline requirement is also intended to clarify precisely when a change management process must be invoked and which elements of the configuration must be examined. |   |
| 1.2             | High Impact BES Cyber Systems Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems. Associated Physical Access Control Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems Associated Protected Cyber Assets | Authorization, by the CIP Senior Manager or delegate, Authorize and document changes to the BES Cyber System that deviate from the existing baseline configuration.  | <ul> <li>Evidence may include, but is not limited to:         <ul> <li>A change request record and associated electronic approval authorization (performed by the individual or group with the authority to authorize the change) in a change management system for each change; or</li> <li>A record of each change performed along with the minutes of a "change advisory board" meeting (that indicate authorization of the change) where an individual with the authority to authorize the change was in attendance. Documentation that the change was performed in accordance with the requirement.</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |
|                 | ence to prior version:<br>07-3 <u>.</u> R9   | Change Rationale: The SDT added requirement was previously implied in  |   |
| ¿CIP-C          | 003-3 <mark>.</mark> R6  |  |   |

|   | CIP-010-1 Table R1 – Configuration Change Management   |   |   |
|---|--|---|---|
| Part  | ApplicabilityApplicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets  | Requirements  | Measures  |
| 1.3   | High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems.  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems  Associated Protected Cyber Assets | Update For a change that deviates from the existing baseline configuration, update the baseline configuration and other documentation required by a NERC CIP Standard, including identification CIP-005 and categorization of the BES Cyber Systems, CIP-007 as necessary within 30 calendar days of completing the change. | Evidence may include, but is not limited to:  For, updated baseline documentation for changes that impacted the categorization of a BES Cyber System, dated categorization documents, with a date that is within 30 days of the date of the completion of the change;  For changes that impacted the CIP-009 required recovery plan of a BES Cyber System, a dated recovery plan 005 or CIP-007 documentation, and relevant CIP-005 or CIP-007 documentation, with a date that is within 30 days of the date of the completion of the change. |
| Reference to prior version:  CIP-007-3, R9: CIP-005-3, R5 |  | Change Rationale: Document maintena System change is equivalent to the requires standard.   |   |

November 7, 2011 - April 10, 2012 Page 14 o

| CIP-010-1 Table R1 - Configuration Change Management |  |   | nent   |
|--|--|---|--|
| Part   | ApplicabilityApplicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets  | Requirements  | Measures   |
| 1.4  | High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems.  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems  Associated Protected Cyber Assets | For a change-to the BES Cyber System that deviates from the existing baseline configuration:  1.4.1. Prior to the change, determine required cyber security controls identified in CIP-005, CIP-006, and CIP-007 that could be impacted by the change;  1.4.2. Following the change, verify these required controls determined in 1.4.1 and the BES Cyber System availability are not adversely affected; and  1.4.3. Document the results of the verification. | Evidence includesmay include, but is not limited to, a list of cyber security controls verified or tested along with the dated test results. |
| Reference to prior version:  CIP-007-3, R1           |  | <b>Change Rationale:</b> The SDT attempted to occur and removed requirement for specin the performance of the requirement.  |  |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 15 o

| CIP-010-1 Table R1 – Configuration Change Management |  |   | ment   |
|--|--|---|--|
| Part   | Applicability Applicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets | Requirements  | Measures   |
| 1.5  | High Impact BES Cyber System   | For Where technically feasible, for each change that deviates from the existing baseline configuration—for Control Centers:  1.5.1. Prior to implementing any change in the production environment, test the changes to the BES Cyber System—in a test environment (or in a production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects) that models the baseline configuration—of the BES Cyber System to ensure that required cyber security controls are not adversely affected; and | Evidence includes may include, but is not limited to, a list of cyber security controls tested along with successful test results and a list of differences between the production and test environments with descriptions of how any differences were accounted for, including of the date of the test. |
|  | <del>2 7, 2011 -</del> April 10, 2012                                  | 1.5.2. Document the results of the testing and, if a test  environment was used, the differences between the test environment and the production environment, including a description of the measures used to account for any differences in operation between the test and production environments.  |  |

#### Rationale - R2:

The configuration monitoring processes are intended to detect unauthorized modifications to BES Cyber Systems.

| CIP-010-1 Table R1 – Configuration Change Management  |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Part ApplicabilityApplicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets                          | Requirements   | Measures  |  |
| Reference to prior version:  CIP-007-3 <sub>2</sub> R1  | <b>Change Rationale:</b> This requirement provides clarity on when testing must occur and requires additional testing to ensure that accidental consequences of planned changes are appropriately managed. |   |  |
| This change addresses FERC Order <del>,paragraphs</del> No. 706, Paragraphs 397, 609, 610, and 611. |  | <del>raphs</del> <u>No. 706, Paragraphs</u> 397, 609, |  |

