

Standard Development Roadmap

This section is maintained by the drafting team during the development of the standard and will be removed when the standard becomes effective.

Development Steps Completed:

1. SAR posted for comment (July 2, 2008 through July 31, 2008).
2. Revised SAR and response to comments posted (December 1, 2008).
3. Revised SAR and response to comments approved by SC (December 16–17, 2008).
4. SDT appointed on (February 12, 2009).
5. First draft of proposed standard posted (November 10, 2009).

Proposed Action Plan and Description of Current Draft:

This is the first draft of the proposed standard posted for stakeholder comments. This draft includes the modifications identified in the SAR and applicable FERC directives from FERC Order 693.

Future Development Plan:

Anticipated Actions	Anticipated Date
1. Respond to Comments and Post for 45-day stakeholder review.	June-July 2010
2. Respond to Comments and Post for 30-day pre-ballot review.	October 2010
3. Conduct initial ballot.	November 2010
4. Post response to comments on initial ballot.	January 2011
5. Conduct recirculation ballot.	January 2011
6. Submit standard to BOT for adoption.	February 2011
7. File standard with regulatory authorities.	March 2011.

Definitions of Terms Used in Standard

This section includes all newly defined or revised terms used in the proposed standard. Terms already defined in the Reliability Standards Glossary of Terms are not repeated here. New or revised definitions listed below become approved when the proposed standard is approved. When the standard becomes effective, these defined terms will be removed from the individual standard and added to the Glossary.

There are no new or revised definitions proposed in this standard revision.

A. Introduction

1. **Title:** Interchange Initiation and Modification for Reliability
2. **Number:** INT-010-2
3. **Purpose:** Under abnormal operating conditions, allow certain types of Interchange Schedules to be initiated or modified by reliability entities, and to be exempt from compliance with other Interchange Standards.
4. **Applicability**
 - 4.1. Balancing Authority.
 - 4.2. Transmission Service Provider.
5. **Effective Date:** First day of the first calendar quarter following the date this standard is approved by applicable regulatory authorities, or in those jurisdictions where regulatory approval is not required, the standard becomes effective on the first day of the first calendar quarter after the date this standard is approved by the NERC Board of Trustees.

B. Requirements

- R1. If as part of a reserve sharing agreement, a Balancing Authority schedules Interchange in duration of more than 60 minutes to replace one or more resources that are no longer available to serve Load, the Sink Balancing Authority shall¹ ensure that a Request for Interchange is created within 60 minutes of the start of the scheduled Interchange, and with a start time no more than 60 minutes beyond the resource loss.
- R2. If a Reliability Coordinator directs modification to a Confirmed Interchange schedule for current or imminent reliability-related reasons, the Sink Balancing Authority shall² ensure that a request to modify an Arranged Interchange reflecting that modification is created within 60 minutes of the start of the modification.
- R3. If a Reliability Coordinator directs the scheduling of Interchange for current or imminent reliability-related reasons, the Sink Balancing Authority shall³ ensure that a Request for Interchange is created reflecting that Interchange schedule within 60 minutes of the start of the scheduled Interchange.
- R4. Balancing Authorities and Transmission Service Providers shall only use a Reliability Adjustment RFI in response to one or more of the following:
 - 4.1. Loss or non-performance of Generation supplying the Interchange
 - 4.2. Loss of Load being served by the Interchange

¹ In cases where Interchange Coordination is non-functional or has been degraded due to coincidental, accidental, or malicious causes, the Compliance Monitor may exercise discretion in determining whether or not a violation of this requirement has occurred.

² In cases where Interchange Coordination is non-functional or has been degraded due to coincidental, accidental, or malicious causes, the Compliance Monitor may exercise discretion in determining whether or not a violation of this requirement has occurred.

³ In cases where Interchange Coordination is non-functional or has been degraded due to coincidental, accidental, or malicious causes, the Compliance Monitor may exercise discretion in determining whether or not a violation of this requirement has occurred.

- 4.3. Loss of one or more Transmission Facilities
- 4.4. An actual or potential SOL or IROL exceedance
- 4.5. Any real-time reliability concern related to a specific Confirmed Interchange, provided that concern is supported by evidence.

C. Measures

M1. TBD

D. Compliance

1. TBD

E. Regional Differences

None identified.

Version History

Version	Date	Action	Change Tracking