- **R2.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in CIP-010-1 Table R2 Configuration Monitoring. [Violation Risk Factor: Lower] [Time Horizon: Operations Planning].
- **M2.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in *CIP-010-1 Table R2 Configuration Monitoring* and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

# Rationale - R2:

The configuration monitoring processes are intended to detect unauthorized modifications to BES Cyber Systems.

| 2.1  | High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems.  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems  Associated Protected Cyber Assets | Where technically feasible, monitor for changes to the baseline configuration (as defined per CIP 010 R1, Part 1.1) and document and investigate the detection of any unauthorized changes.   | Evidence may include, but is not limited to, logs from a system that is monitoring the configuration of the BES Cyber System along with records of investigation for any unauthorized changes that were detected by the system. |
|--|--|---|---|
| Reference to prior version:  New Requirement |  | Change Rationale: The monitoring of the System provides an express acknowledge malicious actions along with intentional This requirement was added after review Security and to address FERC Order 706, addresses FERC Order 706, paragraph 3 | ement of the need to consider -changes. w of the DHS Catalog of Control System -paragraph 397.DHS Catalog &   |

#### Rationale - R3:

The vulnerability assessment processes are intended to act as a component in an overall program to periodically ensure the proper implementation of security controls as well as to continually improve the security posture of BES Cyber Systems.

|             | CIP-010-1 Table R2 - Configuration Monitoring  |  |   |
|-------------|--|--|---|
| <u>Part</u> | Applicable BES Cyber Systems and<br>associated Cyber Assets  | <u>Requirements</u>  | <u>Measures</u>   |
| 2.1         | High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems  Associated Protected Cyber Assets | Where technically feasible, monitor continuously or periodically, not to exceed once every 35 calendar days, for changes to the baseline configuration (as defined per CIP-010 R1, Part 1.1) and document and investigate detected unauthorized changes.   | Evidence may include, but is not limited to, logs from a system that is monitoring the configuration of the BES Cyber System along with records of investigation for any unauthorized changes that were detected by the system. |
|             | rence to prior version:<br>Requirement   | Change Rationale: The monitoring of the provides an express acknowledgement of along with intentional changes.  This requirement was added after review Security and to address FERC Order No. 70.  Thirty-five Calendar days allows for a "on flexibility to account for months with 31 comonths on weekends. | of the DHS Catalog of Control System 06, Paragraph 397. ce-a-month" frequency with slight   |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012

#### Rationale - R3:

The vulnerability assessment processes are intended to act as a component in an overall program to periodically ensure the proper implementation of cyber security controls as well as to continually improve the security posture of BES Cyber Systems.

- **R3.** Each Responsible Entity shall implement one or more documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in CIP-010-1 Table R3— Vulnerability Assessments. [Violation Risk Factor: Medium] [Time Horizon: Long-term Planning and Operations Planning]
- **M3.** Evidence must include each of the applicable documented processes that collectively include each of the applicable items in *CIP-010-1 Table R3 Vulnerability Assessments* and additional evidence to demonstrate implementation as described in the Measures column of the table.

| CIP-010-1 Table R3 – Vulnerability Assessments |   |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
|  | Part  | ApplicabilityApplicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets  | Requirements  | Measures   |
|  | 3.1   | High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems.  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems  Associated Protected Cyber Assets | Initially upon the effective date of the standard and atAt least once every calendar year-thereafter, not to exceed 15 calendar months between assessments, conduct a paper or active vulnerability assessment of the security controls to determine the extent to which the controlscyber security controls identified in CIP-005, CIP-006, and CIP-007 are implemented correctly and operating as designed. | <ul> <li>Evidence may include, but is not limited to:         <ul> <li>A document listing the date of the assessment (performed at least each once every calendar year, not to exceed 15 calendar months between assessments), the controls assessed for each BES Cyber System along with the method of assessment, and the individuals who performed the assessment; or</li> </ul> </li> <li>A document listing the date of the assessment and the output of the tools used to perform the assessment.</li> </ul> |
|  | Reference to prior version:  CIP-005-4, R4-and; CIP-007-4, R8 |  | <b>Change Rationale:</b> As suggested in FER 644, the details for what should be incluguidance.   |  |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 21 o

|         | CIP-010-1 Table R3 – Vulnerability Assessments                        |  |   |
|---------|---|--|---|
| Part    | ApplicabilityApplicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets | Requirements   | Measures  |
| 3.2     | High Impact BES Cyber Systems   | Initially upon the effective date of the standard and Where technically feasible, at least once every 3 calendar years thereafter, not to exceed 3936 calendar months between assessments, perform an active vulnerability assessment in a test environment (or in a production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects) that models the baseline configuration of the BES Cyber System in a production environment. Document If a test environment was used, document the differences between the test environment and the production environment including a description of the measures used to account for any differences in operation between the test and production environments. | Evidence may include, but is not limited to, a document listing the date of the assessment (performed within 39at least once every 36 calendar months of the previous assessment between assessments), the output of the tools used to perform the assessment, and a list of differences between the production and test environments with descriptions of how any differences were accounted for in conducting the assessment. |
| Referen | nce to prior version:   | Change Rationale:  |   |
| New Re  | quirement   | FERC Order <u>No.</u> 706 <del>-p.</del> , <u>Paragraphs</u> 541,  | 542, <u>543,</u> 544, <u>545, and</u> 547 <u>.</u>  |
|         |   | As suggested in FERC Order <u>No.</u> 706 <del>par</del><br>what should be included in the assessme  |   |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 22 o

|  | CIP-010  | -1 Table R3 – Vulnerability Assessments  |   |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|
| Part   | ApplicabilityApplicable BES Cyber Systems and associated Cyber Assets  | Requirements   | Measures  |  |  |
| 3.3  | High Impact BES Cyber Systems Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems Associated Protected Cyber Assets | Except for CIP Exceptional Circumstances, and like replacements (same type of Cyber Asset with a baseline configuration that models an existing baseline configuration of the previous or other existing BES Cyber Asset), prior to adding a new Cyber Asset to a BES Cyber System or Electronic Access Control or Monitoring System, perform an active vulnerability assessment of the new Cyber Asset. | Evidence may include, but is not limited to, a document listing the date of the assessment (performed prior to the commissioning of the new BES Cyber Asset) and the output of the tools used to perform the assessment.  |  |  |
| Referen  | ce to prior version:   | Change Rationale:  |   |  |  |
| New Red  | quirement  | FERC Order No. 706 p., Paragraphs 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, and 547.  |   |  |  |
| 3.4 High Impact BES Cyber Systems  Medium Impact BES Cyber Systems.  Associated Physical Access Control Systems  Associated Electronic Access Control or Monitoring Systems  Associated Protected Cyber Assets |  | Document the results of the assessments and the action plan to remediate or mitigate vulnerabilities identified in the assessments including the planned date of completing the action plan and the execution status of that any remediation or mitigation action planitems.   | Evidence may include, but is not limited to, a document listing the results or the review or assessment, a list of action items—with, documented proposed dates of completion for the action plan, and records of the status of the action items (such as minutes of a status meeting, updates in a work order system, or a spreadsheet tracking the action items). |  |  |
| Referen  | ce to prior version:   | Change Rationale:  |   |  |  |
| CIP-005-   | -3 <mark>.</mark> R4.5<br>7-3 <u>.</u> R8.4  | Added a requirement for an entity plann<br>directive in <u>FERC</u> Order <u>No.</u> 706, <del>paragra</del>   | ·   |  |  |

### C. Compliance

#### 1. Compliance Monitoring Process:

#### 1.1. Compliance Enforcement Authority:

- The Regional Entity; or
- If the Responsible Entity works for shall serve as the Compliance Enforcement Authority ("CEA") unless the Regional Entity, then the applicable entity is owned, operated, or controlled by the Regional Entity will establish an agreement with. In such cases the ERO or another Regional entity approved by the ERO and FERC (i.e. another Regional Entity) to be responsible for compliance enforcement.
- If the Responsible Entity is also a Regional Entity the ERO or a Regional Entity approved by the ERO and FERC or other applicable governmental authorities shall serve as the Compliance Enforcement Authority.
- If the Responsible Entity is NERC, a third-party monitor without vested interest in the outcome for NERCauthority shall serve as the Compliance Enforcement AuthorityCEA.

#### 1.2. Evidence Retention:

The following evidence retention periods identify the period of time an entity is required to retain specific evidence to demonstrate compliance. For instances where the evidence retention period specified below is shorter than the time since the last audit, the Compliance Enforcement Authority may ask an entity to provide other evidence to show that it was complaint for the full time period since the last audit.

- Each Responsible Entity shall retain data or evidence for since the last completed auditeach requirement in this standard for three calendar years or for the duration of any regional or Compliance Enforcement Authority investigation; whichever is longer.
- If a RegisteredResponsible information related to the non-compliance until found compliant it shall keep information related to the non-compliance until found compliant initigation is complete and approved or for the duration specified above, whichever is longer.
- The Compliance Enforcement Authority shall keep the last audit records and all requested and submitted subsequent audit records.

#### 1.3. Compliance Monitoring and Assessment Processes:

Compliance Audit

- Self-Certification
- Spot Checking
- Compliance Investigation
- Self-Reporting
- Complaint

# 1.4. Additional Compliance Information:

None

# **Table of Compliance Elements**

| R # | Time<br>Horizon        |             | Violation Severity Levels |   |   |  |
|-----|------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|---|---|--|
|     | HOHZOH                 |             | Lower VSL                 | Moderate VSL  | High VSL  | Severe VSL   |
| R1  | Operations<br>Planning | LowerMedium | N/A                       | The Responsible Entity updated the baseline configuration, but failed to update the required documentation within 30 days of the change being completed.  N/A | The Responsible Entity has established a configuration management program, but failed to establish a documented baseline.  OR  The Responsible Entity has established a configuration management program, but failed to have the CIP Senior Manager or delegate authorize any changes to the baseline configuration and to document those changes.  OR  The Responsible Entity has established a configuration management | The Responsible Entity has not established any configuration management programs.  OR  Did The Responsible Entity did not implement a configuration management program  OR The Responsible Entity has established a configuration management program, but failed to establish a documented baseline  OR The Responsible Entity has established |

November 7, 2011April 10, 2012 Page 26 of 34

| R # | R # Time VRF Violation Severity Levels |                         |           |              |  |   |
|-----|--|-------------------------|-----------|--------------|--|---|
|     | 110112011                              |                         | Lower VSL | Moderate VSL | High VSL   | Severe VSL  |
|     |  |                         |           |              | program, but with respect to the changes in updated the baseline configuration, did not determine but failed to update the required cyber security controls that could be impacted by the changes; or did not verify that the controls were not adversely affected when documentation within 30-days of the change was implemented. being completed. | a configuration management program, but with respect to the changes in the baseline configuration, did not determine the required cyber security controls identified in CIP-005, CIP-006, and CIP-007 that could be impacted by the changes; or did not verify that the controls were not adversely affected when the change was implemented. |
| R2  | Operations<br>Planning                 | <del>Lower</del> Medium | N/A       | N/A          | N/AThe Responsible Entity has established a configuration monitoring process for changes to the baseline but failed to document a detected   | The Responsible Entity has not established a configuration monitoring process for changes to the  |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 27 of 34

| R # | # Time VRF Violation Severity Levels       |        |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
|     | 110112011                                  |        | Lower VSL  | Moderate VSL   | High VSL   | Severe VSL   |
|     |  |        |  |  | unauthorized change.   | baseline.  |
|     |  |        |  |  |  | OR   |
|     |  |        |  |  |  | The Responsible Entity has not investigated a detected unauthorized change to the baseline configuration.  |
|     |  |        |  |  |  | <u>OR</u>  |
|     |  |        |  |  |  | The Responsible Entity has established a configuration monitoring process for changes to the baseline but failed to document a detected unauthorized change. |
| R3  | Long-term Planning and Operations Planning | Medium | The Responsible Entity has established one or more documented vulnerability assessment | The Responsible Entity has established one or more documented vulnerability assessment | The Responsible Entity has established one or more documented vulnerability assessment processes | The Responsible Entity has established one or more documented vulnerability assessment processes   |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 28 of 34

| R # | Time<br>Horizon | VRF | Violation Severity Levels  |  |  |  |
|-----|-----------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
|     | 110112011       |     | Lower VSL  | Moderate VSL   | High VSL   | Severe VSL   |
|     |                 |     | processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has performed a vulnerability assessment more than 15 months, but less than 18 months, since the last assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Systems. | processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has not performed an Active Vulnerability Assessmentactive vulnerability assessment on a new BES Cyber Asset prior to adding it to an applicable BES Cyber System.  OR  The Responsible Entity has established one or more documented vulnerability assessment processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has performed a | for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has performed a vulnerability assessment more than 21 months, but less than 24 months, since the last assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Systems. | for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has performed a vulnerability assessment more than 24 months since the last assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Systems.  OR  The Responsible Entity has not established any vulnerability assessment processes for one of its applicable BES Cyber Systems.  OR  The Responsible BES Cyber Systems.  OR  The Responsible Entity has established |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 29 of 34

| R # | Time<br>Horizon | VRF | Violation Severity Levels |  |          |  |  |
|-----|-----------------|-----|---------------------------|--|----------|--|--|
|     | 110112011       |     | Lower VSL                 | Moderate VSL   | High VSL | Severe VSL   |  |
|     |                 |     |                           | vulnerability assessment more than 18 months, but less than 21, months since the last assessment on one of its applicable BES Cyber Systems. |          | or more vulnerability assessment processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but failed to perform an Active Vulnerability Assessment in a test environmentactive vulnerability assessment in a test environment (or in a production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects) that models the baseline configuration of its applicable BES Cyber Systems.  OR  The Responsible Entity has established one or more |  |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 30 of 34

| R # | Time<br>Horizon | Violation Severity Levels |              |          |   |  |
|-----|-----------------|---------------------------|--------------|----------|---|--|
|     | 110112011       | Lower VSL                 | Moderate VSL | High VSL | Severe VSL  |  |
|     |                 |                           |              |          | documented vulnerability assessment processes for each of its applicable BES Cyber Systems, but has not documented the results of the vulnerability assessments, the action plans to remediate or mitigate vulnerabilities identified in the assessments, and the execution status of the mitigation plans. |  |

November 7, 2011 April 10, 2012 Page 31 of 34

# D. Regional Variances

None.

# **E.** Interpretations

None.

# **F. Associated Documents**

None.

#### **Guidelines and Technical Basis**

#### **Requirement R1:**

The physical location referred to in the baseline configuration is geographically where the BES Cyber Asset is located (e.g. Pine Valley Control Room, Generator X, Substation Y) and should be used to ensure that BES Cyber Systems receive the controls that are applicable to the environment in which the components are located (e.g. control center, transmission facility, generation facility). The physical location is not intended to be a specific floor plan location (e.g., panel A, rack B). As such, the physical location of virtual component should identify where the virtual components are being executed (e.g. Pine Valley Control Room, Generator X, Substation Y).

The Control Center test environment (or production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects) should model the baseline configuration, but may have a different set of components. For instance, an entity may have a BES Cyber System that runs a database on one component and a web server on another component. The test environment may have the same operating system, patch level security patches, network accessible ports, and software, but have both the database and web server running on a single component instead of multiple components.

Additionally, the entityResponsible Entity should note that wherever a test environment (or production environment where the test is performed in a manner that minimizes adverse effects) is mentioned, the requirement is to "model" the baseline configuration and not duplicate it exactly. This language was chosen deliberately in order to allow for individual elements of a control center BES Cyber System which at a Control Center to be modeled that may not otherwise be able to be replicated or duplicated exactly; such as, but not limited to, a legacy map-board controller or the numerous data communication links from the field or to other control centers (such as by ICCP).

#### Requirement R2:

It should be understood that the The SDT's intent of R2 is to require automated monitoring of the BES Cyber System. However, the Standards Drafting TeamSDT understands that there may be some Cyber Assets where automated monitoring may not be possible (such as a GPS time clock). It is for this For that reason that, automated technical monitoring was not explicitly required, and an entitya Responsible Entity may choose to accomplish this requirement through manual procedural controls.

### Requirement R3:

The Responsible Entity should not note that the requirement provides a distinction between paper and active vulnerability assessments. The justification for this distinction is well—documented in the initial NOPR from FERC as well as FERC Order No. 706 and its associated Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. In developing their Vulnerability Assessment processes, Responsible Entities are strongly encouraged to include at least the following elements:

Paper Vulnerability Assessment

# **Application Guidelines**

- Network Discovery A review of all Electronic Access Points to the Electronic Security Perimeter
- 2. Network Port and Service Identification A review to verify that all enabled ports and services have an appropriate business justification
- 3. Vulnerability Review A review of security rule-sets and configurations including controls for default accounts, passwords, and network management community strings
- 4. Wireless Review Identification of common types of wireless networks (such as 802.11a/b/g/n) and a review of their controls if they are in any way used for BES Cyber System communications

#### Active Vulnerability Assessment

- Network Discovery Use of active discovery tools to discover active devices and identify communication paths in order to verify that the discovered network architecture matches the documented architecture.
- 2. Network Port and Service Identification Use of active discovery tools (such as Nmap) to discover open ports and services.
- 3. Vulnerability Scanning Use of a vulnerability scanning tool to identify network accessible ports and services along with the identification of known vulnerabilities associated with services running on those ports.
- 4. Wireless Scanning Use of a wireless scanning tool to discover wireless signals and networks in the physical perimeter of a BES Cyber System. Serves to identify unauthorized wireless devices within the range of the wireless scanning tool.

In addition, Responsible Entities are strongly encouraged to review NIST SP800-115 for additional guidance on how to conduct a vulnerability assessment.